

Community Reg 19

Submitted by: Anonymous user

Submitted time: 19 Aug 2024, 17:37:18

Name/Organisation

MR ANDREW MOSCOFF ('BORIS')

Email Address

[REDACTED]

1. What type of respondent are you?

Member of the Public

2. What Community policy you are commenting on

C3 - Improving our Health & Wellbeing

3. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph(s)? if yes which paragraph does this relate to?

'Health and Wellbeing' are intimately connected to our natural and community surroundings. Freshwater Village has developed within a rich and highly diverse rural area. The local environment with its flora and fauna must be protected at all costs as this valuable asset contributes to a rural tranquility which residents and visitors alike appreciate. The FNP (2017-27) makes it clear that any development must have this in mind. Any large scale development will change forever the unique sense of the natural balance of nature in this area with its Bio-diversity and tourism. A disproportionate number of houses has been allocated to Freshwater over the years (and this seems to be even getting worse as time goes by..). leading to the constant urbanisation of this wonderful rural landscape. Obviously, sensitive and small appropriate developments should be prioritised on brownfield sites that are in need of improvement and general up-grading. The IPS disregards the FNP in spite of the tremendous community work that went into this important document. Why? The wishes of the residents and community seem to be ignored. THE PARISH IS RURAL IN CHARACTER defined by its green spaces, views and mixed landscapes. Even Grade 2 Agricultural land seems to be allocated for housing and no longer sacrosanct. Moreover, our roads, sewage systems and medical facilities can hardly cope at present. We beg the planners to respect Freshwater and the surrounding beauty spots such as Tennyson Down and F'water Bay and resist turning this incomparable landscape into a sprawling town with no barriers and distinctions between F;water, Totland, Colwell and Yarmouth.

4. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be legally compliant?

No

5. Please give details to support your answer to question 4

- **No, Failure to comply to Duty to Cooperate**
- **No - not legally compliant**

6. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be sound?

No

7. If you answered no to question six is this because?

- **not effective**
- **not justified**
- **not positively prepared**

8. What modifications do you think are needed to make the Island Planning Strategy legally compliant and/or sound?

More consultation, openness, dialogue with the community and respect for the FNP>

9. Do you have any comments on the policies map?

no

11. Do you wish to request to appear at the hearing sessions that will take place?

Yes

12. Please outline why you would like to attend?

to challenge the premise, process and reason for this document when so many other documents have been completed (such as the FNP.) No respect for community and Parish views.

Environment Reg 19

Submitted by: Anonymous user

Submitted time: 19 Aug 2024, 15:54:31

Name/Organisation

MR ANDREW S MOSCOFF ('BORIS')

Email Address

[REDACTED]

1. What type of respondent are you?

Member of the Public

2. What Environment policy you are commenting on

EV1 - Conserving and Enhancing our Historic Environment

3. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph(s)? if yes which paragraph does this relate to?

please refer to the Freshwater Neighbourhood Plan (FNP) (which I spent many hours on together with a comprehensive team) .Allocation of sites has been changed without consultation and definitely does not serve local needs. The original settlement boundary for Freshwater should be re-established and 'hardened' to remove the threat to agricultural land (grade 2) all in accordance with the FNP. Prevent Erosion of 'gaps' to retain views into the outstanding countryside (prevent 'urban sprawl'). Avoid large-scale buildings and keep the sense of separation between settlement areas ie and eg between Colwell, Totland and Freshwater etc. Moving the settlement boundary is a major change which needs agreement between Parish Councils

4. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be legally compliant?

No

5. Please give details to support your answer to question 4

- **No, Failure to comply to Duty to Cooperate**
- **No - not legally compliant**

6. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be sound?

No

7. If you answered no to question six is this because?

- **not effective**
- **not justified**
- **not positively prepared**

8. What modifications do you think are needed to make the Island Planning Strategy legally compliant and/or sound?

much more open consultation

9. Do you have any comments on the policies map?

no

11. Do you wish to request to appear at the hearing sessions that will take place?

Yes

12. Please outline why you would like to attend?

to question the whole legal basis upon which this document is based

Environment Reg 19

Submitted by: Anonymous user

Submitted time: 19 Aug 2024, 16:31:38

Name/Organisation

MR ANDREW S MOSCOFF ('BORIS')

Email Address

[REDACTED]

1. What type of respondent are you?

Member of the Public

2. What Environment policy you are commenting on

EV4 - Water Quality Impact on Solent Marine Sites (Nitrates)

3. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph(s)? if yes which paragraph does this relate to?

sewage disposal etc. Plans are contrary to our UNESCO Biosphere status. 60% of the WestWight is within an AONB (Isle-of -Wight National Landscape) 80% of the coastline is Heritage Coast. Question: how will development in DIPS ie sites in Freshwater that our within risk zones of SSSI sites and the SAC at Afton Marsh, have an impact on these precious sites? it is well known that the island sewage system is already overloaded and contributing to nitrate levels in our rivers and coastline, as well as the solent as a whole.The island has a unique mix of landscape types.....as well as UNESCO various bodies have warned of the dangers of inappropriate housing development on our island landscape.

4. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be legally compliant?

No

5. Please give details to support your answer to question 4

- **No, Failure to comply to Duty to Cooperate**
- **No - not legally compliant**

6. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be sound?

No

7. If you answered no to question six is this because?

- **Not consistent with national policy**
- **not effective**
- **not justified**
- **not positively prepared**

8. What modifications do you think are needed to make the Island Planning Strategy legally compliant and/or sound?

much more open consultation with Parish Councils and environmental bodies etc etc

9. Do you have any comments on the policies map?

no

11. Do you wish to request to appear at the hearing sessions that will take place?

Yes

12. Please outline why you would like to attend?

to challenge the premise upon which this document has been released, especially the lack of time to respond and the difficulties for the average person to get to grips with the process.

Housing Reg 19

Submitted by: Anonymous user

Submitted time: 19 Aug 2024, 19:19:34

Name/Organisation

MR ANDREW S MOSCOFF ('BORIS')

Email Address

[REDACTED]

1. What type of respondent are you?

Member of the Public

2. What Housing policy you are commenting on

H2 - Sites Allocated for Housing

3. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph(s)? if yes which paragraph does this relate to?

Sites Allocated for housing. West Wight has been allocated a disproportionate number of houses on greenfield sites. The rural nature of Freshwater village and its closeness to the river Yar and coastal sites which can affect and create the conditions for flooding. we must remember Freshwater is a rural village NOT a main or major settlement. The island needs affordable housing to rent or own. there are many reasons to question or doubt the housing targets (400-1000+ a year for 15 years!). population growth for instance is not matched by economic growth during the same period therefore the status of 'Exceptional Circumstances' to counter the discrepancy. 300-400 houses per year is more representative of the islands internal growth potential. Vacant homes are double the national average. the island is roughly 44% older than the UK average with Freshwater in particular being more than double the average and there is little opportunity for employment for young families with little incentive to move to the island due to high prices and inappropriate luxury homes. The infrastructure cannot support large housing developments....any resident will describe the fragility of the medical, transport and sewage situation.

4. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be legally compliant?

No

5. Please give details to support your answer to question 4

- **No, Failure to comply to Duty to Cooperate**
- **No - not legally compliant**

6. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be sound?

No

7. If no to question six is this because?

- **Not consistent with national policy**
- **not effective**
- **not justified**
- **not positively prepared**

8. What modifications do you think are needed to make the Island Planning Strategy legally compliant and/or sound?

much more consultation with local communities and Parish Councils .Respect for the work which has already taken place re; the FNP.

9. Do you have any comments on the policies map?

no

11. Do you wish to request to appear at the hearing sessions that will take place?

Yes

12. Please outline why you would like to attend?

to challenge the process and documents of DIPS which ignores the previous community consultation ie FNP.