

1. Albert Street

RESPONSES

Object- [redacted] and to add more yellow lines will make it more difficult to park, [redacted] where am I supposed to park.

I object to this proposal, there is already a lack of parking in these streets, this proposal would wreak havoc with adjoining streets, which again there is limited parking for residence.

Object

I object there is 2 Doctors surgery in this street where are patients suppose to park ! No shops either pointless place to put a loading bay that won't be used for its purposes

I agree with this proposal

I object to this proposal as these spaces are needed for extra parking for people visiting shops and businesses in the centre of town and I think this is more important than the occasional loading

Who is this meant to serve? Do they not have rear access?

Support

Object- Not enough spaces in Ventnor to park especially during the Summer Season when most of the car parking spaces are full

I object to this proposal The interests of multiple businesses round the corner in the town centre would seem more important than the occasional convenience of people wishing to load and unload, given the lack of parking for shoppers in town.

Object. There is no need for this. Resident's parking.

Objection

The loading times should be longer say 3 hours at least

Object, this will affect residents, holiday let's and the general economy of ventnor . Vehicles will be displaced to other locations, thus creating issues on other streets. There has never been an issue here with emergency service access and no accidents of note for this to be brought in.

Object

I object to this proposal.

Object. Parking in Ventnor is limited enough. This will push the problem onto following streets and discourage visitors to the shops and restaurants.

I fully support this as I feel that there are far too many cars blocking both pavements and access to premises. They are hazardous to pedestrians, especially families with prams. There are plenty of car parking spaces available in the designated car parks

I do not support this proposal

I strongly object. There has been no consultation with the public prior to making this proposal. You should be taking into account what people want and need. There is little enough parking in Ventnor, which will adversely affect shops and cafes in the town. Several shops have closed down recently and this will be another nail in the coffin for others.

I support this proposal because space reserved for loading and unloading is essential in the town centre.

1 / 4

District 5 Traffic Regulation Order review - Ventnor

I object to the proposal due to parking for the residents and doctors surgery

Object parking needed for doctors

I object to this proposal. There is little benefit in creating a loading bay. There is little enough parking in the High St without the removal of these bays.

I have no particular comments on this

Totally unnecessary.

Object

I strongly object...parking is already horrendous...especially for people who work and HAVE to move their cars everyday. [REDACTED] school then having to park so far away from your own home just adds more stress to an already hectic life .

Support

Object - not a good place for deliveries to the High Street

Who is the loading/unloading for? Is it residents or workmen? I support it in principle but only during holiday season.

I object to the whole of Albert street being closed. Up to 40 cars will struggle to find parking, many probably won't be able to. Close only part of the road, not the whole thing.

Object - how many loading bays does the town need when there is a dire shortage of parking for residents who do not have a dedicated parking space with their property.

I understand loading for businesses is difficult in Ventnor town, but parking is too and this will potentially remove parking spaces.

Strongly object. Ventnor already suffers from not enough free parking !

OBJECT

Support

I would support this proposal as it would assist local business

I object. As a resident of Ventnor I feel you will just push the parking issue to somewhere else i.e. Bonchurch or the surrounding areas. It is all very well banning the vehicles but where do you expect them to go? If you intended to provide new car parks for the residents then that would help.

No view on this

Support

support

Support. I understand that loading/unloading is from 8am to 10am n they can wait in the bay from 10am to 6pm for one hour only. However what I can't understand is that loading/unloading could still continue between 10am n 6pm for one hour in the parked waiting time. Who's going to monitor it? I can just see it being loading/unloading for one hour between 8am to 6pm from 1st May to 30th September.

Object

Object

Support

Agree

Where will the residents park?

I strongly object to these proposals. There is absolutely no need for any of these changes as all services have accessed these roads for years. Also if you do not provide residents parking near there homes they will spread there cars out to the surrounding area making it impossible for tourists to park in the busy holiday months which will remove income from the town and the

2 / 4

District 5 Traffic Regulation Order review - Ventnor

Islands economy and considering the tourist industry is the Islands major industry this is extremely short sighted by the council. The only alternative is to build an new extra car park for residents & issue permits for the home owners effected.

No comment.

Good

I object Parking is difficult for residents due to lack of affordable off road carparking

Object, as there doesn't seem to be a valid reason for this facility

I object to this proposal. Just leave it as is.

I object. The proposal will have a severe impact on the economic well-being of residents and businesses. In addition it will severely reduce ease of access to homes and businesses, particularly those, typically older persons, with mobility that is limited, but not to the extent that they qualify for a blue badge. Increasing parking areas that require a fee is also badly judged in a time of great economic hardship.

I object to this proposal as it would mean car parking spaces being lost in Ventnor when businesses are struggling enough. There are very few businesses in Albert St where anyone would need to unload

Support

Oppose..there are no businesses in this area that would need drop off and the parking is used by people going to the doctors and is the nearest for those who can't walk well

I support this proposal

Object. There's not enough local on street parking as it is.

I support

Object

Put the loading bay next to the disabled bay opposite the medical centre

Object - why is a loading bay needed there?

Support , I don't see a problem with this , as long as all other parking spaces are still available to the residents of Albert street

No comment

I support the proposal

I object to this ridiculous idea. Where are elderly residents going to park? St Catherine's Street has disabled bays. How will these residents be affected? How many accidents have occurred on St Catherine's Street in the last 2 years? This is not warranted in any way.

Great idea. I support it.

Object to the proposal, there is already insufficient parking available in the area.

Object strongly, where on earth are people going to park? What with Covid, cost of living etc people's mental health is going to be affected surely it's a basic right to be able to park near your house, this will create anxiety and friction. At least 4 car spaces were removed when the roads were tarmac, what are you doing.

Support

Fully support

Albert Street has 2 Doctors surgeries and a Children Nursery and it would make life very difficult for disabled patients and families to access these facilities with these proposed parking restrictions

Fully support the changes st Catherine's street nearly been run over being on the pavement numerous times!

Object. Surely a loading bay would be better suited on the high street, where the shops are,

3 / 4

District 5 Traffic Regulation Order review - Ventnor

more convenient for delivery drivers.

Object

Object, parking is bad enough in Ventnor during the summer

Object

2. St Catherine Street

My family [redacted] are strongly opposed to this proposal which would have a significant impact on both the street and surrounding area for the following reasons: A: The low volume and low speed of traffic which contributes significantly to the character & pedestrian friendly nature of St Catherine's Street can largely be attributed to the traffic calming effect of the parked cars as currently permitted. If this calming effect was removed pedestrian safety would be severely compromised as many more vehicles would use the road as a cut through and would be travelling at far higher speeds. B: A significant number of the properties with St. Catherine Street are used as holiday lets providing much needed income for all the local business within the town. In addition to the direct tourist income these rental holiday properties also provide much needed work for many local tradespeople in maintaining the buildings. All of the aforementioned income & benefits to the local community would be lost as the holiday bookings would reduce immeasurably if no parking is available (Who wants to drag their suitcase and all the belongings required for a family self-catering holiday from streets away). C: The parking problem would simply be pushed elsewhere as the cars would have to be parked in the adjoining streets where parking is already limited and a potential issue.

I object to this proposal. Obviously in an ideal world there would be no parking on all narrow streets. However, we have a situation where people who live and visit there need to park their cars. Forcing people to park elsewhere is really unrealistic and unfair. Last time I drove along St Catherine Street I counted 25 cars. It would be impossible for them all to park in Wheelers Bay car park when quite often, particularly in the holiday seasons, there are very few -if any- spare spaces. As far as I'm aware, bin lorries and emergency vehicles have been able to drive along St Catherine, despite the cars being parked there. Please don't make parking very difficult for all those who currently park there.

Object- [redacted] this is absolutely ridiculous the car parks are full when residents park in them, never mind the influx of visitors in the summer months, also when holiday homes are occupied, plus it will turn into a rat run, I object strongly to this proposal.

I object to this proposal, on the ground at this would cause havoc with adjoining streets that have already limited parking.

Object

I completely object to this proposal for many reasons. 1. It seems completely unnecessary. There have been no accidents in this stretch of road and although pedestrians and cars may occasionally have to wait a few seconds to let each other past, this is no inconvenience and in fact acts as a traffic calming measure. 2. It will significantly reduce parking availability for residents and tourists. It is already difficult enough to find parking spaces in ventnor. There are a number of elderly and disabled residents in this Street who rely on being able to park near their homes. 3. It will massively increase risk to local children and pets who are used to cars traveling slowly along the road. People will begin to use the road to travel at 30mph which is completely unsafe on this small stretch of road. 4. [redacted]

[redacted] are seriously considering having to move away from our current home in St

[redacted]. 5. Any tiny advantage to be gained by this measure, seems to be massively outweighed by the negative impacts it would cause.

I strongly object to these scandalous proposals and I cannot help but wonder whether there is a hidden agenda when I analyse the reasons being put forward for such devastating proposals in respect of St. Catherine Street and it's residents. I do not understand what sort of team

1 / 14

District 5 Traffic Regulation Order review - Ventnor

would honestly and logically put their heads together and come out with these plans. Residents and the holidaymakers alike have lived their lives year in and year out adjusting to the lack of parking in St Catherine Street. We all understand that sometimes we are unable to park and that the end of the street towards the corner of Madeira road narrows. We negotiate that regularly with calm and awareness, emergency and waste vehicles do the same, it isn't rocket science, drivers and pedestrians have done it for years and it works. I think about the affect of these proposals not only on the lives of the elderly and the disabled but also on the parents of children and babies. I think about them trying to load and unload youngsters with equipment whilst trying to get to and necessary appointments, rushing to the Drs or the hospital for example and having to get their children in to a vehicle (wherever that might be?) let alone the pram etc! I truly believe that St Catherine Street will become a cut through for all and sundry, making the street dangerous for us all. Access only schemes never work unless policed and thus being an extremely costly venture for Councils. Through traffic will also make our quiet seaside street both noisy and dirty. I am absolutely outraged that in this day and age, with the Governments and whole Country's efforts to reduce pollution that YOU, plan for us in St Catherine Street to have more pollution and fumes to live with from the consistent flow of traffic navigating it's way around and out of Ventnor. In this day and age, why should the air that we breathe deteriorate and be of poorer quality, why should our street be more dangerous? My family are now soon to be 5th generation residents of St Catherine Street, it is a much loved authentic seaside street of Ventnor and regular route of the Ventnor Carnival. Please do not ruin the quality of the lives of us all. Please do not destroy the character of our street in the process and convert it into a rat run. Shame, shame on YOU! I strongly object to these scandalous proposals and I cannot help but wonder whether there is a hidden agenda when I analyse the reasons being put forward for such devastating proposals in respect of St. Catherine Street and it's residents. I do not understand what sort of team would honestly and logically put their heads together and come out with these plans. Residents and the holidaymakers alike have lived their lives year in and year out adjusting to the lack of parking in St Catherine Street. We all understand that sometimes we are unable to park and that the end of the street towards the corner of Madeira road narrows. We negotiate that regularly with calm and awareness, it isn't rocket science, drivers and pedestrians have done it for years and it works. I think about the affect of these proposals not only on the lives of the elderly and the disabled but also on the parents of children and babies. I think about them trying to load and unload youngsters with equipment whilst trying to get to and necessary appointments, rushing to the Drs or the hospital for example and having to get their children in to a vehicle (wherever that might be?) let alone the pram etc! I truly believe that St Catherine Street will become a cut through for all and sundry, making the street dangerous for us all. Access only schemes never work unless policed and thus being an extremely costly venture for Councils. Through traffic will also make our quiet seaside street both noisy and dirty. I am absolutely outraged that in this day and age, with the Governments and whole Country's efforts to reduce pollution that

YOU, plan for us in St Catherine Street to have more pollution and fumes to live with from the consistent flow of traffic navigating it's way around and out of Ventnor. In this day and age, why should the air that we breathe deteriorate and be of poorer quality, why should our street be more dangerous? My family are now soon to be 5th generation residents of St Catherine Street, it is a much loved authentic seaside street of Ventnor and regular route of the Ventnor Carnival. Please do not ruin the quality of the lives of us all. Please do not destroy the character of our street in the process and convert it into a rat run. Shame, shame on YOU!
Sent from my iPhone Sent from my iPhone

As a resident of St Catherine Street I strongly object to the proposal to remove parking along this road. I have significant concerns about the impact this would have both on residents of the street and surrounding areas. Having nearby parking is essential to us [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] The thought of not having available parking is extremely stressful let alone the reality of it. Indeed the thought of this proposal going ahead has caused considerable anxiety. I would be concerned about the knock on impact on surrounding streets as residents will need to park somewhere. Currently traffic moves extremely slowly down the road because of the parked cars and we are able to let our toddler walk down the street next to us however this would be too dangerous if cars were to go at the inevitable quicker speeds. I would also be concerned about our own and neighbourhood cats who currently have a safe life on the street. Whilst I understand one of the points raised was around emergency vehicle access, we have proof of access during the 2017 fire on Beaconsfield Road. Perhaps in order to improve this, a mandate of only vehicles of certain sizes could have parking access on the street. We urge you to listen to residents and reconsider this plan.

I object to these changes, this will force over 30 vehicles to park in other areas, where there is already parking difficulties. Using Wheelers Bay car park will present massive congestion,

2 / 14

District 5 Traffic Regulation Order review - Ventnor

especially during the tourist season, or it will stop visitors coming to Ventnor. It is very difficult already to find parking areas in the town and along the esplanade. We need much more parking, not less. There have been few difficulties getting access along St Catherine's Street.

I object to this as there is no provision for residents to park elsewhere or near their homes. Cars will be forced to park in other roads near by and in turn, effect those people living in those roads and streets. Ventnor has insufficient parking as it is

Object

We strongly object to this proposal. [REDACTED]
never been an accident in all that tir

[REDACTED] Any problems with parking in this road is caused by vehicles parking on the slight bend halfway along. If the yellow lines were extended from that point to the dissabled bay this would alleviate the problem. Also the parking of large vans do not help. [REDACTED]

and not once was the ambulance not able to get through. If no parking in this road is allowed will become a race track.

Object. It will become a cut through a lot of the houses including mine you step straight into the road no pavement. Which will be hazardous against fast moving traffic. The bottom end of the road has no pavement for people coming out of their houses so fast moving traffic will be so dangerous.

Object where are residents of the street meant to park ? cars have parked along there for years ! Don't try and fix something that's not broken!!

I support this proposal

I am totally opposed to this proposal. 1. It is unnecessary, since the present parking arrangements do not cause an obstruction. 2. It will make the street unsafer, since it will encourage speeding, at present controlled by the presence of parked vehicles. 3. It will cause huge inconvenience and expense for the residents of St Catherine's Street, lead to overcrowding on nearby streets and reliance on public car parks which already in the tourist season are at capacity. 4. It will be a disincentive to seasonal visitors, on whom the Island's economy depends, to choose to holiday in Ventnor. I have to say that this is a thoroughly ill-conceived scheme the full consequences of which have simply not been appreciated.

I object to the proposal. There's been no problem with access for many years (while I have been in St Catherine St). The current arrangements are sensible and there are already yellow lines where needed. Amey refuse lorries seem larger than the previous ones which never had a problem. This is not the fault of the residents. If needed there must be less restrictive ways of resolving the situation which would avoid the loss of all these parking spaces. Pedestrians would be less safe if the cars are removed as speeds would increase massively. I wrote in with my detailed comments on 23 October.

Understood, But where are the residents to park?

I strongly disapprove. The introduction of this will severely affect the lives of the residents of St Catherine's Street and the surrounding streets. Although the street is narrow, I have never been aware of there having been a problem with the bin lorries, delivery vans and emergency vehicles getting through. It requires careful driving, but it is not impossible. Has any thought been given as to where people might park their cars? Parking in the whole area around there (Madeira Road, East, West, North and South Streets, Dudley Road, is very difficult. We live in one of these streets and often have to park our car 5 minutes' walk away. How will families with children, elderly or disabled people manage on a daily basis if they can't park nearby, or have to resort to paying to park in carparks. Life is challenging enough at the moment without adding the extra anxiety of cost and of the practical difficulties of not being able to stop near their house. If you create a clear road in this way, it will be bound to be used by speeding cars, which will make it far more dangerous than it is now, when people have to drive slowly and carefully. It will affect holiday makers too and make houses on this street less attractive if parking is difficult or expensive. The town depends on holiday-makers. Property prices will be affected adversely, which is unfair on the residents who bought their houses with on-street parking nearby. I sincerely hope that you will remove this plan for St Catherine's Street.

I object due to already lack of parking spaces in Ventnor and the council don't offer free parking in car parks.

Object - When I come home from work whether it is during the day or in the evening, there's no car parking spaces at the current moment. I think it is ridiculous because by putting double yellow lines, it is reducing people's parking facilities and Wheelers Bay car park is full now even before you put the Double Yellow Lines. Also, I can't even get a Parking Permit because my house is too far away.

I object to this proposal. There has been no problem with access for decades under the current sensible parking restrictions, and any difficulty being encountered by larger refuse lorries now being used could be resolved with minimal loss of scarce parking by less restrictive traffic engineering methods. Pedestrian safety would be harmed if a No Waiting restriction is introduced because an effectively wider road would produce increased traffic speeds. My letter of objection dated 23 October sets out my objection more fully.

Strongly object. There is already a difficulty parking during the tourist season and school holidays in the street, nearby roads and the public car parks.

I object. As [redacted] impossible to park outside our house or even usually in the limited spaces nearest up the road. We use a local car park which in the summer is usually overflowing with tourists. We therefore often park a good distance from our house - even in Bonchurch on regular occasions. The people who park further up will now be competing for the limited car park spaces with resulting chaos. Emergency vehicles are able to get down St Catherine Street so what is the advantage? Holiday homes will also suffer as tourists will be put off.

Emergency and waste vehicles have been able to access this road for years with no issue, I strongly object to these changes which will have a detrimental effect on my physical health and well being as an owner of a home in St Catherine St. I need to have access to my house to load and unload I have bad knees and cannot carry items far. Also, residents need to be able to have vehicles park outside for: (a) carers to attend; (b) trades people; and (c) (deliveries, how else will we get new furniture, a fridge etc delivered? I am also very concerned that with parking restrictions (i.e., no parking) St Catherine St will become a rat run for vehicles and a danger to pedestrians. Further I am concerned that there already not enough parking spaces in Ventnor and any reduction will increase the problem. However, have no objection to: (a) the road being widened by a reduced width pavement; (b) the north side pavement being removed were not required for access to a north side house; (c) resident only parking; or (d) restrictions on the width of vehicles that are allowed to park in particular no vans/lorries/ wide cars including SUVs/4x4/MPVs (save for loading/unloading).

Object I very often walk along this road and feel cars will be more likely to speed if tge road is free ofarked cars

No I do not support this

I object to these new proposed parking restrictions. It's hard enough now to park - where will all residents park?

Object, nowhere to park, can't even get a car park permit because it's too far away from our house. Work shifts and sometimes can't even get a space now in the winter

Strongly object to this. This will cause untold disruption not only toothed eesident of St Catherine St, but to residents in adjoining streets and towns. This will cause mire problems than it resolves with the issue merely being displaced.

I support this proposal.

Object. Parking in Ventnor is limited enough. This will push the problem onto following streets.

Fully support as hazardous to pede

I object to this proposal. We live on Madeira Road where there already is a fight for parking especially in the high season, so getting rid of 30+ spaces on St Catherines will be a nightmare for all of the roads around it. A suggestion would be to instead get rid of one of the pavement lengths, as currently there is pavement on both sides, and perhaps narrow the other pavement. Pedestrians rarely use them anyway we all just walk down the road as it's not a thru-traffic road mostly just for residents to park up. Or another idea is to just get rid of a couple of spaces at the narrowest point, but keep the majority. Thank you for considering these ideas, for the sake of not putting even more pressure on the parking around this road!

I object to this proposal

4 / 14

District 5 Traffic Regulation Order review - Ventnor

I strongly object

I recognise the need for enough width for an ambulance or fire engine to pass along this narrow street, but I do not support removing parking places needed by residents without offering them alternative spaces. Otherwise, those vehicles that are displaced from one street will have to be moved to neighbouring streets and the residents there will have to park their vehicles in other streets. I therefore propose that spaces are reserved for those who need them in the car park above Wheelers Bay. This will of course remove spaces for those visiting Wheelers Bay.

I object to to the proposal as [REDACTED] i need to park close to my house

Parking needed to visit coastal paths

1) ADDITIONAL PARKING RESTRICTIONS WILL NOT ENSURE SAFE MOVEMENT OF PEOPLE ALONG ST CATHERINE STREET. WITHOUT THE PARKED VEHICLES ST CATHERINE STREET WILL BECOME A "RAT-RUN" CREATING UNNECESSARY DANGER TO OTHER ROAD USERS. 2) With no footpaths on the western end of the north side of the street and the eastern end of the south side of street, speeding vehicles will cause a severe hazard to anyone stepping out of their property. 3) The safety of users of mobility scooters, who need to use the road because the pavement is too narrow, would be at serious risk from speeding traffic. 4) Walkers often use St Catherine Street as an alternative to the revetment in rough weather and their safety would be at risk from speeding traffic. 5) With few exceptions, passage of vehicles is not impeded and the presence of parked vehicles ensures that traffic must proceed carefully. 6) There have been no accidents on St Catherine Street in the [REDACTED] years that we have lived here. 7) We are aware of only one incidence over these [REDACTED] years when an emergency vehicle had difficulty proceeding along St Catherine Street, but access was successfully gained from the eastern end of the street. 8) There are approximately 36 parking spaces along the road. Where are all these residents going to park? Further traffic restriction will displace these vehicles to other roads in the vicinity, which will create a knock-

on effect into the wider area. 9) Wheeler's Bay Car Park is not a reliable destination for residents' vehicles normally parked in St Catherine Street, as it is well used and is usually full of holidaymakers during the summer, leaving no spaces for local residents. 10) There are several holiday homes along St Catherine Street. Lack of on-street parking will deter holiday makers, affecting the local economy.

1) ADDITIONAL PARKING RESTRICTIONS WILL NOT ENSURE SAFE MOVEMENT OF PEOPLE ALONG ST CATHERINE STREET. WITHOUT THE PARKED VEHICLES ST CATHERINE STREET WILL BECOME A "RAT-RUN" CREATING UNNECESSARY DANGER TO OTHER ROAD USERS. 2) With no footpaths on the western end of the north side of the street and the eastern end of the south side of street, speeding vehicles will cause a severe hazard to anyone stepping out of their property. 3) The safety of users of mobility scooters, who need to use the road because the pavement is too narrow, would be at serious risk from speeding traffic. 4) Walkers often use St Catherine Street as an alternative to the revetment in rough weather and their safety would be at risk from speeding traffic. 5) With few exceptions, passage of vehicles is not impeded and the presence of parked vehicles ensures that traffic must proceed carefully. 6) There have been no accidents on St Catherine Street in the [redacted] years that we have lived here. 7) We are aware of only one incidence over these [redacted] years when an emergency vehicle had difficulty proceeding along St Catherine Street, but access was successfully gained from the eastern end of the street. 8) There are approximately 36 parking spaces along the road. Where are all these residents going to park? Further traffic restriction will displace these vehicles to other roads in the vicinity, which will create a knock-on effect into the wider area. 9) Wheeler's Bay Car Park is not a reliable destination for residents' vehicles normally parked in St Catherine Street, as it is well used and is usually full of holidaymakers during the summer, leaving no spaces for local residents. 10) There are several holiday homes along St Catherine Street. Lack of on-street parking will deter holiday makers, affecting the local economy.

I object. This will cause major disruption to the residents.

There are no proposals for alternative additional parking.

My husband and I strongly oppose this scheme. Parking is already an issue so where will these cars park once bays are removed. Access to houses off st catherine st, Beaconsfield rd is already very difficult especially for the elderly like myself and my husband. Surely removing one parking space on the corner will remedy the access for large vehicles turning the corner

5 / 14

District 5 Traffic Regulation Order review - Ventnor

and one at the other end where lorries turn into beaconsfield rd. These 2 bays could be added to the straight section of st Catherine's st at the wheelers bay end of the street.

Object. There is no problem

Strongly object. 37 cars owned by residents (some of whom are disabled badge holders park here. There would be no viable alternative. Current traffic arrangements force drivers to be slow and careful. Restrictions would enable this to become a through road 'rat run'. Emergency vehicles and deliveries currently have no access issues - there is ample passing space.

Strongly object. 37 places are used daily for residents (some of whom are disabled permit holders) and there would be no alternative parking nearby. The current lay out of the road ensures drivers are slowed, changes would enable this to become a 'rat run' for cars. All vehicles currently necessary for safety are able to get down the street - there has never been a problem.

Strongly object

Object as there is no problem to public safety as all traffic has to go slow without parking it will make it a rat run and also cause parking problems elsewhere.

I object to the proposal to extend parking restrictions in St Catherine Street. * Several residents are disabled and rely on their cars for transport. If they are unable to park outside or close to their house they will lose their means of transport and reduce their quality of life * Service vehicles are able to access the road now so it is not necessary to reduce the parking * Many of the houses are used as holiday accommodation. Ventnor has very few parking spaces now. Prohibiting parking on the road will reduce the attractiveness to holiday makers, lowering footfall in the town and revenue to businesses. * Car parks in Ventnor are full during holiday season. By removing the parking in the street, residents may not be able to park in the town at all. * the road is used by many pedestrians and dog walkers now. Parked cars slow the traffic on the road. Removing parked cars will encourage drivers to drive more quickly along the road, increasing the chance of collision with people. The road has a pavement on both sides in some areas. It would be possible to widen the road by removing one of these pavements rather than preventing parking on the road

Object There is not enough parking in Ventnor, and many other places throughout the IOW, so don't make the problem worse with this proposal.

I object to this proposal. I have lived here for [redacted] years. In that time I have witnessed fire engines, ambulances, police cars, removal and delivery vans all access St Catherine Street. Shop delivery vans and waste collection vehicles access the road on a weekly basis. It is interesting to note that our waste collection has been disrupted more times recently than in most of the time I have lived here. This seems a coincidence at a time when you are trying to prove a point. There are alternative solutions to this very infrequent problem which will continue to allow parking such as making the first parking space of the middle section of parking, a no parking space between 5pm on a Monday and 10am Tuesday, or whatever time to waste collection is completed, and/or remove a few more feet of the pavement on the left-hand side of the street at this point. When everyone is home from work there is never a spare place to park on St Catherine Street, Dudley Road, Madeira Road, North, South, East or West Street. On the evening of the 19th October 2022, which falls out of the high season and is not in a school holiday, there are not enough parking spaces in Wheeler's Bay or Dudley Road Car-parks to accommodate the cars which would be displaced from St Catherine Street under your proposal. Have you done any kind of survey into local parking? Where is the proposal which tells us where will be able to park under these proposed changes? Our car insurance will increase as wherever we will be parking it will be nowhere near our properties. Where is the proposal that details how you will be compensating us for the devaluation of our properties? There is an occasional vehicle that travels at excess speed down St Catherine's Street. The street parking acts as a traffic calming on a narrow street. The number of vehicles treating this

as a rat run or adding it to their race round the island will increase putting pedestrians at risk but also the large number of cats that are pets for residents along the street who are used to sheltering under parked cars. The street is also part of the nightly wanderings of local foxes and badgers and they will also be at risk.

Object but would suggest that the double yellow lines which start outside number 39 are extended up to the disabled parking space outside number 43

I object to this proposal for the following reasons: 1. Banning cars from parking on this road will

6 / 14

District 5 Traffic Regulation Order review - Ventnor

mean the road will become a fast 'cut through' (rat run) as there will be no reason for cars to slow down. 2. I live in Madeira Road and parking in the area is already difficult. There are too few parking spaces already. I have had to park in Bonchurch more than once as there was just nowhere to park near my house. 3. Where are the cars who currently park on St Catharines Road expected to park? 4. There are disabled spaces - for disabled people. How are these people expected to get into their home? 5. Property prices will be affected. 6. Holiday lets will be affected. I witnessed one day a rubbish lorry unable to get down the road. It was at the first bend in the road. If you must alter the parking at all, I would suggest blocking two spaces at this corner to enable large vehicles to pass unhindered. Other than this, there is no problem with any vehicle getting down the road. Stopping all parking on St Catharines Road would be a nightmare for everyone in the area.

I can't object to this proposal strongly enough. I can see from one of your photos that you have waited for a van to be unloaded giving the impression that it is impossible for a service/emergency vehicle to pass. The residents in St Catherine Street have always given respect and space to pedestrians . [REDACTED] I can't recall one accident. If you remove parking then visiting motorists including local tradesmen (who park the largest of vans)in wheelers bay car park will be inclined to rat run through St Catherine Street to leave Ventnor rather than taking the longer town route, this will also prevent income for local shops from passing trade. There are several Senior Citizens in St Catherine Street and although not disabled would find the climb up from Wheelers Bay Car Park on a daily basis quite a task if not an impossibility. Where the double yellow lines are at present are reasonable and allow access for service/ Emergency vehicles to pass as they have always done. Please do not turn our street into a main thoroughfare, that is what the main roads are for. Even the Draymen dropping supplies to the Volunteer pub [REDACTED] will decline to go round the town to leave Ventnor and take the proposed route through St Catherine Street. Many thanks.

OBJECT - this will be very problematic for residents on the street, particularly those with mobility issues or who have dependents

I strongly object to this proposal. This IS a very narrow street but has always been thus so! To my knowledge there have never been any pedestrian or traffic accidents along this stretch as

as a rat run or adding it to their race round the island will increase putting pedestrians at risk but also the large number of cats that are pets for residents along the street who are used to sheltering under parked cars. The street is also part of the nightly wanderings of local foxes and badgers and they will also be at risk.

Object but would suggest that the double yellow lines which start outside number 39 are extended up to the disabled parking space outside number 43

I object to this proposal for the following reasons: 1. Banning cars from parking on this road will

6 / 14

District 5 Traffic Regulation Order review - Ventnor

mean the road will become a fast 'cut through' (rat run) as there will be no reason for cars to slow down. 2. I live in Madeira Road and parking in the area is already difficult. There are too few parking spaces already. I have had to park in Bonchurch more than once as there was just nowhere to park near my house. 3. Where are the cars who currently park on St Catharines Road expected to park? 4. There are disabled spaces - for disabled people. How are these people expected to get into their home? 5. Property prices will be affected. 6. Holiday lets will be affected. I witnessed one day a rubbish lorry unable to get down the road. It was at the first bend in the road. If you must alter the parking at all, I would suggest blocking two spaces at this corner to enable large vehicles to pass unhindered. Other than this, there is no problem with any vehicle getting down the road. Stopping all parking on St Catharines Road would be a nightmare for everyone in the area.

I can't object to this proposal strongly enough. I can see from one of your photos that you have waited for a van to be unloaded giving the impression that it is impossible for a service/emergency vehicle to pass. The residents in St Catherine Street have always given respect and space to pedestrians . [REDACTED] I can't recall one accident. If you remove parking then visiting motorists including local tradesmen (who park the largest of vans)in wheelers bay car park will be inclined to rat run through St Catherine Street to leave Ventnor rather than taking the longer town route, this will also prevent income for local shops from passing trade. There are several Senior Citizens in St Catherine Street and although not disabled would find the climb up from Wheelers Bay Car Park on a daily basis quite a task if not an impossibility. Where the double yellow lines are at present are reasonable and allow access for service/ Emergency vehicles to pass as they have always done. Please do not turn our street into a main thoroughfare, that is what the main roads are for. Even the Draymen dropping supplies to the Volunteer pub (of which I am a patron) will decline to go round the town to leave Ventnor and take the proposed route through St Catherine Street.Many thanks.

OBJECT - this will be very problematic for residents on the street, particularly those with mobility issues or who have dependents

I strongly object to this proposal. This IS a very narrow street but has always been thus so! To my knowledge there have never been any pedestrian or traffic accidents along this stretch as

the residents park and drive safely along it. Locals know it is narrow . It is a quiet side street and not a major thoroughfare for thousands of visitors either. I have older parents who have lived on this street for █ years. It would be massively detrimental to them to not be able to park near to their house especially given there is no viable alternative parking nearby and on the level. The car park at Wheelers bay is very full especially in the summer months and obviously St Catherine street is only reachable from a very steep hill or many steps. My Dad has a problem with his knee and this is certainly not an option . I also worry taking all cars away might well turn St Catherine street into a rat run, and would in fact become far less safe for all. It would also surely have a negative effect on the price of properties in this residential street? It is an utterly ludicrous suggestion. Ventnor struggles with parking already. I understand that refuse collections and emergency services can and do access the street, which is of course very important and maybe just a few more restrictions at the bottom of the road would be sensible to ensure easier access/ turn into the street? That said, to take parking away the whole length of the street would be massively detrimental for all the local residents and I really do not understand what is gained by it. Where are all these people supposed to park? I know there are several disabled parking spaces on this street and I would argue that if these were still allowed that it makes the whole proposal a mockery anyway and very unfair on everyone else.

I object in part to these restrictions for St Catherine St. There are two points where access needs improving and no waiting restrictions should be implemented. The first is from a point 32.5 metres north-east to a point 40 metres north-east of the junction with Wheelers Bay Road. The second is a more serious constriction where I caused an access problem once with my small car even though it was parked legally. This is from a point 60 metres south-west to a point 65 metres south-west of the junction with Beaconsfield Road. The rest of the parking between that length and Beaconsfield Road does not restrict access, does not require vehicles to mount the pavement, and also contains three disabled parking bays. I can see no justification for restricting parking there. Further along to the north-east, the road narrows, and vehicles mount the pavement. However there is a pavement on both sides of the street. Traffic is very slow along here, and causes no problems to pedestrians. Then the pavement on the south-east side of the street stops, and there is a good case for restricting parking up to the point where the pavement restarts. This is approximately from a point 50 metres north east to a point 75.5 metres north-east of the junction with Beaconsfield Rd. Unnecessary parking

7 / 14

District 5 Traffic Regulation Order review - Ventnor

restrictions will cause a major parking issue with residents, and seriously risks creating a rat-run in a quiet and safe street.

I object to the whole road being closed. Up to 40 cars will have no place to park, many will struggle to find one. There is no need to close the whole thing

Object - this is going to have a huge impact on the residents' parking which is already extremely limited. There is Wheelers Bay car park but parking space there will be difficult to find especially during the summer months. The waste vehicles have managed access so far, which is only once a week, and if they can get through then surely emergency vehicles can.

Object. Removing parking will make it very difficult for residents of the street.

I object to this proposal. You need to offer free alternative parking to the residents that park in St Catherine Street. Perhaps a free or drastically subsidised car park permit scheme for residents who can no longer park there. Free or On road parking in Ventnor is not easy to find as a resident. I do not want St Catherine Street residents taking my parking.

Ridiculous proposal. So many elderly live on this street who need their spaces not to mention the 4 disabled spaces for the residents who need these. Also a few airbnbs here which bring much needed business to town all year round. Wheelers Bay car park is already at full capacity .

OBJECT

Support

This proposal represents a complete lack of understanding of the needs of not only the residents of St Catherine Street but those of the wider Ventnor community. This proposal would serve to exacerbate the already dire need for resident parking by pushing further vehicles into an already overcrowded parking system, resulting in the reduction of parking for visitors and shoppers alike. This would surely drastically reduce the viability of the town's businesses when the green shoots of recovery are once again visible. If the purpose of this proposal is to allow the access of waste and emergency vehicles (which already use the route with no reported incidents) then surely the removal of the footpath to the north of the carriageway from a point at Beaconsfield Road to Madeira Road would have far better results.

Object

As a resident of Madeira Rd, I object to this proposal. I am not sure if this survey allows for suggestions further on so I will put them here. How about leaving the parking but zone the areas and residents can buy a parking permit. The permit for the first car should be at a reduced rate but any second cars should be charged a far higher fee. This would encourage some households to get rid of their second vehicles that often don't get used for weeks at a time. The permit should be link to the vehicle registration so there is no sharing or handing out to airbnb guests

No view on this

Object proposal due to the fact Ventnor is a hard place to park as it is with second home owners airBnB and people will be parking in other streets which affects people who live in they street..Clarence road west south and east street is struggling as it is

Very strongly support - it is danger

I strongly object to the proposed parking restrictions on St Catherine street. I own a property in this street and have done for [redacted] years and have not seen any problems during that time that cannot be easily overcome. The picture you show [redacted] using a picture that is years old. ambulances and fire engines can get through. What is needed is signage detailing that the road has limited width.

I object very strongly to the proposal. I have lived in St Catherine Street for over [redacted] years and can remember no serious injury or death due to parked vehicles. At present parked vehicles act as traffic calming and drivers are forced to drive with care. The road will become a "rat run" for boy racers thus making it extremely dangerous for pedestrians. Cats currently shelter under the parked cars from rain and traffic so it goes without saying what will happen to them.

Property prices in the street will be badly affected and visitors will not book to stay in the many holiday homes, resulting in a loss of revenue for the town. With the current parked cars access is available for emergency vehicles , refuse collections and supermarket deliveries.If no

8 / 14

District 5 Traffic Regulation Order review - Ventnor

waiting is passed for this Street residents will be forced to attempt to find parking in Madeira Road,, Dudley Road and East, West, North and South Streets so where do the residents of those go ? Surely it is obvious the whole idea of "no waiting anytime" in St. Catherine Street is ludicrous.

support

1 st picture is not accurate. Yellow lines have already been extended in this area to prevent parking as shown in your photo. The parking spaces on the first section of St Catherine Street do not cause problems with access. We have lived here for 15 years and never witnessed problems. This is quiet one way street and the flow of traffic is low. There is currently no width restriction on the street . Signposting this would prevent problems further up the road .

OBJECT. It hasn't stopped Emergency or Waste Vehicles going along this road. Amey use a smaller vehicle - van not a dust cart to collect waste from this street n I feel if you bring this in you will then do the same in North Street, Ventnor by taking out parking on one side for Emergency n Waste Vehicles. There's also been no issues as neighbours are friendly n are happy to move their vehicles if the need should arise. Removing parking will encourage speeding as at present drivers reduce their speed along here because of the parked cars making the road narrower. Also some drivers don't like this road because of the parked vehicles n that encourages less traffic - the road isn't a rat run at present. However removal of parking will encourage it to be a rat run with speed greatly increased n then the arrival of speed humps will be put in altering the look of this quant street. And where do the cars find a space to park? Wheelers Bay won't be able to cater for all these cars n how long will it be before a pay n display parking meter goes in? This will push cars to be parked on surrounding roads such as Madeira Road n Longdown - North, South, East n West Streets, Clarence n Kent Roads - St Catherine Steps links South St to St Catherine St making it convenient for parking for St Catherine Street. The Longdown area can't take anymore vehicles as those from the High St, Victoria St n Madeira Road also park on Longdown n residents of Longdown find it difficult to park n use Wheelers Bay Car Park, Trinity Rd n St Boniface Rd to find parking - it's the ripple effect. Strongly OBJECT.

Strongly object. Ridiculous. Many residents are either disabled or have young kids. Forcing them to park on Wheeler's Bay car park (often full during the summer) or even further away is simply inhumane.

We object to this proposal completely in St. Catherine street . The No parking will result in the street becoming more of a rat run, having to slow down in the narrow parts actually controls the traffic making it safer. Without the parked cars through traffic will speed up and affect safety.

Also, where are the 50 or so cars going to park? Wheelers Bay car park is usually full most weekends, and in the summer months is filled all week ! These proposals will just create more problems elsewhere in Ventnor by compromising safety. To make this area safer , you only need to extend the existing yellow lines by a few metres to make access easier to Beaconsfield Road and the area joining Wheelers Bay Road if at all.

I object to this proposal on the grounds that unlimited street parking is at a premium in this area, with no Council car parks close enough to most properties to allow them to purchase a parking permit. Perhaps the fenced off area opposite the shop at the top end of the High Street/Madeira road could be turned into a car park so ease the parking congestion, prior to this proposal being considered.

Object leave it as it is

I object to the proposals for a number of reasons. It's a residential area and many residents rely on their cars. Many, particularly the elderly or others with mobility problems will struggle if they have to leave their cars further away. Additionally, this will cause more difficulties with parking in the surrounding areas. The Longdown area, consisting of North, South, East and West streets already experiences challenging parking conditions. This has been exacerbated by the roadworks taking place in St Catherine's Street as residents try to find alternative parking. To do this permanently would cause huge problems and present a health and safety risk as people will inevitably park illegally. This will be even worse at busy times, particularly in the summer season, with the added pressure of holiday makers.

I object to this becoming no waiting as the road has been used for parking for many years and is a much needed facility for those in the area. There is limited parking in Ventnor and all should be done to enable people to be able to park down this road. With many properties being broken down into flats in the area or flats being added, there being very limited driveways for

9 / 14

District 5 Traffic Regulation Order review - Ventnor

cars, all areas for possible parking need to be retained. It is a very quiet road so should allow for on street parking.

Object to the whole proposal.

Your scheme in St Catherines St. is not sensible for several reasons. You claim that ridding the road of parked vehicles will improve safety. Firstly where are the accounts of danger, injury or fatalities? There are none. Because of the narrowness of the street with the parked vehicles, traffic is extremely slow. Your proposal will enable cars and lorries to drive faster, thus endangering pedestrians. This is a popular residential street with a number of disabled home owners. Where are they to park? Wheelers Bay car park is a steep climb by steps. There are no problems at present. Why would you want to create them?

I object very strongly. This has been proposed several times by IOW Councils over the last 50 years or so. On each occasion the proposal has been rejected completely. It was argued that emergency vehicles such as fire engines would not be able to respond to emergency call outs.

The local police and the fire brigade, and others were consulted each time and none supported this proposal. Our local fire chief confirmed, on each occasion this suggestion was made, that the prevailing situation was not a problem in an emergency. The engines could transverse the street by mounting the pavement and any vehicle parked other than appropriately could be picked up and moved easily by the crew. It seems little else has changed in the intervening years until a Council dustcart seems to come into the equation. Refuse collection along this street is not new, so what has changed to make this a problem recently? To dramatically inconvenience the whole street for the sake of refuse collection, which seems to be the case, well, words fail me! There are at least 2 disabled parking spaces in this stretch of road - where will they park? It has been said that residents will be able to use Wheelers Bay Car Park, this car park is inevitably full and, thanks to the IOW Council implementing unbelievably strict conditions on users (ie. move your car from one space to another every 24 hours!). Not a practical alternative. Nothing has substantially changed over the last 50 years that I am aware of. If it ain't broke there is no need to fix it. I am not a St Catherines Street resident.

Object. There is barely enough parking spaces for the residents in this area nevermind if you remove more. This really will effect a lot of families living in St Katherine and Madeira Road.

I have every total objection to this proposal. At the moment the refuse trucks and emergency services use smaller vehicles for access. The residents of tge street will be left with NO parking. During tge summer months the nearby Wheelers Bay and Dudley Road carparks are full to capacity.

I do not support this proposal. The top picture is outdated and there are already double yellow lines where the first 2 vehicles are presented, followed by a disabled bay which is much needed by the resident of no 4 St Catherine Street. I own no 9 St Catherine street

I strongly object to the proposals as local services have accessed this road for years.

Good

Object - cars and vans can easily fit through there and have done for years. Why is the pavement on the left even needed? Get rid of that instead. This part of Ventnor is already diabolical for parking and taking away spaces from residents with no alternative solution isn't good enough. It will add to problems on neighbouring streets as they will have nowhere to go. Try living round there and trying to get a space in summer! I expect there will be more accidents from frustrated drivers doing loops round ventnor trying to figure out where to park.

I OBJECT to not having parking in St Catherine Street. I have lived in this road for over [REDACTED] years and there has never been a accident, if there was no parking there would be because of the speed people would be driving like on carnival night when the cars have to move. Where would a whole street park there cars as there is not enough parking as it is. The house prices would go down on this street.

I strongly object to the proposal. People need to park their cars near to their houses. No provision has been provided to park the vehicles elsewhere.

I do NOT support this it will make the road a rat run with cars speeding down making it dangerous to pedestrians. Where do you think everyone with cars that live in the road will park ?? With the cost of living crisis you would use more fuel to look for somewhere to park and this includes having to purchase a permit for a car park if you can afford to. It will devalue everyone's property with no on street parking

I object to any change until more carparks are built

Support, provided it doesn't become a rat run.

Strongly object 1. In order for the dustbin lorry to get through all that needs to happen is the removal of one space at the narrow bend half way along St Catherines Street. This is where the dustcart gets access problems. 2. No parking along the street will mean that cars will potentially come along at speed and increased danger of accidents. 3. The street has a number of second homes. No parking will almost certainly reduce numbers wanting to stay here. 4. Insufficient accessible local parking to cope with the loss of around 36 spaces. It is untenable that we cannot park outside or near to our homes. 5. This will severely reduce the value of our homes.

I object to this proposal. There are many people who require disabled access in this street and would be unable to walk stairs from carpark. It is hard to find parking in the street as it is and banning parking would cause pressure on the surrounding areas that do allow parking. It is a quiet street but with no parking it will be used as an access road, cars driving faster and causing risk to children and animals in the street. There has never been an issue with access to the street, waste has been collected for decades with no problems and emergency vehicles can access okay and they have even said themselves they have no issues. If the proposal goes through and the whole street is yellow lined instead of the small part that is now then it is more likely that cars will park illegally in areas of the street that do cause access problems and are currently yellow lined.

This is absolutely ridiculous. Where are these people meant to park. The parking along here is fine as it is. DO NOT RESTRICT PARKING ANYMORE

Object. It will cause severe problems for residents, particularly the elderly, who have mobility issues that are not serious enough for them to qualify for blue badges.

I object to this as this is a residential area. Parking in residential areas in Ventnor is at a premium. Surrounding streets are already full and this will push traffic onto those. There are several disabled spaces in this street which would make it impossible for anyone with a blue badge to manage as they would not be able to park near their homes.

Support

Oppose..I walk along st Catherine's street a lot. If you remove parking it will be a Rat run , the parked cars slow traffic down

I must object strongly to this proposal .Mostly all the residents of St Catherine's st and surrounding areas are objecting to these changes aswell

I seriously object to this I live at [REDACTED] St Catherine Street and probably get 1 night out of 7 where I can actually park in the street as it is. There is no other alternative in the area unless you are able to designate parking per household elsewhere. This will devalue all properties and there are no recorded incidents of accidents, emergency services or waste services unable to access at any point. Maybe concentrate on accident black spots all over the island as many vehicles end up on their roof or removing one footpath currently 2 on the narrowest part of the road, [REDACTED]

Support

I do not support this. Lower Ventnor is a special case, we do not have room for a garage and as it's all terraced it's impossible to get planning permission if you have any room. Wheelers

Bay Road is all double yellow lines, Wheelers Bay car park is always full where are the residents meant to park in 2022. [REDACTED] when I arrived in Wheelers Bay the parking in Dudley Road was free now it's a money machine. Please think about the residents.

I strongly support this proposal

object

Have parked in this Road for [REDACTED] There has never been an accident as far as I know in that time. My [REDACTED] is disabled and cannot walk so parking in the disabled bay is critical. The problem getting larger vehicles through is on the first bend half way along perhaps the yellow lines at this point could be extended to cater for this. [REDACTED] ambulance was called to Beaconsfield Road on emergency on at least 5 occasions they got through every time (this was in the Winter months) The closing of parking in this road will not

11 / 14

District 5 Traffic Regulation Order review - Ventnor

only cause considerable hardship for residents but will turn it into a racetrack. I would suggest residents parking permits. I strongly object to this proposal.

I object yo this proposal as there is very little parking available in the town for residents and all the cars from st catherines street will be parking elsewhere in the town where parking is already congested maybe provide free parking for residents?🙄

Object - seems totally unnecessary in this quiet residential street

Object I have lived in this street [REDACTED] there has never been a problem , I do understand that the at times the refuse collection is difficult in getting by certain areas of the road surly if the yellow lines were extended only on those areas that would solve the problem and our residents parking not taken away from us , we do have several disabled & elderly who rely on their cars as for safety I have never heard of any safety issue in the street

Strongly object. This will have a serious negative effect on the residents of this road and others nearby, dramatically reducing the amount of on street parking in a town that already suffers from excess parking pressure.

I wish to object to the following proposal. I walk along St Catherine Street daily to access Bonchurch and having cars parked along this fairly narrow road makes incoming vehicles drive very slowly. It therefore feels extremely safe for pedestrians on the very narrow pavement. Without cars parked along the way vehicles would be speeding along and potentially endangering pedestrians. On occasions I have observed an access issue in the first section of St Catherine Street for larger vehicles like refuse collection lorries and clearly this needs resolved. A very simple solution however exists: moving the yellow lines in that bend by 4-5 metres forward. As I am sure the refuse collection lorry drivers will be able to testify this is the only sticking point along the whole length of St Catherine Street and it is in that bend. Also this is only an issue when vehicles are badly parked on that first bay. This suggestion would undoubtedly resolve the issue totally and permanently.

I support it

I completely object to this proposal which is ridiculous and does not help elderly residents

I object strongly to this proposal where are residents going to park neither Wheelers Bay or Dudley Road will be able to accommodate them especially in high season . Parking in nearby streets is overstretched as it is .I use this street all the time both driving and on foot and have never had a problem .

Great idea. I support it.

I wish to strongly object to this proposal. Parking for residents in this area is already a daily issue and no credible alternatives exist in the vicinity. Access for waste vehicles or lorries or emergency vehicles is only an issue at a single point on St Catherine's Street and if the double yellow lines were moved forward by a couple of meters it would resolve the issue totally and permanently.

I strongly object to this proposal as it is now. Beaconsfield road. We, along with many of our neighbours, unfortunately do not have our own parking and therefore heavily rely on on-street parking, the closest of which being on St. Catherine street. It is difficult to locate a parking space on the street as it is, let alone peak seasons, when many of the spaces may be occupied by tourists as well as that of residents. The closest alternative parking space is the car park located near St. Catherines view, which may I add is equally difficult to locate a space during the peak seasons. Restricting on-street parking on St. Catherine street would merely make matters worse on the car park in terms of locating a car parking space, which would no doubt add to our (as well as other local residents in the same situation's) frustrations as it is. I understand the concerns of St. Catherine street being rather narrow. However that being said, it is not impossible to drive on the street with cars parked on one side. Many of us have been doing it for a while! Perhaps more importantly, many residents on Beaconsfield road as well as St. Catherine street are a bit older and there are a couple of disabled spots at the moment to cater for disabled individuals. Needless to say, placing double-yellow lines along St. Catherine street would naturally make these individual's lives more difficult! Trying to approach the situation with a more problem-solving/ diplomatic approach, my only potential alternative/ suggestion would be to assign designated car parking spaces to residents at the car park near St. Catherines view to make up for the double yellow lines. This suggestion admittedly would still not ease the difficulty for the older

12 / 14

District 5 Traffic Regulation Order review - Ventnor

and disabled residents mentioned earlier. All in all, the overwhelming consensus would be not to introduce the double yellow lines due to the afore mentioned reasons.

Object to the proposal of double yellow lines on St Catherine Street as insufficient parking in the area

I live on beaconsfield road and strongly oppose the introduction of double yellow lines to st.Catherine's street. We do not have a parking space and have to fight to find a space as it is. The public car park off St.Catherine's street is frequently full in the summer and with residents not being able to park of St. Catherine's street this situation will only get worse. I would propose that if you going to take the parking away from the street you need to provide allocated spaces to residents in the public car park (although even then I would argue not being able to park outside their house will be an issue for older / differently abled people).

Object. As a resident of St Catherine Street, the proposed plan would serious impede my family and other families of young children and disabled easy access from their vehicles to their homes. With parking already difficult for residents all year round and particularly in the summer, the proposed changes will most likely lead to residents to think about relocation and limit access to tourism which the island heavily relies on.

Onject I do understand the need to do something about free movement along this road but by removing all on street parking will impact many residents. Mobility for several residents along this street, as they already have disabled parking spaces, does mean that alternative arrangements elsewhere would make life very difficult. No waiting will also restrict any workmen/women or tradesmen from being able to work in the area if they require their vehicle onsite. Why can't the pavement be removed along the tall walled side, similar to the beginning of st Catherine's street, as this is the most problematic area?

Object strongly there is no where else to park, it will mean more car parking on Maderia Road causing more stress and anxiety. Do you know what it's like when you have loads of shopping and dread coming back to your street and driving up and down for a space when your over 60. Holidaymakers won't come to Ventnor if there's absolutely no parking causing low footfall in the town in bars and restaurants, how can you not see this. Car parking charges are sky high, elderly people can't afford to park there anymore

Object the street only goes to a junction at maderia Road, on the issue of safety, the only problem to vehicles and more importantly pedestrians is cyclists riding the wrong way down the street and on the paths . Furthermore I think if this action happens there will be a bigger risk of an accident as it will become a rat run with increased speed occurring

Totally object to the proposal. There are disabled bays in place in the mid section of the street which are vital to those that requested them. We have elderly and mobility restricted people on the section of the road from the steps down to Wheelers Bay car park up to Beaconsfield Road. They would be affectively prohibited from being able to own and use a car - and never be able to consider electric vehicles as they would struggle finding a charging point. During the summer months visitors (who currently prove to be problematic as they park on double yellows or in disabled bays without a badge.) will take up spaces in Wheelers Bay leaving anyone with the mobility to access this car park, less opportunity to park there. I will be discussing the proposals with neighbours and local council but will object to any move that will negatively effect residents who already struggle to park their cars. Make the street resident only permits, take the pavement up opposite the houses that will no longer be able to have a car if this idea goes ahead but people who live and work here should not be made to suffer by such an idea. It's cruel and unnecessary

I object to the proposal of parking restrictions in st Catherine's st

Support

I object strongly to these proposals. Has any thought been put in to where all the residents of this road will park? There are elderly, disabled and young families who will find it almost impossible to park within walking distance of their properties. This road has had this parking always and everyone is aware of how narrow it is and to my knowledge there have been no accidents. Ventnor is one of the worst towns for finding parking for residents already and imposing all these restrictions adds to this problem immensely. Why can the council not allow any residents parking areas in the town as there are in others here? I feel if this is implemented it will be very detrimental to the town as a whole. The council seem to be

13 / 14

District 5 Traffic Regulation Order review - Ventnor

determined to kill off our town and with plans like this it will succeed not to mention devalue property in these roads as buyers will want convenient parking. It seems another way of getting revenue by making residents pay to park but we already pay via our council tax so it's double revenue for the council and there aren't enough spaces anyway and if they are full of residents where will workers and tourists park? Very badly thought out plan and I hope these proposals will never happen.

Fully support no access for emergency vehicles if this is allowed to continue someone could die

Object - residents need on street parking

Fully support this, should of been down years ago I have nearly been run over on this street on more times than I can count due to cars having to mount the pavement.

I object. The planned restriction would cause great difficulty for residents of St Catherine's Street and nearby roads. How are disabled and old people with blue badges or limited movement expected to adapt? It would also be difficult for those with young children/babies. It would make access to these people's homes so impossible. Also if it did go ahead the extra cars that would then try to park on nearby streets would cause a knock on nightmare difficulty of not being able to park near their homes. The residents moved into their homes understanding that they had access to park in St cathrines Street it is utterly unreasonable to change that now

Object. Where are you proposing these cars are going to park? Understand that you may need to remove a space opposite No. 37 (the end space) as when large vehicles park it can obstruct.

Totally object to this. Where do you expect all the residents to park?

I object. I'm actually a resident down St Catherine street [redacted] i also know a lot of elderly residents who live down our road. Where would we be expected to park, wheelers bay car park is down steps and a steep hill? [redacted] down steps/a steep hill and also elderly people/disabled would most defiantly not be able to do this walk. The parking down St Catherine street has been fine for years and this will not effect anyone else apart from the residents. It's actually insulting. Also if parking is stopped, this will

cause the road to be a rat run and motorists will speed down our road which will cause accidents and children like to play down our street and this cause a accident and this would be on your hands. Please think about this as its an absolute joke, imagine if the parking outside your home was taken away for no reason.

Object

Object, parking is bad enough in Ventnor already

There are lots of residents in this steeey who wouldn't have anywhere to park. Where is my elderly mum supposed to park her car? I OBJECT to this proposal, unless Wheelers Bay carpark becomes a residents only parking area for those residents affected on St Catherine's

Object strongly , many residents have disabled spaces outside there homes . I can understand the first space outside 12 st Catherine's street being removed and would support that action . There is not enough parking in surrounding areas with wheelers bay car park being full 75% of the year atm .

I am writing to **strongly object** to the proposed changes to the No Waiting areas in St. Catherine Street, Ventnor.

From what I understand the amendments will ensure No Waiting for basically the whole of St Catherine Street. Perhaps I am incorrect ?

I have lived in the Street for over [redacted] years and to my certain knowledge no pedestrian has been injured walking the Street so why all of a sudden is it so dangerous?

The amendments mention the passage of any class of traffic – the road has always been a narrow road unsuitable for very large vehicles and good job too!

The ambulances and fire engines can get along the street and the dustcart used is one specially designed for narrow Island roads . We DO NOT need access for HGV's pounding along here thank you very much! That would really be dangerous !

I understand that it is of no consequence to you that you will be removing parking spaces for around 37 vehicles (today's count) however for those affected it means a **great deal of hardship** . I am nearly [redacted] years old and have a car. It is bad enough in the height of summer in Ventnor to find a parking slot . What would you suggest we do ? Perhaps you need not answer that but I am sadly incapable of taking a running jump !

There is, of course, the ripple effect as residents in Madeira Road, Dudley Road, South Street and Victoria Street will be fighting for parking with those displaced from St. Catherine Street.

There are many holiday properties here reliant on bookings to this attractive, **quiet** part of the town. This proposal will put off anyone from making a booking and would affect homeowners income very badly.

Property values will plunge – once again I doubt if you would give any consideration to that.

This is a needless piece of proposed legislation. Please reconsider !!

The existing parking acts as a traffic calming feature of the street as it is impossible to speed along it and drivers have to take care .

If this feature were to be removed and the street clear of parked vehicles this would encourage speeding for the length of the street.

At present cats shelter from oncoming traffic under the parked cars and may likely be killed. Children play in the street as there is ample safe havens. Everyone takes care and as I earlier said I have lived here for over [redacted] years and no person or animal has been run over or injured in all that time.

There is a pinch point, which on occasions causes a problem for larger vehicles, and this is the extreme South West of Beaconsfield Road - presumably at your 65 metres measurement. I am sure residents would accept the loss of **one space** there as if someone parks badly there it can cause issues for the Dustcart which has to make a return visit.

I support, as a minimum proposal. the TRO that proposes the introduction of 'No parking at any time' double yellow lines along St. Catherine's Road in addition to the intended restrictions extending 60+Mtrs South along Castlehaven Lane.

However, there is an exacerbation of the problem caused by parked vehicles along Castlehaven Lane, that is the parking of boats on trailers just below the proposed 60mtr restriction. The current situation of parked boats on trailers would make it difficult, if not impossible for larger emergency vehicles to progress along Castlehaven Lane. Please consider extending the double yellow lines to the extent of the adopted part of Castlehaven Lane, (the small crossroads) approximately 120 mtrs.

I think this is mad ,someone who lives elsewhere I bet has thought this crazy idea up ,you cannot expect people to walk a distance from where parked, who will compensate these people for the financial loss to their property etc

What about the Disabled what about the elderly ,come on get real here there has been no accidents nobody hurt because

People take care and travel slowly because of the parked cars ,but you take that away and you will create a problem .

I am writing to protest about the car-parking restrictions along St. Catharines Street. This would be a very great inconvenience for local people as there little car-parking facilities in the area.

I have lived in this street for nearly [redacted] years and I have not seen a major problem. I think that more consideration should be given to the needs of people going to work, access for elderly people, especially for tradespeople coming to do maintenance work.

We now seem to have a ~~problem~~ problem with ambulances or fire engines. I also think that the local should have been more consulted on this matter. I hope that the Council carefully re-thinks this matter again, it has caused great concern.

I wish to object to the above proposal on the following reasons.

Traffic

Street only goes to a junction at Madeira Road the road goes nowhere (How does the above new provision improve traffic flow,) also users of the Wheeler Bay Car park are informed by Sat Nav to turn right into St Catherine St to travel to Newport, Ryde etc

In my opinion at this present moment in time the parking acts as a speed control so if no parking, speed will increase in the street and be used as a rat run, making it dangerous to street residents.

The only problem at this time for pedestrians, children and animals is cyclists riding down the street the wrong way, causing people to get out of the way. A group of 5 cyclists came down the street, I told them it is a one way street and going the wrong way, and told me to mind my own business as they said they were only going one way!

Assessability

Disabled people Bays.

They will become severely restricted in their mobility and freedom living an ordinary daily life. Car Park and Parking too far away.

The street is made up of very old residents and families with children which will make life difficult, unloading cars (shopping and toddlers then having to go and find a parking space easier said and done.

These vehicles will have to go to neighbouring streets which already have parking problems, so it seems this is just moving the traffic flow hazard elsewhere.

I object to this proposal. The reasons are:

1. I have lived in this house since [REDACTED] and since then there has been no difficulty in vehicles obtaining access to houses in St Catherine Street except refuse lorries since the recent change of contractor to Amey. Nor to my knowledge has there been any case of a pedestrian being injured there. Any difficulty currently being encountered by Amey's lorries or other large vehicles could be easily remedied by less restrictive traffic engineering methods such as the alteration to pavements, removal of one or two parking spaces on the curve of the road, preventing a right turn out of Wheelers Bay Road car park, reversing the traffic flow etc.

2. Approximately 37 residents' cars currently use St Catherine Street for on-street parking. Local car parks are already almost full in the off season and inadequate in the summer season and will be incapable of accommodating this number of displaced vehicles. There is no apparent proposal to provide any alternative parking provision for St Catherine Street residents whose vehicles would be displaced by the Council's proposals. There is the likelihood of residents returning home with no prospect of parking their car.

3. There are currently four spaces allocated to disabled users which the Council is proposing to abolish with no alternative provision. This suggests there has been a lack of proper consideration of the needs of residents generally

4. There are a number of holiday rental businesses operating in St. Catherine Street. If the Council's proposals are adopted, holidaymakers would arrive, unload, and then be unable to park anywhere near. They would be unlikely to return to Ventnor. We know that holidaymakers by and large do travel to these businesses by car as we see them arrive and depart.

5. On the question of the safety of pedestrians it is common sense to see that removing parked cars from St Catherine Street would merely act as a road widening scheme and allow increased traffic speeds, easier rat-running, and more, not less, risk to pedestrians.

6. Reducing parking provision will make properties in the area less attractive and therefore depreciate their value. Having a certain amount of parking will have been an inducement to live here for many residents.

7. It is reasonable for residents in Ventnor to have a car if they want one and park it within a manageable distance of their home as we

have done for many years under the current sensible restrictions. The Council's proposals would make it virtually impossible for residents in St Catherine Street and surrounding roads to park without very great inconvenience as few properties in this area have off street parking most of who depend on on street parking.

Under Guidance issued by the Department for Community and Local Government, the Council is under a duty to act not only in the interests of road users using St Catherine Street as a through route but also in the interests of communities and businesses. I think it likely that few in the St Catherine Street and neighbouring communities who respond to the Council's consultation would support these proposals and it would be wrong of the Council to assume that any lack of response from a particular resident would indicate their support for the proposals. I think it highly likely that no business which relies on the tourist trade, vital to Ventnor, would support these proposals either. To quote the guidance: "Inappropriate parking rules, over-zealous enforcement and high parking charges drive people out of town centres, push up the cost of living, harm local shops, and make it harder for people to park responsibly and go about their everyday lives"

I write to object formally to the above proposal. I focus (a) neither on the likely massive inconvenience to residents of St Catherine's nor (b) on the simple lack of necessity for such a measure in road management/safety terms. I walk through regularly but leave these points to others.

My specific concern rather is (c) the substantial displacement of an estimated 40 vehicles from the environs of St Catherine's into neighbouring streets, including my own Madeira Road. Madeira is often *fully parked* all the way from St Catherine's to the junction with Spring Gardens/Madeira Vale (beyond which it is generally not sensible/feasible to park). Indeed Madeira *overloads* in parking terms in the summer months/season when holiday lets in the area are occupied. Inevitably delivery vans and the like cause blockages and inconvenience.

Consequently, such an increase in Madeira (and indeed in other neighbouring streets) will compound an already difficult situation. It will thereby escalate inconvenience, personal risk and potentially create personal conflict.

Accordingly, I urge you to withdraw your proposal. It appears ill-thought out and will generate few if any tangible gains but much loss.

I'm writing to protest the proposed exclusion of all off-road parking along St Catherine Street. I am a resident of Beaconsfield Rd and have used St Catherine St for parking for the past 30 years, It is true that occasionally there have been problems with access for refuse vehicles etc, the cause of which is the pinch point adjacent to houses numbered 12 & 14. There is no doubt that the extension of double yellow lines at this point would alleviate that Problem. Additionally a large part of St Catherine St has pavements on one side of the street only. Removal of the redundant pavement on the north side of the street between houses 12 to 56 would improve traffic movement. And a 20MPH speed limit is long overdue. With these improvements, there is no need to deprive the considerate parkers of St Catherine and Beaconsfield Roads of parking spaces, some of whom are disabled. The nearest public car park is Wheelers Bay, but this is restricted to 24 hours and does not have sufficient spaces for all the displaced cars. This will put additional pressure on the nearby roads of Madeira, Dudley Rd and even South Street, which are already well-used by the residents there.

Ventnor was built at a time before motor vehicles. Very few properties have off-road parking available and the pressure on parking spaces is considerable. Already there are vehicles driving around the town in search of spaces, burning fuel, adding to air pollution. Depriving the town now of something like fifty or sixty spaces will increase this problem considerably. And do

no favours whatsoever to the town.

In effect, this proposal will be a disaster for every car owner in the immediate area of St Catherine St. Causing great anxiety and stress to many who are already under pressure in the current financial situation. The reconsideration of this proposal is a must.

I would like to object to the proposal to revoke the traffic regulation order No 12019 to reenact the 'no waiting at any time' at any point in St Catherine Street, Vardnor, LNW.

In my opinion this act will increase the 'dangers to persons using this road' as

there are no pavements outside many of the properties in this road and the pavements that do exist are neither wide enough nor suitable for wheelchairs, pushchairs or people ^{with} walking aids (which are many in this street).

There is a blind bend leading onto the street where there are no pavements & I believe that it would be reckless of the Council to proceed with this plan as it will inevitably result in a pedestrian accident. Also to remove parking will greatly affect the disabled

residents as there is no other suitable parking places nearby.

In years of living in this street there have been no pedestrian accidents & there has always been enough room for emergency vehicles or dust carts.

I hope that you will reconsider this application and find it inappropriate for this area.

I am writing to lodge my objection to the 'No waiting at any time' proposal along St. Catherine Street. I acknowledge that there have been problems regarding refuse collection vehicles but this could easily be rectified by extending the yellow lines adjacent to houses 12-14 This part of the road has pavements on one side only, and removal of the unused north side pavement further down in between houses 12-56, would ease the flow of traffic, making conditions safer for pedestrians. A 20 mile per hour speed limit should also be put in place.

Houses along St Catherine's Street and Beaconsfield Road have no off-road parking so where do you propose we all go? Wheelers Bay Car Park is already well used and will certainly not accommodate us all, and it is not fair to put pressure on neighbouring roads who have similar parking problems. We also have many disabled spaces.

At a time when most families are already severely stressed with the current situation, I feel this needs urgent reconsideration.

I am writing to you to object to the proposal to create a NO parking zone for the length of St. Catherines Street.

This will be a further unfair obstacle [redacted] is disabled and is reliant on the parking space outside of his house for his mobility and independence, which needs to be prolonged for as long as he is able to enjoy this freedom most of us take for granted.

Also this, with the additional parking spaces that will be required for the new flats that have been completed in what was the Boxing Club; the yet to be completed new houses opposite West Street; and the 6, four bedroom houses [parking for 1 car only per house!] to be built in Victoria Road....will have an obvious knock on effect of approx. 50-60cars trying to find parking in Madeira Rd., West St., North St., East and South Street plus Wheelers Bay...which we often have to rely on, especially during the holiday seasonsA lot of the houses in North, South, East and West st [where we live] are now holiday homes...some available for more than one family.

I live in West Street and the parking here in Longdown is difficult enough as it is without causing further problems and dissension among neighbours by restricting parking in the above street resulting in another 40 or so cars being displaced with their owners having to find parking elsewhere.

This is not a good idea, there really is NO MORE SPACE in this area!

I walk St Catherine Street regularly, as well as driving along it, and find no difficulty providing one takes care and drives slowly. Should there be no parking it would become a fast track to Madeira Road and a hazard for pedestrians.

Another point to take into consideration is the number of holiday homes in the street. When their owners are down have you thought about where all these extra vehicles are to park?

So I wish you to reconsider this decision on behalf of all the residents of Longdown and the surrounding area - that is North, South, East and West streets - who will inevitably be affected by the suggested changes, to say nothing of Dudley Road, Victoria Street, Wheelers Bay car park etc.

I understand the council has put forward the above proposal. This clearly fails to take account of the considerable current parking problems we have in the area and the additional pressure this would put on finding parking spaces in the surrounding streets I would urgently suggest firstly an analysis is carried out to identify need versus street parking capacity and secondly where you suggest the cars unable to park in St Catherine Street would be accommodated

I object to this action being put forward to include but not limited to the following reasons.

1 Haste in expediency without evidence of due process of an open public consultation with a right to any challenges to this intended action including any legal positions to be considered herewith.

2. In consultation, Residents of St Catherine's St and defined surrounding areas that would be directly impacted.

Duty of reasons given to those affected - Those affected need to be informed as to what has led to this intended action including any legal precedent as to why this intended action is deemed necessary.

3a. Causal - if this action was to go ahead, There would be a ripple effect with around 40 cars displaced seeking parking in Madera rd, West, East, North and South St. Dudley rd, Victoria St and Wheelers Bay Car Park.

3b. Causal - There would be a profound impact on residential homes in St Catherine street and surrounding areas cited. The impact being the ability to park reasonably close to a homeowners property. This would carry a very high risk in depreciation of property prices. Parking and access is included as a feature in the portfolio of a property which at present would cite easy access. This intended action would change the status in the portfolio.

3C Causal - This potential action would be immediately detrimental to homeowners with disabilities and health issues.

To summarise my objections:

The street will become unsafe. It is currently very safe.

There is no alternate parking .

The right of the resident to park close to their home is being cancelled without proper consultation .

The quality of life for the residents will deteriorate through stress and inconvenience

I object to all the proposed changes to the traffic whether under the Isle of Wight Council (Various Streets, Ventnor)(Traffic Regulation) Order No. 1 2022 or otherwise. The proposed changes directly affect my property.

The proposed amendment principally relate to the avoidance of danger to pedestrians and other road users. It seems entirely clear that the decision on this proposal has not been undertaken on any logical basis and therefore remains entirely open for judicial review. I put the Council to proof on the following matters in order to substantiate the basis on which this proposal has been made:

1. How many deaths have been caused by traffic on St Catherine St in the last fifteen years?
2. How many serious injuries have been caused by traffic on St Catherine St in the last fifteen years?
3. How do those numbers compare to every other single street on the Isle of Wight?
4. Ranking every single street on the Isle of Wight by deaths caused by traffic and ranking every single street on the Isle of Wight by serious injury caused by traffic what ranking does St Catherine Street have against these criteria?
5. What is the average vehicle speed on St Catherine Street?
6. How does this average vehicle speed compare to every other single street on the Isle of Wight?
7. Ranking every single street on the Isle of Wight by average vehicle speed what ranking does St Catherine Street have against this criteria?

1. Contrary to the proposal the street currently provides a natural speed limiter due to the need to drive carefully and slowly passed parked cars. The removal of cars from the street will encourage increase vehicle speed on a narrow street. Speed limits will not be heeded to. Faster vehicle speed on the road significantly and materially increases the risk of death or serious injury - perhaps your analysis from the questions above will show the correlation between increased road speed and death and serious injury.
2. Some houses on the road have no pavement and come directly onto the proposed line of traffic. Currently parked vehicles provide a safety buffer. In other sections the pavement is narrow with people stepping into the road to pass others. Children are likely to step into the road as they leave their properties. The absence of parked vehicles combined with vehicular traffic at significantly increased speed than currently put all residents and pedestrian road users at increased risk of danger.
3. Some houses in the street access the street via steps with limited visibility of the road until the point they step out onto the narrow pavement. The residents of those houses are at a heightened risk of being killed or injured as a result of increase speeding traffic flow which is the natural consequence of your proposal.
4. There are mobility scooter users on the street. The pavement is too narrow to use. As a consequence they use the road safely and securely without detriment to other road users. By opening up the road to increased traffic speed and increased traffic flow you place disabled persons at greater risk than currently. They will have to use this narrow road with vehicles at and above the speed limit. Impatient drivers will try to overtake the mobility scooters putting them and pedestrians on the pavement or road at increased risk of death or injury. By continuing with this proposal the Council is placing disabled

persons at higher risk which I would consider discriminatory and contrary to the Equality Act and other health and safety and discriminatory legislation and regulation.

5. Generally there is an aged population resident on St Catherine Street. They will not be as nimble or able to dodge the speeding cars. They are more likely to have visibility issues as well as mobility issues that come naturally with ageing. The Council is putting the residents of the street, by virtue of the demographics, in increased danger than currently experienced.

6. The street is primarily a residential street. The only reason to be on the street is because you live there or are staying there or to access Beaconsfield. Removing the parked cars will unnecessarily change the nature and character of the residential street into one that becomes a "rat run" or thoroughfare for town traffic. The street has a close proximity to the town centre.

7. Parking is limited in Ventnor. The proposal takes no consideration to the placement of circa 30-40 vehicles elsewhere in Ventnor. What are we supposed to do to park?

8. Ventnor relies heavily on tourist business. If the residents take up all the car parks there will be no spaces for visitors who generally park in the car parks. If they cannot park they will move on to other towns on the Island. That will have a significant impact on the Ventnor economy. What analysis has the Council done on the loss of tourist trade to Ventnor as a consequence of this proposal? We all know that high street shops are already challenged by on-line store. Why is the Council trying to make it even more difficult for businesses to survive. A closed business means unemployment, no business rates and no tax to the Government.

9. Put yourself in my shoes. Let all the Councillors who have made this decision consider what a dramatic impact it would be to their and their families lives if from now on they could not park at their property but had to park half a mile or a mile away. This decision being forever! No stopping the car to take garden rubbish to the tip, no loading a car with suitcases to go on holiday, no dropping off shopping, no dropping off heavy DIY essentials etc. All of this has to be carried by hand to a car parked half or a mile away. Oh and given that loading a car normally takes two, three, four trips to the boot to load it factor in doing this back and forth this number of times to a vehicle parked a half a mile or a mile away. I would not wish that on my worst enemy yet the Council want to impose it on us residents against our will yet the Councillors can enjoy full access to their vehicles at all times. The decision is selfish and without consideration or respect for fellow residents and island users.

10. When we all purchased our houses on St Catherine St the state of parking and traffic flow was a consideration. It is something we all accepted, some willingly like myself who saw it as an advantage to have a slow traffic environment outside of my property. If we wanted to live on a street with increase traffic flow and higher speed traffic we would have looked a properties on roads that satisfied that criteria. This is an imposition by the Council against the decisions of the street residents and property owners when they acquired their properties.

11. In one stroke without any serious consultation the Council propose to wipe material value from properties we have all purchased and invested in. In this day and age a family property without parking at, next to or near to it will be of less value than a property with parking. Would the Councillors vote to drop their own property values by 20-30%. I think not but they seem to have no quarms about doing it to us. As noted above I suggest that there is no viable data to support this proposal.

12. There are families on the St Catherine Street and Beaconsfield Road and children frequently and often play in the street - a joyous sound. Their parent allow this knowing that the road is safe from a traffic perspective. This is the "acid test" on whether the road is safe for users. It seems the Council think they know better than parents who allow children to freely play in the street.

13. Compliance with Government legislation. From 2030 the sale of petrol and diesel cars will stop. We are all currently encourage to change to hybrid (being banned from 2035) and electric. The proposal from the Council will make it nigh on impossible to comply as we will not have access to electricity from our properties to charge our vehicles. Being able to control the vehicle charge is important to the life of the vehicle battery, (saving on environmental impact of early battery replacement and disposal issues), important for managing the cost of electricity supply being used to charge the vehicle - we all know the serious cost of living implications resulting from increased energy costs as well as ensuring that no-one has removed the charger so that when my vehicle is needed I have no power. This is a future burden and additional costs being imposed on the residents and users of the street to their detriment compared to other streets. It is a form of victimisation.

Finally I will pass on my view that I consider the way the Council has gone about this as underhand. Whilst it may be the "requirement" to publish it in the small print of a newspaper we all know that newspaper circulation is down. That is not a transparent way to inform people. Such serious changes to our way of life and the direct financial loss that this imposes on us should have been notified by letter/notice to each affected property. The reason this was not done is indicative of an uncaring, unthinking, bureaucratic Council being a "jobs worth". There was opportunity to engage directly with the affected community but this was avoided. I am left very disappointed in the process of this decision and in the decision itself which on clear evidence has no basis.

I reserve the right to make further grounds for objection. I repeat again I vehemently object to the proposed changes to the traffic.

I am writing to object to the proposed parking restrictions for St Catherine St Ventnor as a homeowner in that street i.e., one of the people most effected by the proposals.

Emergency and waste vehicles have been able to access this street for well over a century with no issue, I strongly object to these changes which will have a detrimental effect on my physical health and well being as an owner of a home in St Catherine St. I have never had any issue with my car when parked in St Catherine Street outside my house , the dustbin lorries have never hit my car not indeed have any other vehicle. I need to have access to my house to load and unload,

wife , we will need more of those not removal of all parking save at the end of the street. I have been liaising with a number of St Catherine Street residents and not one wants the proposed parking restrictions, we want to remain able to park our cars in the street and not have to drive all around town trying to find some where to park.

In addition, residents need to be able to have vehicles park outside their home in St Catherine St for: (a) carers to attend; (b) trades people to attend ; and (c) deliveries to be received , how else will we get new furniture, a fridge etc delivered?

I am also very concerned that the proposed parking restrictions (i.e., no parking in almost all the street) in St Catherine St will cause our street to become a cut through and rat run for vehicles drastically altering the character and removing the charm of our conservation area while also causing a danger to residents and all pedestrians.

Removing parking from St Catherine Street will undoubtedly lead to difficulties for all Ventnor residents in particular those in neighbouring roads who often already share their limited on-street parking with residents from St Catherine Street. The council is well aware that there are already insufficient parking spaces in Ventnor for the number of residences and any reduction will just increase the problem.]

However, have no objection to: (a) the road being widened by a reduced width pavement; (b) the north side pavement being removed were not required for access to a north side house; (c) resident only parking; or (d) restrictions on the width of vehicles that are allowed to park in particular no vans/lorries/ wide cars including SUVs/4x4/MPVs (save for loading unloading).

Our client strongly objects to the proposed permanent suspension of parking on St Catherine Street, Ventnor, PO38 1HG for the following reasons:

- This will severely inconvenience [REDACTED]
- This will lead to a diminution in the value of [REDACTED] property located on this street

I look forward to your prompt response in this matter in view of the upcoming deadline by close of business today.

3. Esplanade Road

RESPONSES

I object to this proposal, as this would further cause unnecessary suffering to the residence who have to live and park here. It would also cause havoc in adjoining streets.

Object

Object

I support this proposal

I object to this proposal. It seems to be another case where larger refuse lorries have been brought in. Residents and holidaymakers will suffer if these and other parking spaces are lost and the amount of general traffic flow here is small.

A small patch of this road is wide enough for parking, but the current arrangement needs more restriction.

Object this as you're taking parking spaces away! Yet again not putting another solution in place!

I object to this proposal. This would seem to be another location where larger waste vehicles have been introduced and have caused problems. There seems no reason why residents of Ventnor and holidaymakers should lose scarce parking spaces for this reason - problems occurring from the introduction of larger waste vehicles are not their fault.

Object.

Objection

I object to this reduction in parking spaces in Ventnor which already does not have enough parking for residents.

Support

Object

I object to this proposal.

Object. Parking in Ventnor is limited enough. This will push the problem onto following streets and discourage visitors to the shops and restaurants

Fully support as hazardous to pedestrians

I object to this proposal

I strongly object

I support this proposal if it is necessary to allow emergency vehicles to pass safely and if parking is dangerous there.

I object as the residents need to park close to their homes

Agree

I object. This is another unnecessary restriction.

This makes sense but if parking areas are being removed without additional provision this will have the effect of creating more congestion elsewhere

Why? If no one using pavement drive down it.

1 / 3

District 5 Traffic Regulation Order review - Ventnor

Strongly object

Object as there is limited parking now as the 2 car parks are always full

support

Support

I will not impose my opinion on a street in town that I rarely use, the residents in this street are best placed to do this.

OBJECT - unnecessary additional restriction. will deter tourists and other visitors

Object - again this is going to impact residents' parking for what is a weekly visit for the waste vehicles and if they get through then emergency vehicles can.

I do not have an opinion either way.

Again the residents who live here need these spaces.

OBJECT

Support

Object

No view on this

Support

support

Neither SUPPORT or OBJECT. I very rarely drive this road. Walking it more than driving it. It's never caused me any issues.

Support. Sensible.

Object..its worked so far so why change

Undecided

What provisions will be made for residents for parking?

Object. Lack of parking opportunities for residents

Objection.

I object to these proposals

Good

Leave it as it is. It's a quiet road.

I object. There is no place nearby for residents to park their cars

Support, very little space currently for manoeuvre.

Stop interfering with parking. It is a nightmare for locals as it is.

Support - as the photograph above clearly indicates, when cars are parked in the road, which is in fact currently two-way, it is too narrow for most traffic and vehicles drive along the raised pavement.

Object

Support

Oppose this parking slows down traffic

I support this proposal

Support

The same applies, are you going to build more FREE car parks

2 / 3

District 5 Traffic Regulation Order review - Ventnor

I support

support

Object - again seems unnecessary.

Support

No comment

Support

I object.

Great idea. I support it.

Object

Support

Object where will everyone park

Support

Fully agree

Object. Do you not think you should come up with solutions to parking problems, not just paint yellow lines everywhere, you will just move the problem somewhere else.

Object

Object, parking is bad enough in Ventnor already

Support

4. Park Avenue

I am a Ventnor resident, and I find that parking in Ventnor town centre very restricted already.

Park Avenue is a favourite place to park and walk into the town centre, but it seems that will be more restricted with extra double yellow lines.

Why are you making things more difficult to use the shops in Ventnor. It will make people disregard local shops and just go straight to the supermarket instead.

RESPONSES

I object to this proposal. I see absolutely no reason why cars should not park in this wide road. The park is a popular place for people to visit, with no nearby car park.

Fully support these restrictions even another 30metres further it should be "No Parking" along one side of Park Avenue due to danger during the summer period of cars both sides of the road.

I object to this proposal, on the grounds that this would cause unnecessary hardship for residence to park. Also more congestion to adjoining streets

Strongly in favour of increased parking restrictions along this road. In the summer parking on both sides of the street narrows the drivable section of the road to the width of one car on a busy two way road, with buses and trucks driving through and limited areas to allow passing. Plus reduced visibility of children and other pedestrians heading to the park.

Object

Object no parking as it to use the park !

I think the entire length of Park Avenue should have double yellow lines on one side of the road. Parking on both sides makes this road dangerous and causes problems for the buses and refuse lorries etc

Is there any evidence that this is causing difficulties?

No objection, but surely this is unnecessary, drivers already have to be careful not to obstruct exits without yellow lines everywhere. The lines shown in the illustration seem too long anyway.

I cannot see the need for this. Who needs better visibility, and how does this site differ from others along this side of the road?

Object

Object

No objection, but surely drivers always have to be mindful of not obstructing exits - this happens throughout the country, all the time. The projected yellow lines appear unnecessarily extended to the west.

Objection

Object the road is very wide. Traffic is always slowed by packed cars

To what end will this achieve anything? Object

I object to this proposal.

Object. Parking in Ventnor is limited enough. This will push the problem onto following streets.

I object to this proposal

I strongly object

Yes, I support this proposal if it gives better visibility to the many residents of Fernleigh.

I object you don't need double yellow lines there just extend the white line

Object parking needed to visit town

1 / 3

District 5 Traffic Regulation Order review - Ventnor

I object. Another unnecessary restriction.

no comments

Totally senseless, there is no logical reason.

Object

Strongly object

Object. As many elderly go to the park for picnics etc. If there is no parking it would make this impossible

object

Support

Even when the marvellous "Ventnor Fringe" takes place and hundreds of visitors flock to this area I haven't found there to be massive problems. Money would be better spent reinstating the road west out through Niton encouraging money to be spent in Ventnor rather than discouraging visitors to the town.

OBJECT - unnecessary.

Object - the whole of Ventnor has a parking problem for residents and visitors, it's a nightmare. Why add to the problem by reducing it even further for the sake of a shared entrance, which surely isn't used every hour of the day, the drivers departing are fully aware to edge out slowly into the moving traffic.

I support this proposal

Residents need these spaces.

OBJECT

Support

Object. This is a wide road. Does it really need additional restrictions

Support, as it will make passage for buses easier.

I support this proposal. When vehicles are parked on both sides of the road it is very difficult for cars to drive through, even worse for buses, and causes traffic hold-ups.

Support - cars are parked both sides of road - very dangerous for drivers and pedestrians - MORE restrictions please

support and there should be more to allow better vehicle movement in summer

Neither SUPPORT or OBJECT to this. I haven't had any issues driving or walking along here. Very rarely park the car here.

Support. Sensible.

Support

Support , there should parking only one side of the road

Support

I have no objections to this part of the proposal.

Object

Is there ANY evidence of accidents caused by the access not being visible? Objection to this.

I object to these proposals as they are not justified and there is not a problem with parking in the road

Good

Object - There's already a white line there and enough space to pull in safely without losing much needed spaces. Seems unnecessary.

2 / 3

District 5 Traffic Regulation Order review - Ventnor

Oppose, the park is a well used facility and limiting the parking even more would be a problem for people with restricted mobility . particularly those who are frequent patrons of the cafe.

I object. There is no facility nearby for residents to park their cars

Why, why, why??? No need

Object

I object to this as this area is very busy especially in the summer months. The park area needs all the parking it can have particularly now it has received a gold award and is an asset to the town. During summer months the park is always full of visitors and there is not a car park within a suitable distance.

Support

Oppose..waste of money and not required

I support this proposal

Support

This is crazy

I support

object

Object- works well as it is as people proceed with caution at present as the parking is necessary.

Object

I object to this proposal. Park Avenue already faces parking pressure in the summer, particularly when there are events on in the park or when there is good weather and both residents and tourists use the road as a convenient place to park. This proposal reduces the amount of parking available in a town that already suffers excessive parking pressure.

Support

I object. The park is well used and requires parking to enable residents to access it.

This is a very difficult road to navigate through, particularly in the summer months. I support this proposal and would even encourage more double yellow lines along this side of Park Avenue.

Object

Support For visibility I agree to this but notice there are already restrictions further along the road that could be removed, so as not to lose parking.

Object hasn't been a problem before or show problems that have occurred

Support

Fully agree

Agree

Partially support. The yellow lines seem a bit of an overkill, don't think they need to be so long.

Object

Object, parking is bad enough in Ventnor already

Object - unnecessary

Object

Support

5. Eastern Espalande

RESPONSES

Object

Support

I support this proposal

Support

I support this

I didn't think this area allowed parking at the curb already.

Support

Object, the council obviously need money to want to enforce it.

I support this proposal

Objection

Support

Support

I support this proposal.

Support. Unsafe road entrance. A safer crossing for children using the paddling pool would make a difference.

I object to this proposal

I strongly object

I support this proposal because this small stretch of road has two crossing points near a junction that is busy in summer.

I support this one as the children running around need to be seen

Agree

No objection.

No Comments

Keep the wardens away on the Boxing Day Swim , don't be mean.

Support

Strongly object

support

Support

I support this proposal, because of the car park and childrens paddling pool make this an extremely busy area.

I support this proposal to saafeguard pedestrians especially children.

No Comment

Support

1 / 3

District 5 Traffic Regulation Order review - Ventnor

I support this proposal

Tourists visiting need these spaces.

OBJECT

Support

Object

No view on this

I support this proposal - it's just commonsense

support

support

Neither SUPPORT or OBJECT. As someone who works on the seafront from 1st May to 30th September it has never been an issue.

I support this

Support. Sensible.

support

Support

Restrictions to parking in this area makes sense. No

Support

Support

Fair enough. Support.

I support this proposal

Good

Agree - Makes sense.

Support, lifting restrictions here would result in a serious bottleneck.

I support.

Yes enforce this

Support

No objection

Support

Approve

If nothing is actually changing then I support this

Support

I support

support

Object - a problem being invented. Works fine as it is now.

Support

No comment

Support

I support

Great idea. I support this proposal.

2 / 3

District 5 Traffic Regulation Order review - Ventnor

Object

Support And continue through the narrowest part of the road also.

Agree for safety to public

Support

Fully agree

Support

Support. Plenty of parking further down in the main carpark. If room perhaps a couple of disabled spaces.

Support

Support

Object, parking is bad enough in Ventnor already

Support

6. Steephill Road

RESPONSES

Strongly in favour of increased parking restrictions along this road - it is tough to drive along here in the summer - the road is not wide enough to accommodate parking both sides and safely allow two way traffic, there are very few places to pull over to allow cars to pass and there are lots of families loading and unloading their cars right on the road.

Object

Object it worked for years

I think the entire length of Steephill Road should have double yellow lines on one side of the road. Parking on both sides makes this road dangerous and causes problems for the buses and refuse lorries etc

Support

I object to this proposal. The road is wide enough here for traffic flow (minimal anyway at present) and there seems to be no safety issue. Drivers always have to be careful about avoiding exits in any case.

I have never seen cars parked on the north side of this road anyway. What is the reason for the south side extension? I have never experienced traffic problems on this road.

Support

Object stop taking free on road parking away from resident's.

I object to the proposal. There seems no need for further restrictions on either safety or traffic flow grounds. The amount of traffic is minimal. Again, drivers always have to avoid obstructing exits throughout the country whether or not there are yellow lines.

Objection

Object

Support

I support this proposal.

Object. Parking in Ventnor is limited enough. This will push the problem onto following streets and discourage visitors to the shops and restaurants.

I object to this proposal

I strongly object

I support this proposal because this road is too narrow and visibility is not good enough to allow parking on both sides of the road, as happens in August, especially when the Ventnor Fringe is on, as this festival expanded to include Flowers Brook this year. However, restrictions are only obeyed if they are policed by traffic wardens, and they are only deployed in town centres.

Agree

I object. There are existing rules for poor parking. Yellow lines are unnecessary and unhelpful.

No comments

Who the hell thinks up these ridiculous ideas.

Strongly object

1 / 3

District 5 Traffic Regulation Order review - Ventnor

object

Support

I object to this proposal.

OBJECT - will deter tourists and other visitors to Steephill. there is already a shortage of parking.

I support this proposal

No Comment

Support

I support this proposal

Residents need these spaces.

OBJECT

Support

Steephill Road is a wide road. Why on earth would you put double yellow lines to take away parking for visitors to businesses at Steephill Cove & Ventnor Park? If we make parking for visitors even harder for them they won't bother coming to our beautiful town.

Agree

Support, as it will make passage for buses easier

I support this proposal. It's a sensible solution for a road which is used for parking, particularly in summer.

Support

It is all very well putting double yellow lines on the north side, but we need them on the south side as well, or at least double yellows opposite each house, as when pulling out on to Steephill Road we have cars at speed coming from the left-hand side on the wrong side of road. Across the road from us is Halcyon and for months there has been a van parked outside there 24/7 blocking an elderly gentlemen's view coming out on his mobility scooter.

support

Neither SUPPORT or OBJECT. It's a road I rarely park on n has never been an issue when driving it either.

Reject. Too many old/vulnerable people need parking availability there.

It does not make sense to have double yellow lines on the north side where there is a pavement and not on the south side where there is no pavement. it restricts our view as residents here of getting out of our driveways especially when speeding cyclists are racing down unheard and large vans parked here on a regular basis. I urge you to reconsider.

Support

Undecided

No objection.

In your rationale you have given consideration to the fact that this proposal will not reduce the number of parking spaces. Why is this not a consideration for St Catherine Street where it is proposed that ALL the street parking is removed and only one property has off road parking?!

Object

I object to these proposals

Good

I support this proposal.

Oppose,as this is not a viable route with the access to St Lawrence having been closed off for

2 / 3

District 5 Traffic Regulation Order review - Ventnor

so many years.

I object. This will affect the businesses that rely on cars being parked here

Agreed

Support

Objection to this as this area is often used for people using Steephill Cove, Flowersbrook and surrounding areas. We need more parking not less

Support

Approved

I support this proposal

Support

I support

object

Object - another unnecessary restriction as parking on both sides of the road is a rare occurrence.

Object

I object to this proposal, which reduces parking availability in a town that already faces excessive parking pressure from both tourists and residents.

Object, it's a good place to park when visiting steephill

I object to the loss of parking

This is a fantastic idea. This road is notoriously difficult to navigate, particularly in the summer months. It is particularly unsafe at the moment for cyclists who have to dive in and out of parked cars on both sides of the road.

Object to the proposal

Support

Object, area I have been told is good safety wise

Support

Fully agree

Fully support

No comments on this.

Object

Object, parking is bad enough in Ventnor already

Object

Object

7. Esplanade

RESPONSES

I object to this proposal. I can't see any problem with the current parking arrangements.

Oppose

There is not enough parking, and with the restrictions on the road to La Falaise making it very difficult to encourage visitors to Ventnor Esplanade. This is the heart and reason for Ventnor existing.

Object

Object your taking valuable parking away from the public who keep these business open ! Do away with the sleeping pavement pedestrian walking on the opposite side and put the road back to how it was

I am against this proposal - it reduces the parking available when there is no risk involved to road users or pedestrians.

I support this proposal

Support

There are two issues involved here, not one. Seafront businesses and holidaymakers need as much parking as possible and in their interests I support the extra Pay and Display spaces in front of Blake's. I object to the proposed removal of parking outside the Mill Bay. Parking on the front is inadequate already and drivers already make allowances for the width of the road, in my lengthy experience of observing them

Don't understand the need to remove parking in front of the Mill Bay. The road is the same width as further along, surely.

Object.

These are really two separate issues. In the interests of seafront businesses and tourists I support the increase in Pay and Display parking in front of Blakes. I object to the loss of parking outside the Mill Bay. Sea front businesses must be in despair over the lack of parking near the beach and seafront. My experience from years of seeing traffic flowing along the sea front is that drivers adopt a common sense attitude and make allowances for the road width.

Objection

I object to the removal of pay and display part only we need as much parking near the beach as possible and parking there is not a risk to safety.

Support but feel cars would ignore yellow lines

Object, this has no requirement and achieves very little

I support this proposal.

Object. Parking in Ventnor is limited enough. This will push the problem onto following streets and discourage visitors to the shops and restaurants.

Suggest pedestrianisation for the whole front and will increase trade by allowing cafes to expand with outdoor seating

I object to this proposal

Ridiculous I strongly object

1 / 4

District 5 Traffic Regulation Order review - Ventnor

I support this proposal because on the one hand it removes parking in the interests of safety, but on the other hand allows more parking elsewhere.

I object to both proposals

Object visibility fine parking needed for visitors

I object. There is no benefit in losing more of the already restricted number of parking bays.

My issues with the esplanade are to provide more disabled spaces and short stay - as normal parking is available in the main car parks.

Rubbish. Shouldn't be driving if they find this difficult.

Object

Strongly object

Object. There needs parking increased not taken away

object

Object - parking needs to be preserved on the Esplanade to encourage people to use the seafront

50/50. I disagree with removal of P/D parking opposite Mill Bay as there is ample room for vehicles heading west to see and pull over to allow vehicles heading east to exit the Esplanade. I strongly agree with P/D replacing the loading bay outside Blake's as this is abused by the same vehicles on a seasonal if not daily basis who regard this facility as their own private parking space.

OBJECT - there is a shortage of parking along the sea front. need to encourage more visitors. this will impact local businesses badly

Replacing the loading only with standard parking is ok. I can't see that the other restriction is really necessary.

I object. How are people meant to get down to the seafront. If you are going to close all the roads where are people meant to park?

No comment

Object - don't understand how introducing the "No waiting at any time" restriction here improves road safety, for whom. It appears there will be three Pay & Display spaces removed, even taking into account the loading area is going to be changed into P&D there is still a lack of parking on the sea front.

I do not support this proposal

Tourists need these spaces

STRONGLY OBJECT

Support strongly

We object to this proposal as it is taking away parking on the seafront & this would directly have an impact on the businesses!

agree

No view on this

Should all be no parking along the esplanade - there are two car parks at either end!

support

SUPPORT. As someone who works the seafront from 1st May to 30th September I think this is great. Also as one who uses the Mill Bay Pub it will be lovely to see the view of the sea not with parked cars interrupting the view.

Measures to reduce traffic on the Esplanade , particularly during the day and summer months would make this area safer and promote tourism

2 / 4

District 5 Traffic Regulation Order review - Ventnor

Reject. Traffic's incredibly slow (and, by default, safe) along there.

Support

Support, Esplande should no traffic anyway during summer months

Support

No objection.

Objection. A Disability bay would be a good idea as there are many residents and visitors who struggle to walk the hills. As you say, vehicles can load/unload from anywhere.... this is a seaside esplanade for PEOPLE.

Object

I object to these proposals as we are a tourist town & need as many spaces on esplanade as possible to encourage people to stop.

Good

If no spaces are lost then agree. If there will be less parking than there is now then object.

Oppose as it is hard enough to get parked here anyway. However it would be nice if both p&d machines were in operation. As not everyone is capable of walking the length of the esplanade twice to get a ticket.

I object. There should be no parking along this road during daylight hours

For goodness sake leave alone

support - the no parking - visibility is poor travelling from the cascade - this would allow on coming vehicles to pass

Objection. It would be better to revoke the loading bay and keep all spaces. This area for parking is always busy and it would give extra spaces generating more income for council

Reject, there should be no parking on the Esplanade during the summer months. Just a matter of time before a child killed crossing over between the cars.

Oppose ...current restrictions work okay...let down by lack of enforcement

I object to this proposal .The problem is that there are no priority sign on the pinch points along the esplanade .Therefore no one knows who to give way to hence why there is a problem at times .I suggest a give way to approaching traffic on the mill bay pinch point east to west.Also a give way to approaching traffic on the Met pinch point west to east

Support

I support

support

Object - another problem being invented to add unnecessary restrictions. Limit loading times if necessary to early morning or before 10am.

Object , we need all parking on the sea front as very often car parks are full

I object to this proposal, which reduces the amount of parking available along the popular Esplanade, where it is already difficult to park during the holiday season. It also presents a threat to road safety by encouraging through-traffic to flow faster along the Esplanade, an area with plenty of pedestrians including children. The presence of parked cars along the south side acts as a brake on traffic speed.

Support

I would prefer to remove all parking and make the current one way system which is temporarily in place. Or to pedestrianise the entire esplanade. Although I understand that is not the proposal here. I would support this proposal until more radical thinking is considered.

I object to the loss of parking

I fully support this proposal

3 / 4

District 5 Traffic Regulation Order review - Ventnor

Support

Object

Agree as long as it helps traders

Support

Fully agree

Agree

No you will be taking away valuable parking spaces from the seafront and replacing it with on 2 spaces which is normally a safe space for children to cross ridiculous idea

Object. Understand the reason for the yellow lines, but don't think it needs to be that long.

Object

Object, parking is bad enough in Ventnor already

Support, however double yellow lines should be extended along the entire esplanade not just in front of mill bay

Object -

Object

I am writing to object to the upcoming plans to replace the loading bay located at the centre of Ventnor Esplanade.

As local business owners we are concerned that making the existing loading bay into 'payable bays' will affect the flow of traffic passing, especially in the busy summer months. Local businesses including ourselves have daily deliveries; Hunts, Medina food service, fish deliveries, Yates brewery, ice cream companies etc which regularly use this spot as a stopping place while deliveries are carried out. If they didn't have this spot (ie midway along) then they would have no option but to park on double yellow lines. This would then clog traffic flow from each direction.

I believe not only us [REDACTED] but other businesses such as; smoking lobster, beach esplanade shop, lady Scarlett's, Giopoalo photography, longshoreman's museum would be affected. All of these businesses also regularly gather their own equipment and stock to deliver and unload and use this space for business purposes. Again - if these local businesses did not have the loading bay they would have no other option to deliver by stopping on double yellows.

I also see Locals and holiday makers alike using the loading bay for dropping and collecting people, elderly / disabled. If these cars had no where to quickly pull in to, this would cause traffic backing up and down the esplanade waiting for spaces.

I understand that they may be a need for a new safety no stopping zone/section down by the met bar, but by replacing the current loading bay with the lost parking bays, I feel you would simply be moving the problem.

8. Victoria Street

RESPONSES
Object - not even parking for residents and visitors
Support
Object
I support this proposal
Support
I support this
Fine.
Support
Object - Not enough Car Parking Spaces in Ventnor. I park here after finishing work due to the car parks being full
I support the proposal
Object. Properties in the street need on-street parking.
Objection
Support
Object. No places to park your car. Need more car parks, also stopping people just to pop into town
I support this proposal.
Support.
I object to this proposal
I strongly object
I do not understand why the proposal is to remove the loading ban Loading cannot take place safely here. With parking bays on the other side of this street, loading/unloading would block the narrow street and cause accidents. Buses often have problems squeezing past parked vehicles on this part of the street because wider vehicles jut out beyond the parking bay. Passing vehicles shouldn't have to mount the pavement to get through.
Agree
No objection.
No comment
Seems ok.
Support

Strongly object

Support

Object There is not enough parking in Ventnor, and many other places throughout the IOW, so don't make the problem worse with this proposal.

1 / 3

District 5 Traffic Regulation Order review - Ventnor

Support

I support this measure

Support.

That's the main road how are people going to get round? And where are people going to park if you close all the roads?

No comment

Support

support

I support this proposal

Residents need these Spaces

SUPPORT

Support

OK

agree

Strongly object; if loading is allowed here, the road would be completely blocked. We believe there should be no parking at any time in this part of Victoria Street as buses already struggle to pass parked cars.

Support

support

SUPPORT. Never knew about this and nothing else will change.

Support. Sensible.

Support

There should be no parking there anyway, a hazard for buses etc.

Support

No objection.

Nothings changing so Support.

Support

I agree with this proposal

Good

Oppose. Traffic flows well through here and there is only limited free parking. At busy times it can already be difficult to get into the main car park

I object until there is more carparking for residents made available

Agreed I support

Support

No objection

Support

I agree with this proposal

Support

I support

support

2 / 3

District 5 Traffic Regulation Order review - Ventnor

Object - seems unnecessary.

Support

Supported.

Support

I support this proposal

This is an unnecessary measure

Object

Objection. This is just petty. This is not the widest of roads but as an experienced hgv driver of all classes the access here is surprisingly easy and residents here already have difficulty parking.

Support

Object, restrict to cars only

Support

Fully agree

Fully support

Support.

Support

Object, parking is bad enough in Ventnor already

Support

Object, vehicles regularly unload on the pavement here blocking the traffic and if the ban was to be removed even with double yellow lines there, I think to the problem would get worse

9. Leeson Road

RESPONSES

Object. Double yellow lines need to be top of leeson road from the car park all the way down to the disabled space

Object! How long ago was it painted wrong and why wasn't it fixed straight away ? It doesn't obscure the view of crossing the road and slows cars down having cars parked there !

Object

I support this proposal

Support

The legal order seems unduly restrictive so I think it is that which should be changed rather than the yellow lines which seem sensibly and safely placed.

I am not aware of any problems with the existing arrangement.

Support

Object, stop taking parking spaces.

I think the legal order looks unnecessarily restrictive so could usefully be reviewed to see whether or not this is the case. There seems no reason not to have additional parking spaces here. I can see why the line painters put the lines where they did. So although there is legal justification for the proposal the actual order seems unnecessarily restrictive.

Objection

This offers no real benefit object

You need to put yellow lines at the top of Leeson Road that's dangerous

I support this proposal.

Support. Unsafe passing area.

Support any legal necessities

I object to this proposal

I strongly object

I only support this proposal if it is necessary for safety reasons. This is a busy main road and there is a bend at the top. This restriction will, however, remove two or more parking places, and most of the houses in this section of road do not have off-road parking.

I object the residents need to park as there is no off road parking available

Agree

I object. There needs to be more parking here not less.

no comment

Nit-picking. Totally ridiculous.

Support

Support

Object. As there is very limited hold ups at present so no need to take away precious parking

1 / 3

District 5 Traffic Regulation Order review - Ventnor

object

Support

I hope the council will be reimbursed by the contractor who painted the line in the wrong place.
I support.

No comment

Support

I support this proposal

Residents need these spaces

OBJECT

Support

object

Support, as this will make it easier for buses to pass other vehicles

I support this proposal. It is always difficult to navigate this part of the road, especially as parked cars limit visibility towards oncoming traffic.

Support - can be difficult to safely drive past

Neither SUPPORT or OBJECT. It's never been an issue for me driving this road n I rarely park here.

support

Support. Would theoretically make the road a little safer as drivers often disobey the speed limit along there.

Support

Object

Support

No objection

Objection. Where do the residents park?

Object

I object to this proposal there is no danger to Allowing this extra parking

Good

Object. Leave it as it is now. It's one car length and makes no difference. Better to have an extra space then now lose one as it's one more car clogging up Bonchurch Shute

Oppose If it isn't broken there is no need to fix it.

I object. There is no nearby area for residents to park

I support this

Support

No objection

Support

Support

I agree with this proposal

Object. There is not enough on street parking for residents as it stands on a normal day, let alone in the summer season and at weekends when "second home" owners and holiday makers arrive.

2 / 3

District 5 Traffic Regulation Order review - Ventnor

I support

support

Object - seems unnecessary.

Support

Supported

Support

I support this proposal

I fully support this proposal.

Support

No comment Mistakes like this shouldn't be made

Agree

Support

Fully agree

Support

Object, unless there is actual evidence it has caused safety issues.

Object

Object, parking is bad enough in Ventnor already

Object

Support

10. Shore Road

RESPONSES
Support
Object
I support this proposal
Support
This involves two separate issues. I support the proposal for yellow lines at the bend as this seems sensible. I oppose the proposal to remove parking on the Northern side. Why has this suddenly become a safety issue? The spaces on this straight piece of road have been in use for many years. As to improving traffic flow there is virtually none to improve.
No comment
Support
Object, this needs an enforced speed limit!
This is really two issues. I support the proposal for the parking restriction at the bend. This seems sensible. I oppose the restriction on the Northern side of the road. This parking has been available for decades and one wonders why road safety and access has suddenly become an issue on this straight length of road. There is little enough on- street parking in Ventnor and Bonchurch. One also wonders what is the actual volume of traffic that needs its free flow to be improved? I guess it is negligible.
Objection
Abstain
I support this proposal.
Support. Unsafe corner
Support
I object to this proposal
I strongly object
I support this proposal for reasons of safety and traffic circulation. The houses in this road have space for off-road parking, and there is a public car park for visitors.
Agree
I object. I have never seen access issues here. The road has very limited traffic, you are trying to solve a problem that does not exist.
No comment
Use a 'drive slowly' sign. Stop polluting a lovely are with yellow lines.

Support

support

Support

Once again this is for local residents to decide, all I would say is this is an unbelievably quiet road and when dog walking I have never seen a problem here.

1 / 3

District 5 Traffic Regulation Order review - Ventnor

SUPPORT - this bend is tricky when cars are parked along the road and it can be hard to see pedestrians walking along the pavement and sometimes in the road

Object. This is said to stop parking on both sides but there are already double yellow lines on the south side!

No comment

Support

support

I support this proposal

Support, toad too narrow for spaces

STRONGLY OBJECT

Support

agree

Support, as it will make it easier for buses to get through

Support

SURPORT - by Westfield Lodge but OBJECT on the straight stretch to Mia Pampa as cars parked here slows traffic down. Removal of cars parked all along Shore Road will make it a course for some drivers to make it a timed race track from top to bottom n visa versa.

support

Support. Sensible.

object never been a problem why change it?

Support

No objection.

Has someone over ordered a batch of yellow paont?! Where's the evidence that this has caused any problems up to this point?

Object

I object to this proposal as not dangerous

Good

Agree - road is too narrow and almost all properties have off road parking

Support .there is no real reason to park here.

I support. There is an excellent roomy carpark nearby

I support this

No objection

Support

Support

I agree with this proposal

Support

I support

support

Support as on a blind corner.

Object cars have parked there many years with no problem to other traffic

2 / 3

District 5 Traffic Regulation Order review - Ventnor

No comment

I fully support this proposal on the grounds of visibility and safety, especially as I regularly drive along that corner [REDACTED]

Support

I support this proposal

I fully support this proposal.

Support

Support

Object locals don't park there

Support

Fully agree

Object to the first photo. Agree with the second.

Support

Object, parking is bad enough in Ventnor already

Support
