

Economy Reg 19

Submitted by: Anonymous user

Submitted time: 19 Aug 2024, 22:12:21

Name/Organisation

C. Curtis

Email Address

[REDACTED]

1. What type of respondent are you?

Member of the Public

2. What Economy policy you are commenting on

E4 - Supporting the Rural Economy

3. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph(s)? if yes which paragraph does this relate to?

E4 supporting the rural economy.

Line C: the intensification/ expansion of existing rural industrial estates or employment sites.

4. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be legally compliant?

Yes

5. Please give details to support your answer to question 4

- **Yes - legally compliant**

6. In relation to the policy or paragraph you are commenting on, do you consider the Island Planning Strategy for submission to be sound?

Yes - consistent with national policy

8. What modifications do you think are needed to make the Island Planning Strategy legally compliant and/or sound?

The use of the word 'intensification' is not appropriate in the context of rural diversification. For an Island that's a Biosphere, with a lot of protected landscapes and a poor road network, it makes no sense to be promoting the industrialisation of random pockets of land in the countryside. This just leads to more commercial traffic on unsuitable roads and degradation of the rural environment...

A statement like this also encourages rogue development from landowners who (emboldened by the 'intensification' sentiment) would rather take the chance on retrospective approval than have proper advance scrutiny of development proposals.

9. Do you have any comments on the policies map?

N/a

11. Do you wish to request to appear at the hearing sessions that will take place?

No

12. Please outline why you would like to attend?

N/a