

# IPS visions and objectives - Reg 19

Submitted by: Anonymous user

Submitted time: 18 Aug 2024, 18:30:11

Name/Organisation

**Miss Penelope Baker**

Email Address

[REDACTED]

1. What type of respondent are you?

**Member of the public**

2. What IPS vision and objectives policy are you commenting on

**Section 2 The Isle of Wight and the issues we face**

3. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph(s)? if yes which paragraph does this relate to?

**EV4 water quality on Solent Marine Sites should be broader and include where the water waste treatment works outfall (discharge) into the English Channel. The English Channel should have the same protection as the solent. Neutrient neutrality should be applied to the discharges into the English Channel as marine life and bathing water are compromised by the current exclusion. The Tourist economy public health and marine life are harmed by such exclusion.**

4. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be legally compliant?

**Yes**

5. Please give details to support your answer to question 4

- **Yes - legally compliant**
- **Yes, complies with Duty to cooperate**

6. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be sound?

**Yes - consistent with national policy**

8. What modifications do you think are needed to make the Island Planning Strategy legally compliant and/or sound?

**not applicable I have typed is sound - just reckless with the environment and public health**

9. Do you have any comments on the policies map?

**no**

11. Do you wish to request to appear at the hearing sessions that will take place?

**Yes**

12. Please outline why you would like to attend?

**The nutrient situation is of local and national concern**

# IPS visions and objectives - Reg 19

Submitted by: Anonymous user

Submitted time: 19 Aug 2024, 11:00:23

Name/Organisation

**Penelope Baker**

Email Address



1. What type of respondent are you?

**Member of the public**

2. What IPS vision and objectives policy are you commenting on

**Section 2 The Isle of Wight and the issues we face**

3. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph(s)? if yes which paragraph does this relate to?

**Section 3 Fig 3.1 There is no area designated to renewable energy infrastructure. The map does not indicate areas where renewable energy installations should be designated/allocated. Areas for growth are shown with no apparent limits on energy consumption and carbon emissions, allowing harmful applications such as 19/01205/OUT. This oversight leads to no renewable energy installations - but growth in conflict with energy security. Growth requires energy security. Fig 3.1 does not show settlement gap between Lake south and Newchurch.**

4. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be legally compliant?

**Yes**

5. Please give details to support your answer to question 4

- **Yes - legally compliant**
- **Yes, complies with Duty to cooperate**

6. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be sound?

**No**

7. If you answered no to question six is this because?

- **Not effective**

8. What modifications do you think are needed to make the Island Planning Strategy legally compliant and/or sound?

**areas suitable for renewable energy installation infrastructure should identify that they are appropriate for renewable energy indicating which type is appropriate. For example some areas are suitable for wind turbines some areas are more suitable for solar.**

9. Do you have any comments on the policies map?

**not able to access layers and any information several attempts failed following instructions**

11. Do you wish to request to appear at the hearing sessions that will take place?

**Yes**

12. Please outline why you would like to attend?

**Having recently attended as an objecting speaker at an Isle of sWight Council committee meeting where application 19/01205/OUT was discussed, I am concerned that an appropriate site for a s solar farm may well become a drain on energy through commercial development and have other harmful consequences, and that this may occur elsewhere on the island.**

# IPS visions and objectives - Reg 19

Submitted by: Anonymous user

Submitted time: 17 Aug 2024, 22:07:44

Name/Organisation

**miss penelope Baker**

Email Address

[REDACTED]

1. What type of respondent are you?

**Member of the public**

2. What IPS vision and objectives policy are you commenting on

**Section 2 The Isle of Wight and the issues we face**

3. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph(s)? if yes which paragraph does this relate to?

**2.49 research from Oxford Economics is outdated and does not reflect new government (July 2024) policies on local renewable energy and research & development (floating turbines and wave R&D and manufacture) and lifting of ban on onshore wind turbines, creating huge opprtunities for the Isle of Wight. Energy security is now a government priority after start of war in Europe which also has had impact on food security. Also population growth nationally and on isle of wight means agriculture should no longer be forecast to decline. This affects land use which does not reflect in IPS land allocations - where municiple renewable installations are to be located, prime sites appropriate for solar farms near to large energy users, in this plan are given to unnecessary commercial uses in inappropriate areas, where road capacity is insufficient for such allocation. In such areas solar farms are appropriate. The road network in coastal areas of East Wight is under severe pressure due to landslides affecting connectivity on the coast and links to Newport.**

4. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be legally compliant?

**Yes**

5. Please give details to support your answer to question 4

- **Yes - legally compliant**
- **Yes, complies with Duty to cooperate**

6. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be sound?

**No**

7. If you answered no to question six is this because?

- **Not consistent with national policy**

8. What modifications do you think are needed to make the Island Planning Strategy legally compliant and/or sound?

**Land should be allocated for renewable energy installations in preference to vague commercial/commercial leisure uses, this is particularly relevant to areas located near large energy consumption, and areas which have access roads poorly served by public transport, and not adequate for high volume traffic.**

9. Do you have any comments on the policies map?

**no**

11. Do you wish to request to appear at the hearing sessions that will take place?

**Yes**

12. Please outline why you would like to attend?

**In my experience of public enquiries on local plans, those attending have access to information in the local public interest.**

# Community Reg 19

Submitted by: Anonymous user

Submitted time: 19 Aug 2024, 12:25:01

Name/Organisation

**Penelope Baker**

Email Address



1. What type of respondent are you?

**Member of the Public**

2. What Community policy you are commenting on

**C10 - Supporting Renewable Energy and Low Carbon Technologies**

3. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph(s)? if yes which paragraph does this relate to?

**subsection "a" refers to major development of renewable energy schemes in appropriate locations where there is grid capacity and storage. But there are no identifiable indications as to where this will happen. There is no mapping/designation for this. One appropriate location would be the site of application 19/01205/OUT - refused for now, but for how long? also This site between Lake South and Newchurch settlements, is off a narrow part of the A3056 on the number 8 bus route. The application has 246 car parking spaces, with the potential to jeopardise a key route to Newport. Air traffic expansion is also proposed, hidden from the public, whose homes are already at risk from acrobatic small planes. This site is near to two large ensupermarkets, an industrial estate, a primary school, a housing estate with more planned at Berry Hill. As there are no apparent actual areas designated renewable energy zones, suitable for new solar farms - if not here, where?**

4. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be legally compliant?

**Yes**

5. Please give details to support your answer to question 4

- **Yes - legally compliant**
- **Yes, complies with Duty to cooperate**

6. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be sound?

**Yes - consistent with national policy**

8. What modifications do you think are needed to make the Island Planning Strategy legally compliant and/or sound?

**i have not challenged on soundness or legally compliant as i do not have the expertise - i am a resident not a paid expert**

9. Do you have any comments on the policies map?

**not accessible**

11. Do you wish to request to appear at the hearing sessions that will take place?

**Yes**

12. Please outline why you would like to attend?

**climate change**



# Economy Reg 19

Submitted by: Anonymous user

Submitted time: 19 Aug 2024, 17:40:47

Name/Organisation

**Penelope Baker**

Email Address

[REDACTED]

1. What type of respondent are you?

**Member of the Public**

2. What Economy policy you are commenting on

**EA6 - Employment Allocation: Sandown Airport, Sandown**

3. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph(s)? if yes which paragraph does this relate to?

**EA6 section 8.37,8.38,8.39 Road changes are not viable to create high volume traffic that has safe access to and from this site. High Volume traffic generation is against carbon reduction and net zero policies in a rural setting . The The promotion of an energy intensive project here is against net zero and carbon reduction policies and threatens energy security. The site is appropriate for a solar farm which will not require continued high volume traffic.**

4. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be legally compliant?

**Yes**

5. Please give details to support your answer to question 4

- **Yes - legally compliant**
- **Yes, complies with Duty to cooperate**
- **No - not legally compliant**

6. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be sound?

**Yes - positively prepared**

7. If you answered no to question six is this because?

- **Not consistent with national policy**

8. What modifications do you think are needed to make the Island Planning Strategy legally compliant and/or sound?

**keep to net zero and carbon reduction goals site by designating as area for renewable infrastructure eg a solar farm - providing a contribution to energy security not a drain on energy resources close to the high energy consumption in and planned for South Lake.**

9. Do you have any comments on the policies map?

**not accessible to respondents**

11. Do you wish to request to appear at the hearing sessions that will take place?

**Yes**

12. Please outline why you would like to attend?

**The policy is not generally known to the public of the area, and current policies will change the area in a damaging way - policies are against the interests of the local community who are excluded from this issue**

# Environment Reg 19

Submitted by: Anonymous user

Submitted time: 18 Aug 2024, 19:02:18

Name/Organisation

**Penelope Baker**

Email Address

[REDACTED]

1. What type of respondent are you?

**Member of the Public**

2. What Environment policy you are commenting on

**EV4 - Water Quality Impact on Solent Marine Sites (Nitrates)**

3. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph(s)? if yes which paragraph does this relate to?

**EV13 water 4.104 relies on waste water treatment works. This is reckless, Southern Water have a record of failure to supply in Hampshire and have been reduced to handing out bottled water there. water is essential to life and Hampshire unlike the Isle of Wight is building reservoirs. Isle of Wight Council has I understand plans to build housing on a reservoir in Cowes - this is reckless with regard to the public welfare as the waste water treatment works may fail and there may be an outbreak of ecoli or similar given Southern Water's record with sewage.**

4. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be legally compliant?

**Yes**

5. Please give details to support your answer to question 4

- **Yes - legally compliant**
- **Yes, complies with Duty to cooperate**

6. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be sound?

**Yes - consistent with national policy**

8. What modifications do you think are needed to make the Island Planning Strategy legally compliant and/or sound?

**Build reservoirs and do not build over them - we need more water storage now note less part of the reason we have the sewage spills by untrustworthy water companies who discharge sewage into the sea, often illegally when it is not raining.. is because then water treatment works cannot cope because the reservoirs have been built on and climate change means unpredictable heavy rain**

9. Do you have any comments on the policies map?

**cant access it - it is not accessible to members of the public**

11. Do you wish to request to appear at the hearing sessions that will take place?

**Yes**

12. Please outline why you would like to attend?

**sewage spills and drinking water are of very important to the public**

# Environment Reg 19

Submitted by: Anonymous user

Submitted time: 19 Aug 2024, 18:06:38

Name/Organisation

**Penelope Baker**

Email Address

[REDACTED]

1. What type of respondent are you?

**Member of the Public**

2. What Environment policy you are commenting on

**EV10 - Preserving Settlement Identity**

3. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph(s)? if yes which paragraph does this relate to?

**settlement gaps listing indicating Lake and shanklin have a settlement gap - where?**

**But not indicating a gap between Lake and Newchurch which has a definite gap - a rural break between**

4. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be legally compliant?

**Yes**

5. Please give details to support your answer to question 4

- **Yes - legally compliant**

6. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be sound?

**Yes - positively prepared**

7. If you answered no to question six is this because?

- **not justified**

8. What modifications do you think are needed to make the Island Planning Strategy legally compliant and/or sound?

**remove shanklin to Lake as there is no gap- planners do tell where it is!**

**Add the South Lake to Newchurch gap - as there clearly is - it is those greenfields - and the gap wont be lost with a solar farm - which would comply with the policies this plan is supposed to support.**

9. Do you have any comments on the policies map?

**cant access it**

11. Do you wish to request to appear at the hearing sessions that will take place?

**Yes**

12. Please outline why you would like to attend?

**I really think its importw thant to hear where the gap between Shanklin and Lake is and how the gap between Newchurch can suddenly not exist**

# Environment Reg 19

Submitted by: Anonymous user

Submitted time: 19 Aug 2024, 11:54:13

Name/Organisation

**Penelope Baker**

Email Address

[REDACTED]

1. What type of respondent are you?

**Member of the Public**

2. What Environment policy you are commenting on

**EV19 - Managing Ground Instability in New Development**

3. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph(s)? if yes which paragraph does this relate to?

**Refers to new development, but overlooks simple measures that would help to reduce harm to existing roads and properties. In Ventnor the A3055 Leeson road was temporarily closed, reopened and is to be closed again for piling work. The B3327 St John's road closed due to fears of road collapse (according to bus users) the road is currently used by double deck buses. The road was repaired but bus users fear for the future as it is unstable over pipes. So weight restrictions with smaller service vehicles should be used. Community bus services are a policy of the new government. Ventnor with exceptional pressures, should receive funding for a smaller community bus service. Special licencing for heavier vehicles covering house moves etc. Urgent restrictions, a new road over fields to take some traffic off the B3327 will slow/halt deterioration, relocation of residents will take years**

4. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be legally compliant?

**Yes**

5. Please give details to support your answer to question 4

- **Yes - legally compliant**
- **Yes, complies with Duty to cooperate**

6. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be sound?

**Yes - consistent with national policy**

8. What modifications do you think are needed to make the Island Planning Strategy legally compliant and/or sound?

**I have ticked the boxes that it is legally compliant and sound as I do not have the expertise to say no**

9. Do you have any comments on the policies map?

**unable to access**

11. Do you wish to request to appear at the hearing sessions that will take place?

**Yes**

12. Please outline why you would like to attend?

**it is important that bus users have as much info as possible**



# IPS visions and objectives - Reg 19

Submitted by: Anonymous user

Submitted time: 19 Aug 2024, 16:59:46

Name/Organisation

**Penelope Baker**

Email Address

[REDACTED]

1. What type of respondent are you?

**Member of the public**

2. What IPS vision and objectives policy are you commenting on

**Section 2 The Isle of Wight and the issues we face**

3. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph(s)? if yes which paragraph does this relate to?

**Section 3 ss 3.44 Broad locations for growth and housing are shown but do not indicate renewable infrastructure. Renewable energy is essential for growth, and this outdated approach appears to ignore the potential of this island as a self sufficient renewable energy hub. Without designation of areas best served by renewable energy infrastructure, this policy document will work against net zero and the policies of the new government elected in 2024.**

4. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be legally compliant?

**Yes**

5. Please give details to support your answer to question 4

- **Yes - legally compliant**
- **Yes, complies with Duty to cooperate**

6. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be sound?

**Yes - positively prepared**

7. If you answered no to question six is this because?

- **Not effective**

8. What modifications do you think are needed to make the Island Planning Strategy legally compliant and/or sound?

**appropriate areas for renewable energy to be indicated as such**

9. Do you have any comments on the policies map?

**cant access the layerblic**

11. Do you wish to request to appear at the hearing sessions that will take place?

**Yes**

12. Please outline why you would like to attend?

**public scrutiny and information for future action**