

Environment Reg 19

Submitted by: Anonymous user

Submitted time: 19 Aug 2024, 17:30:56

Name/Organisation

Mandy Pearce

Email Address

[REDACTED]

1. What type of respondent are you?

Member of the Public

2. What Environment policy you are commenting on

EV6 - Protecting and Providing Green and Open Spaces

3. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph(s)? if yes which paragraph does this relate to?

IPS 2.5IPS 2.9CC1 Climate change

4. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be legally compliant?

No

5. Please give details to support your answer to question 4

- **No - not legally compliant**

6. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be sound?

No

7. If you answered no to question six is this because?

- **not effective**
- **not positively prepared**

8. What modifications do you think are needed to make the Island Planning Strategy legally compliant and/or sound?

IPS 2.5 Freshwater and Totland are rural villages, not a main settlement. The facilities in this area are stretched beyond belief. There is not enough infrastructure to allow for this

IPS 2.9 You state we need to protect our precious environment and landscape. However the IPS is in favour of sacrificing greenfields and agricultural land. There is still not enough infrastructure to allow for this

**CC1 Building on greenfields opposes the protecting of our UNESCO status, the Solent from sewage, our Carbon neutral plan and many other factors....all from over development
The Climate Environment Strategy 2021-2030 states 'The IOW aims to achieve Net Zero by 2030'
Development on these proportions will remove greenfield forever and increase CO2 emissions.
The Queens Green Canopy was to plant trees, not to tear everything down and build**

9. Do you have any comments on the policies map?

**CC1 The suggested boundary changes have been made without consultation and does not take into consideration local community needs
We should be avoiding large scale building and retain our green areas, planting more trees to help with the CO2 emissions**

11. Do you wish to request to appear at the hearing sessions that will take place?

Yes

12. Please outline why you would like to attend?

To explain my distrust of this documentation

IPS visions and objectives - Reg 19

Submitted by: Anonymous user

Submitted time: 19 Aug 2024, 17:11:10

Name/Organisation

Mandy Pearce

Email Address

[REDACTED]

1. What type of respondent are you?

Member of the public

2. What IPS vision and objectives policy are you commenting on

Section 1 Introduction

3. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph(s)? if yes which paragraph does this relate to?

n/a

4. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be legally compliant?

No

5. Please give details to support your answer to question 4

- **No - not legally compliant**

6. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be sound?

No

7. If you answered no to question six is this because?

- **Not justified**
- **Not positively prepared**

8. What modifications do you think are needed to make the Island Planning Strategy legally compliant and/or sound?

This strategy plan has not been given enough time for consultation and in no way protects our environment and the whole reason for living here.... the beautiful landscape. The process needs to be carried out with the proper consultation period

9. Do you have any comments on the policies map?

The map changes to redesignate the periphery of Freshwater is unreasonable. The area does not have the capability for the vast expansion of housing without further infrastructure and improved transport. Neither the revised settlement boundary nor the SHLAA process itself have had the opportunity for public consultation.

11. Do you wish to request to appear at the hearing sessions that will take place?

Yes

12. Please outline why you would like to attend?

In order to express my utter disrespect for this plan

Transport Reg 19

Submitted by: Anonymous user

Submitted time: 19 Aug 2024, 17:52:39

Name/Organisation

Mandy Pearce

Email Address

[REDACTED]

1. What type of respondent are you?

Member of the public

2. What Transport policy are you commenting on

T3 - Cross Solent Transport

3. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph(s)? if yes which paragraph does this relate to?

T1

4. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be legally compliant?

No

5. Please give details to support your answer to question 4

- **No, Failure to comply to Duty to Cooperate**
- **No - not legally compliant**

6. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be sound?

No

7. If you answered no to question six is this because?

- **Not effective**
- **Not positively prepared**

8. What modifications do you think are needed to make the Island Planning Strategy legally compliant and/or sound?

The IPS makes no proposals to resolve the issues with transport to and from the Island. Affordability is key. Many people from the Freshwater and Totland area need to make use of the ferry service in order to get to work. This used to be a public service. This affects many Island residents for work or business. The IPS needs to promote investment and inspire an improved Island economy allowing for a younger working population spread Islandwide

9. Do you have any comments on the policies map?

Redesignating areas on the periphery of the Island means more homes, more travel and STILL THERE IS NO ENOUGH INFRASTRUCTURE

11. Do you wish to request to appear at the hearing sessions that will take place?

Yes

12. Please outline why you would like to attend?

To understand why the IPS is forging ahead without due consultation

Transport Reg 19

Submitted by: Anonymous user

Submitted time: 19 Aug 2024, 17:43:16

Name/Organisation

Mandy Pearce

Email Address

[REDACTED]

1. What type of respondent are you?

Member of the public

2. What Transport policy are you commenting on

General Comments for Transport

3. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph(s)? if yes which paragraph does this relate to?

T1

T.7

4. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be legally compliant?

No

5. Please give details to support your answer to question 4

- **No, Failure to comply to Duty to Cooperate**
- **No - not legally compliant**

6. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be sound?

No

7. If you answered no to question six is this because?

- **Not effective**
- **Not justified**
- **Not positively prepared**

8. What modifications do you think are needed to make the Island Planning Strategy legally compliant and/or sound?

T1 Transport

The West Wight and surrounding area have limited safe travel methods without use of cars. Southern Vectis has tried hard and with Government support made bus use viable however, this is for a limited period only. And limited longevity. There is no plan to enable further safe cycle ways from Newport to Freshwater, not returning to a train service. Thus ensuring cars are the mostly used option. Dreadful environmentally Transport planning is essential if employment is to improve; Doctors and Dentist services to be made available and general communication with the rest of the Island.

The increase in traffic to Newport from Freshwater, and Totland will only increase with the development plans that are being put forward, thus increasing our carbon emissions, against the already agreed plans

9. Do you have any comments on the policies map?

The proposal to redesignate areas outside of Freshwater boundary will provide more homes again WITHOUT the required infrastructure, which this plan does not cater for

11. Do you wish to request to appear at the hearing sessions that will take place?

Yes

12. Please outline why you would like to attend?

To further understand the unnecessary deviation from the original plans. These will not improve the Island