

Draft Health Contributions Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) consultation comments 2024.

Pursuant to regulations 11 to 14 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012.

The draft SPD outlines how the Hampshire and Isle of Wight Integrated Care Board (ICB) decide what improvements to health infrastructure may be needed in particular areas of the Island. These improvements would be for infrastructure only (e.g. new or extended health premises) and not health services (e.g. more GPs).

The consultation ran from Friday 16 February 2024 until Tuesday 2 April 2024. A total of 12 representations were received and the summary of comments can be found below, together with a response from the LPA and also identifying any changes to the draft SPD as a result.

Representation	Number	Support	Object	No Comments	General Comment	Summary of Comments made during the consultation	Isle of Wight Council's response to the comments and changes to SPD
Statutory Consultees							
Natural England - Sharon Jenkins	HC02			✓		Whilst we welcome this opportunity to give our views, the topic of this Health Contributions SPD does not appear to relate to our interests to any significant extent. We therefore do not wish to comment.	No comments noted.
Historic England – Guy Robinson	HC12			✓		We do not have any comments on the draft SPD.	No comments noted.

Appendix 2: Draft Health Contributions Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) consultation comments 2024.

Representation	Number	Support	Object	No Comments	General Comment	Summary of Comments made during the consultation	Isle of Wight Council's response to the comments and changes to SPD
Parish/Town Councils							
Newchurch Parish Council	HC03	✓				At its meeting on 19 th February Newchurch Parish Council welcomed the production of this document.	Support Noted.
Northwood Parish Council	HC04	✓				Northwood Parish Council considered the Draft Health Contributions SPD consultation when they met last night and are in support of it.	Support Noted.
Newport and Carisbrooke Community Council	HC05				✓	<p>Whilst the document makes developers responsible for healthcare facilities, it does not make developers responsible for hiring more personnel, which means this document wouldn't increase staffing of healthcare facilities and instead would just financially contribute towards them.</p> <p>Whilst this is a good starting point, members are still concerned.</p>	<p>General comment did not result in a change to the content of the document.</p> <p>Comment noted. This Draft Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) sets out a robust, evidence-based methodology for deciding what the required health infrastructure improvements may be in a particular area of the island and then identifies how the level of developer contributions that would be required towards those improvements will be calculated.</p> <p>The Isle of Wight Council is working in partnership with the NHS Hampshire & Isle of Wight Integrated Care Board (ICB) to facilitate the collection of financial contributions towards</p>

Appendix 2: Draft Health Contributions Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) consultation comments 2024.

Representation	Number	Support	Object	No Comments	General Comment	Summary of Comments made during the consultation	Isle of Wight Council's response to the comments and changes to SPD
						<p>A large number of healthcare facilities on the island are currently based in older buildings, which would not be possible to extend, and this financial contribution could force these facilities to move and buy bigger premises to function.</p> <p>These facilities should stay local and be accessible to local people rather than potentially move to larger sites that need to be accessed by vehicle or public transport.</p>	<p>primary care infrastructure from qualifying development, where necessary.</p> <p>Any approach to calculate and request financial contributions is required to be in accordance with Regulation 122 of the Community Infrastructure Regulations and paragraph 57 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), ensuring contributions are sought within the guidelines.</p> <p>Comment noted. The Draft SPD and requirement for contributions looks solely at the expansion of existing GP surgeries in areas where capacity is needed and does not account for situations where the provision of an entire new surgery is required, or where provision will be included within the development of a building for wider community use.</p> <p>In such instances where on site provision or expansion is to be provided, negotiations will need to take place between the Hampshire & Isle of Wight ICB, the Local Planning Authority and the developer.</p>

Appendix 2: Draft Health Contributions Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) consultation comments 2024.

Representation	Number	Support	Object	No Comments	General Comment	Summary of Comments made during the consultation	Isle of Wight Council's response to the comments and changes to SPD
						Newport and Carisbrooke Community Council have a NEUTRAL view of this consultation.	
Public Comments							
Carole Cusack	HC01				✓	<p>Ability to require developers to contribute to local health facilities under Section 106 or as a Community Interest Levy has been in place for many years.</p> <p>If the council is purely looking to formalise this process then this should only be implemented where additional NHS facilities/services are required (which is likely to be in all cases).</p>	<p>General comment did not result in a change to the content of the document.</p> <p>Comment noted. Although the council has had the facility (under Policy DM22 of the Island Plan Core Strategy) to collect health contributions under section 106 agreements, a definitive cost figure has never been available.</p> <p>The draft Health Contributions SPD identifies a figure per patient (provided by the NHS Hampshire & Isle of Wight Integrated Care Board). With this figure in place, the draft SPD can facility Policy DM22.</p>
John Ash	HC06	✓				As someone who has been involved in planning and development of new and expanding towns, I fully support the proposals.	Support noted.

Appendix 2: Draft Health Contributions Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) consultation comments 2024.

Representation	Number	Support	Object	No Comments	General Comment	Summary of Comments made during the consultation	Isle of Wight Council's response to the comments and changes to SPD
						Could I also suggest that something similar be proposed for education and community facilities.	Financial contributions for education are sought from developers. As part of the planning process, developers are asked to provide a financial contribution towards education facilities that are required as a result of a new housing being built. Information about adopted SPDs can be found on the website .
Lesley Stannard	HC07				✓	<p>Accept that there is an urgent need for more affordable housing on the Island, though GP surgeries already at breaking point.</p> <p>Careful thought must be given to how more Doctors are to be recruited to serve an ever increasing population before permission is given to build any more housing.</p>	<p>General comment did not result in a change to the content of the document.</p> <p>Comment noted. To better integrate planning and health across the island and to help plan efficiently for health infrastructure, the Isle of Wight Council is working in partnership with the NHS Hampshire & Isle of Wight Integrated Care Board (ICB) to facilitate the collection of financial contributions towards primary care infrastructure from qualifying housing development, where necessary.</p> <p>This Draft Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) sets out a robust, evidence-based methodology for deciding what the required health infrastructure improvements may be in a particular area of the island and then identifies how the level of developer contributions that would be required towards those improvements will be calculated.</p>

Appendix 2: Draft Health Contributions Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) consultation comments 2024.

Representation	Number	Support	Object	No Comments	General Comment	Summary of Comments made during the consultation	Isle of Wight Council's response to the comments and changes to SPD
Frances Turan	HC08				✓	<p>The council already has the power to levy a surcharge on new developments in order to mitigate its impact on local amenities, or improve local conditions. This council should enforce the powers it already has and ensure that all planning agreements are fully enforceable before granting permission. Many developers propose schemes to enhance their proposals, only to renege on their promises once building is underway.</p> <p>General Practitioners are paid per patient. Additional housing will automatically generate a greater income for their business. Of course, there is a shortage of GPs on the island, but this proposal does not address the issue of staffing levels.</p>	<p>General comment did not result in a change to the content of the document.</p> <p>The ability for Local Planning Authorities to adequately fund monitoring and enforcement is noted.</p> <p>Although the council has had the facility (under Policy DM22 of the Island Plan Core Strategy) to collect health contributions under section 106 agreements, a definitive cost figure has never been available. The draft Health Contributions SPD identifies a figure per patient (provided by the NHS Hampshire & Isle of Wight Integrated Care Board). With this figure in place, the draft SPD can facility Policy DM22.</p> <p>Comment noted. This Draft Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) sets out a robust, evidence-based methodology for deciding what the required health infrastructure improvements may be in a particular area of the island and then identifies how the level of developer contributions that would be required towards those improvements will be calculated.</p>
Julie Newell	HC09				✓	<p>I cannot see how it will improve our medical care, or relieve the burden on existing medical services.</p>	<p>General comment did not result in a change to the content of the document.</p>

Appendix 2: Draft Health Contributions Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) consultation comments 2024.

Representation	Number	Support	Object	No Comments	General Comment	Summary of Comments made during the consultation	Isle of Wight Council's response to the comments and changes to SPD
						Surely the Council should use its powers to ensure that all private housing developments enhance the surrounding area with regards to lessening their impact and improving the infrastructure in order to actually benefit local residents.	<p>Comment noted. Proposed development and any impacts on the surrounding area is considered at the planning application stage.</p> <p>The Draft SPD does go some way in helping to improve infrastructure. The requirement for contributions looks at the expansion of existing GP surgeries in areas where capacity is needed.</p>
Martin Bilson	HC10	✓			✓	I endorse your idea of health centres - Doctors / Dentists/ Physiotherapists/ Podiatrists etc but there also needs to be some way of attracting these health professionals to the Isle of Wight as currently there are insufficient to meet the needs of the residents. Also schools need improving to attract these professionals with families to settle and stay on the Isle of Wight or some way of funding private education ?	<p>General comment did not result in a change to the content of the document.</p> <p>Support noted. This comment is outside the remit of the draft SPD. The draft SPD can only address financial contributions for health care to facilitate planning policy DM22 of the Island Plan Core Strategy.</p>
Gabrielle Allen	HC11				✓	I can only speak from experience, which is not	General comment did not result in a change to the content of the document.

Appendix 2: Draft Health Contributions Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) consultation comments 2024.

Representation	Number	Support	Object	No Comments	General Comment	Summary of Comments made during the consultation	Isle of Wight Council's response to the comments and changes to SPD
						<p>good, where builders apply for permission to build on green land without any clear understanding of the need for more doctors in the local surgery, more dentists & improved hospital services. They need to talk to the local people & visit these facilities to find out what is actually there.</p>	<p>Comment noted. The Draft SPD and requirement for contributions looks solely at the expansion of existing GP surgeries in areas where capacity is needed.</p>