

Newport

1. Watergate Road 1, Newport

I support this proposal as the traffic we are subjected to is ridiculous in this area. The parking makes it dangerous to pass and I have seen multiple incidents in the area where vehicles can't see around parked cars and when buses traverse the road they frequently block the road as they can't pass each other safely.

I fully support this idea. As a resident who lives along this road. In the mornings it can be impossible to get up or down the road due to the buses struggling to get past parked cars

Parking restrictions are ignored every morning and afternoon every school day

Support all of the above, but this will push people to park in Chatfield Lodge and further on up the road near Cornelia Manor so would suggest putting parking restrictions in these places too

I live in Watergate Road - I fully support this proposal. It's currently dangerous and causes chaos at school drop off & pick up times. In addition, on a Friday (the waste collection day in our road) the situation is compounded - due to the presence of the Bin Lorry! It can take over 30 mins on a Friday to travel along Watergate Road, as several buses arrive at the same time as many taxis and parents' cars..

Reject

Support to some extent, however, the extent of this will cause boy racers to use this as a way out of Newport. Already evident when the road has had parking cones. They do 60 mph round blind bends beyond the Pet Centre. Current restrictions discourage them from using it. Sadly I think the freer flowing road will be more dangerous. Currently a nuisance but no accidents.

I object to giving up my on road parking so people who regularly park on double yellow can park outside my house on no proposed no waiting zone

Support this proposal

Yes it's very difficult to get a bus through this road with parked cars everywhere

Fully support the proposal. For far too long the parking on this road has been dangerous to people and vehicles attending St George's School. It has for many years had buses and taxis attending twice a day to convey the children but some of the parking on Watergate Road seems deliberately aimed at causing as much obstruction and danger as possible to the vehicles and pedestrian traffic attending the school. It has taken far too long for this sensible answer to be proposed and it needs implementing as soon as possible.

about time only taken 15yrs

Support very difficult driving up here in a large vehicle

Definitely supporting both these ideas as the beginning and end of the school day is made stressful with the current parking in the road and amount of vehicles trying to enter and exit the school.

I object to the extent of these restrictions which seem completely disproportionate to the short period morning and afternoon when buses drop off / pick up at the school. Could alternatives be considered such as; shorter restrictions more relevant to school hours say Mon-Fri term time only 8-9am and 2.30-3.30pm, parking restricted to one side of the road only, a vehicle width restriction for parking zones where the road is not as wide. The current proposal seems extreme and offers no alternative arrangements for residents street parking and tradespeople attending jobs at local residential properties.

I fully support this proposal

Support as a bus driver it will make getting to and from St Georges school much safer

Object. There is not enough space for local residents without driveways to park as it is. Those displaced vehicles will create issues elsewhere. There need only be yellow lines on the side opposite the care home to alleviate the bottleneck where the cars park on opposing sides.

I support this proposal, the access on this road can be very restricted. Large vehicles have great difficulty getting past parked vehicles. Also with children walking up and down the paths, having fewer parked cars it will provide clearer vision for drivers of possible hazards.

Object

Object- Making the blue areas no waiting at any time will remove valuable parking spaces from neighbouring family sized houses who do not have access to parking at their properties. It will cause an unnecessary amount of further driving around for local residents trying to source parking spaces, which will in turn greatly increase the volume of traffic travelling back down Whitepit Lane trying to find somewhere to park. Such a dramatic proposal to apparently benefit three busses, twice daily, 5 days a week, in term time only, which can, and do access the road already without great difficulty, cannot be reasonable enough to justify the considerable negative impact this will have on local residents. This is an area of privately owned houses belonging to people who have lived there a very long time and need their cars for work purposes. This proposal does not consider the local residents at all and I'm deeply disappointed in the council for not recognising that.

Object. The bus route is not for public use. Concerned about displacement of parked cars and causing issues elsewhere as there is no provision for existing use.

Support. Since this part of the road is on a bus route, this causes traffic/access problems during school drop off times. Since there is a pavement present to provide a safe walkway for pedestrians, I support the road being made no waiting zone/yellow lined.

Support as there is already a pavement alongside the road. I would hope this might be linked with an incentive to get more parents to use school buses or active transport to get children to school. This may increase the speed of vehicles exiting the roundabout going up onto watergate road. This would have the risk of increased safety concerns and noise pollution

2. Watergate Road 2, Newport

I object. There is no need. Cars wouldn't be able to park outside their homes.

Support -I regularly walk along this road and it can be tricky due to parked cars.

This will encourage idiot fast drivers. Current parking whilst a nuisance does not restrict emergency vehicles, I have an have hirsebox and have no problems getting down there. With yellow lines it will be lethal fir runners, dig walkers and hirse riders of which there are hundreds a day. The riad will turn into a rat run .there's been not one serious accident in 30 years, probably because the parking slows the traffic down. Certainly not because of sensible driving they speed up as soon as the road widens. Many insured and unlicensed drivers use this road as a cut through to speed it up would be idiotic.

I live at XXXXXXXXX Road, the proposed double yellow lines will run right in front of my property. I fully support these works being carried out. Like I support Consultation 1 on Watergate Road. The reasons to support this proposal are: 1 It will make the road safer for pedestrians, quite a few people walk along this stretch (it's very popular with especially dog walkers accessing the countryside) & the nearby Vets & PC's Cafe which is ever popular 2 It will make it safer for a Fire Engine to pass through as I've seen the Fire Service struggle to get past large vans when they are parked along this stretch of the road, this absolutely put residents in potential danger 3 I think every property along this stretch already has a driveway 4 It will stop this stretch of the road being used by office/shop workers & shoppers to avoid paying for parking in the town centre (as they can easily walk in from here) 5 Also it's dangerous when coming out of our driveway, as you can't see clearly when there are a number of parked cars along Watergate Road, also dependant on where those cars are parked - it's sometimes difficult to actually get out from our own driveway. This is a much needed proposal IOW Council, so Thank You! I feel the only people that will not support this will be people who like a bit of free parking for the town centre or have a large number of vehicles that

they can't fit within the curtilage of their own property & finally those that are not concerned with pedestrian & fire safety. These works couldn't come soon enough please!

I fully support this proposal

I think it is heavy handed and will urbanise this country road. Unfortunately motorists are far more likely to speed up if there are no parked cars as they are more mindful of scraping their car. I'm afraid they are not so careful about humans, dogs, bikes and horses. I had to move from a lane like this many years ago for this reason! In addition, where will the householders in the lane suggest visitors and tradesmen will park? Some of those houses only have one parking space.

Objection: Restrictions in this area are not required and are trying to fix a problem that does not exist. Large proportions of this road are too narrow to park without blocking the entire road, and is obvious to anyone using the road without the needs to be given specific parking restriction. The areas towards the northern newport end, that are suitable for parking - are used in a transient manner and do not affect traffic flow on this very quiet residential road. Any parking restrictions that force those residents who do conscientiously park where there is appropriate space, will be forced to take the few remaining parking areas that will remain on Watergate road. This will cause a parking shortage that does not need to exist and will create more problems that it will solve. The nearest council parking is 0.7 miles from the start of these restrictions, there are no other suitable parking sites for transient visitors within the vicinity of this area. Many of the residents of the area are disabled or have disabled residents, having access to parking close to their homes is a necessity - not just for residents of this immediate area, but from the overspill it will cause on surrounding areas.

Object. Not aware that there is a problem with stopping and parking on this road and any blocking would contravene highway regulations anyway. Where the road widens to the north there might be space for parking which would slow the traffic and make it safer for any pedestrians.

Object as this is currently a pedestrian and cyclist safe area with house entrances opening directly on the road. With parked cars scattered along it, this makes it safe for families, children and adults to step out safely. At a few times where car parking has been temporarily suspended, the safety issue is clearly visible as cars attempt to drive quickly past each other skimming the property entrances. I would support this application if there was a pavement along the road which I would imagine it would be too narrow for. Please think about the safety of the people and their families who live here before changing this. People know they are approaching the edge of town and are looking to accelerate along this, despite it being very close to a school and residential area.

Now although I am largely for the proposed restrictions, I am however concerned that there are no plans to put restrictions between houses No.35 to 43, yet there will be restrictions either side extending the length of Watergate road.

"Back in April 1999 a meeting was held between local residents of Watergate Road and the Highways Department of the Isle of Wight Council in connection with parking issues in Watergate Road. As we were unable to attend in person due to work commitments we submitted a written presentation (dated 31st March 1999 addressed to Mr XXXXXXXXXXXXX).

At that time complaints were made about staff from Rosebury (now Cornelia Manor Residential Care Home) parking outside of residences for long periods of time and also the poor state of the layby outside of Rosebury. If memory serves me correctly, Mr XXXXXXXXXXXXX department said that there was some doubt over who was actually responsible for the layby, (The Council or Rosebury) but they did agree to get it surfaced with chippings to make it more useable, this surfacing has subsequently worn away. Since that time St Georges School has been expanded and members of staff and parents of pupils also park in Watergate Road, in addition to residents and people working in and visiting Cornelia Manor, putting added pressure on parking. The drives in this area of Watergate Road are particularly steep and together with a lack of pavement, this makes visibility when pulling onto the road particularly poor. Vehicles parked too close to driveway entrances also exacerbates the visibility issue. Vehicles parked outside our property can make it extremely difficult for ambulances and delivery vehicles to gain access to the grounds of Cornelia Manor. Ambulances with flashing blue lights often spend valuable, possibly critical, time trying to gain access to Cornelia Manor.

Although we fully understand the necessity of double yellow lines at the Northern entrance to Watergate Road (proposal No. 1) to enable buses to safely use the road, this will undoubtedly make those vehicles that currently park there park further up the road or in Chatfield Lodge.

We do question the necessity of double yellow lines in proposal No. 2. This is not an excessively busy part of the road, we regularly walk up it and have not seen any particular problems. Implementation of no waiting at any time restrictions on this part of the road will leave very few parking spaces in Watergate Road, and will greatly increase pressure on spaces in the few remaining unrestricted parts of the road, which in turn will cause constant safety issues for us when we exit our drive or the nearby lane that leads to our garage, as well as making it more difficult for ambulances etc to safely ingress and egress the Residential Care home entrance opposite to us.

Therefore we request that you review the requirement for the restrictions in proposal No. 2. on the basis of safety considerations in the remaining unrestricted areas of Watergate Road. If they are deemed absolutely necessary then perhaps parking restrictions outside the properties on the eastern side of the road between Nos 29 and 43 should be considered on safety grounds. However, this will only exacerbate the parking situation, and create more problems for parents dropping off children at St Georges School."

3. Carisbrooke Road 1, Newport

I object to this proposal because, while it is a pinch-point at present, this space provides valuable parking for local residents. As long as people are courteous and reasonable, all vehicles can get through and it does not cause major hold-ups.

I support this proposal. Will make the road safer for pedestrians and driver's

I object because there is no where for us to park as none off us can have off street parking. Where would we park? There are no side roads to park on. If you are going to consider this then you should change the parking arrangements in Wellington road to allow us to park there. Alternatively make it into a one way system with Whitepit Road being one way the other way. That way you could actually increase the parking on Carisbrooke Road.

Yes I support this. Is very tight for buses and cars

Support. This causes so much traffic congestion and is too narrow for cars to squeeze into 1 lane. This will make traffic flow much better

Support, it's hard for large vehicles (buses and vans) to get through which causes a jam for other car users. Traffic flowed better when no cars where parked there (during maintenance of the mall)

I support the restriction as a matter of safety.

Yes large vehicles can not pass other vehicles along here which causes tailbacks and delays

I fully support this very necessary proposal. It is ludicrous that the main route into the town from the west allows parking in this congested and narrow area. Frequently queues build in both directions due to vehicles being unable to pass this area and other motorists blindly driving into ghe congestion without allowing traffic in the opposite direction to move. The times this area has had restrictions due to roadworks etc has shown the benefit of them as the road traffic has flowed smoothly in and out of Newport.

I support this application as it will clear up a bottle neck on this road.

I fully support this proposal

Object - As a resident who lives along this part of Carisbrooke Road, parking is already extremely difficult and these regulations would make it impossible to find somewhere to park during the day times. As a shift worker at the hospital, I am often home during the day and need to be able to park outside. Traffic along this road is never excessive and I don't believe the proposed changes would benefit enough to make it worth the loss of parking for residents.

I object. There has been two extensions of double yellow lines and parking restrictions added to this road already in the last XXXXXX years since I moved here. There is absolutely no resident parking already. Just a short amount for over 100 houses. The introduction of these restrictions will just result in cars circling around and increasing emissions making more air pollution. There is room for 2 cars to pass even with parking as I do it on a daily basis. There is absolutely no parking near the primary school as it is and further restrictions will cause further traffic chaos. My experience has been that the existing restrictions have made things worst congestion wise not better because instead of parents parking and walking up to the school they now just circle round and round until their child can come out and then pause for the child to jump in. If this goes ahead where are you going to provide for parents and residents to park given there are no car parks nearby?

Parking for residents is already impossible and this would mean nowhere for anyone to park in the day times. Traffic out there isn't bad. The road allows for traffic to pass north and south bound, even with the parked cars. The benefits outweigh the negatives for those of us living there.

Object: as a resident who lives along where the lines will begin (we are fortunate and have parking at rear) I object to this proposal due to worries over the increased speed this will allow along Carisbrooke road. It is extremely bad at night when cars race along the road. My biggest fear will come at school drop offs and pick up. We walk to school along the Mall. There is no longer a lollypop lady at the Cedar hill round about making it very difficult to cross. Crossing with the other lollypop lady near Wellington road is not an option as it then forces us to walk along a very narrow path which is not safe with 2 small children. Allowing a more free flow of traffic only increases the speed and will make this journey lethal. Also I feel the parking here does not hold up traffic too much during the day and in fact better policing of Wellington road at school time would be more beneficial as this is where the real hold ups are. If this parking restriction is enforced how would the council enforce speed limits? Ensure the safety of children crossing roads to school??

I strongly object to this proposal as in Linden Road is no need to put restrictions. I live in XXXXXXX and we have absolute no where to park and planning permission for a driveway was XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX they park 2 cars in the same measurement as I have. How is that possible? Where should we park? Do we not have a right to park near our house?

OBJECT - The existing parking spaces there are not only essential to residents, but they slow down the speed of traffic along that road which is very busy with lots of children walking to and from school along a narrow pavement. On a personal level, as a Midwife who works on call and needs to be able to get to the car quickly to attend emergencies and home births, losing the ability to park nearby my house would be hugely detrimental to my job. It also would negatively impact myself and my children, as there is no nearby alternative parking on other residential streets and we already often struggle and have to walk long distances with shopping etc. I also believe it would reduce the value of our home as there will be no parking available anywhere nearby. I strongly object to this proposal.

Absolutely Object! Are you seriously trying to make things difficult for home owners near by???? The traffic flows just fine, bigger vehicles have to give way but that's no biggy. It has worked until now. You are just removing the last little bit of parking residents have. Not like they can park anywhere else as you have restricted parking all around.

Object. Loss of parking for our property and visitors and deliveries. In recent years when the same scheme was operated temporarily it caused the speed of traffic to increase noticeably making the entrance and exit from our property more dangerous.

Object

Object. I believe this would encourage traffic to speed along this rd, therefore increasing the risk to school children when crossing this Rd. As a resident I witnessed several incidents of school children trying to cross this rd opposite the carisbrooke vets carpark when the road had parking restrictions in place previously. Also as a resident it is already a struggle to find a parking space in this area and believe this would make the situation worse. It would also encourage more residents to have to park in the private car park of the carisbrooke vets which would impact greatly upon their business as clients would be unable to park to take their sick pets to get treatment.

One of the worst things about it will be that traffic will be speeded up, this is a very bad thing. Along Forest road pinch points were installed to slow the traffic. When this happened extra traffic started to use Carisbrooke road. Now you propose speeding up traffic in Carisbrooke Road. What is the point. Another aspect is the the road is heavily used by school children and this is going to be dangerous for them

Object. I regularly use this Road with no issue. I see it will just inconvenience local people and give no benefit.

I object to this, people cannot afford to pay the parking fees in Newport town centre, so are subsequently using spaces towards Carisbrooke and walking in for 15 mins, not viable for people with disabilities, the elderly or parents with children, removing these spaces will only worsen the problem

I would not support this proposal as it would prevent my XXXXXXXXXXXX daughter who lives on Carisbrooke Road. It would also impact my XXXXXXXX has to have ready access to a vehicle to visit patients.

Support. It will allow buses in particular to move with ease.

I most definitely object to this as with all the houses going up in the area its becoming harder and harder to find places to park. If this ridiculous idea goes ahead then where are all the cars parked on this road going to go as it will congest through to other roads and piss off residents who can't park by their houses. Plus by having cars parked here it slows the traffic down as the bottle neck effect from the parked cars slows traffic from both sides. I really hope that you don't go through with this idea as it a waste of money in which the council could put towards putting shops back into the town or even the homeless. less

Object. Slowing traffic down makes it safer in this location. Concerned about where displaced parking will go as there is no provision for this. Generally it is better to have to wait during limited times of the week to permanently displacing residents parking with no thought to continued provision and potential to make problems worse elsewhere..

"> I would like to put my objections in against the removal of parking 24/7 along Carisbrooke road.

> We live at XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX.

> Before I say about the loss of parking, I would like to give you the opportunity to visit first hand the reason for my objections from our house. From the XXXXXX it would give you first sight into a massive issue that has not been taken into account with the removal of the cars parked throughout the day.

> The cars act to slow the moving cars down as they approach the roundabout, with out doubt every ten minutes you would be able to see speeding cars entering the roundabout Newport bound from Carisbrooke with the attitude that's it's "their right of way" before even being close to it.

> The cars that would be allowed to approach the roundabout Carisbrooke bound "regardless of speed limit" would end up with more traffic incidents than ever before. As a person that can see this every day first hand XXXXXXXX I believe I should be listened to.

> Secondly the parking itself is our only place family can easily park to visit us. I finish work and can be home XXXXX the nearest place I will be able to park XXXXXXXX would be Hinton road, or the other direction Wilver road if lucky enough.

> Twenty cars if taken off this road really do need some help from the council to help them find appropriate parking. We purchased our houses here knowing parking was an issue but not completely impossible, please do not make it impossible for us to work and live on this street.

> If you would like to visit our property and see for yourself XXXXXXXX you are more than welcome before things could be put in place to cause major traffic accidents."

"I have just received information that DOUBLE YELLOW LINES are to be installed along Carisbrooke Road, just past Carisbrooke vets.

As my son and his family live at XXXXXXXXXXXX this will cause a problem to park when we visit as his mother is disabled and cannot walk long distances.

I wish to object to this plan as will cause issues when visiting my family, as will it all the family's that live along that road. "

4. Carisbrooke Road 2, Newport

Yes

Firstly object to the proposal due to living in XXXXXXXXX and there not being enough parking. I feel if this was going to occur, maybe it could be consulted that the four spaces that can safely be parked in without obstructing traffic should be permitted to the four houses, 1 parking space per house. This would make it fair and as a result, would stop residents who own multiple cars from taking up all the spaces within one household. Then, there may not need to be unsafe parking and obstructing the road. If this can be considered, then I would support the idea.

I support this proposal. Cars parked at the bottom of Cedar Hill force traffic to the other side of the road as they approach the roundabout. This is dangerous.

Yes this is a dangerous place to pass due to not being able to see fully around the bend

I support this application as I believe it will make it easier for the buses to serve the bus stop here.

I fully support this proposal

Object, I regularly use this Road with no issues. I see this will cause a lot of inconvenience and very little if any benefit

5. Fairmount Drive and Staplers Road, Newport

Object, the house on XXXXXXXXX doesn't have a lot of parking , if we are limiting that then the second hill along fairmount where the location pin is dropped will have more cars around which could cause many issues (lots of near misses happen), I think one side of the road should be open to prevent both sides from being parked in but the space you provided isn't big enough for the cars around that area.

I support this proposal. Cars can park pretty close to the junction causing issues turning into Fairmount Drive

100% agree. I,ve been asking for this to be done many times, It will be much safer for the traffic that use Fairmount drive as a cut through to the Fairlee road every day.I will feel safer now as I live just up this road. Thanks.

I do support this but I don't know why there are not double yellow both sides. All that is going to happen is the cars are going to park on the opposite side with no double yellows on. They need to be both sides!

I fully support this proposal

Support - improved safety and traffic flow

"Dear whom it may concern,

I am writing to inform you of our concerns with regards to the recent proposal of double yellow lines along Staplers, and more specifically Fairmount Drive.

As residents of XXXXXXXXX, which is situated along Fairmount Drive, we feel the current proposal would have a negative impact on the neighbourhood and further implications to parking congestion.

We kindly ask you to reconsider these plans as this proposal will only make it difficult for local residents, and will result in pressure on the side roads to find alternative parking and subsequently make these smaller near-by roads increasingly more hazardous. The roads situated around this area; where many use off road parking, are already very congested. The addition of double yellow lines throughout the whole of Fairmount drive would force further overcrowding and subsequently enhance danger.

Furthermore, the area is host to many of the older population, who rely on access from carers and other community support. Further parking concerns for these people would make accessibility difficult. The lack of parking for the elderly residents will also inhibit them from having easy access between home and vehicle, subsequently being detrimental to their daily activities and living.

Whilst our concerns are clear, we do understand the reason behind this proposal and are in agreement of certain aspects. We are aware that the parking along Staplers not only holds up traffic but also increases the risk of accidents. We agree that double yellow lines across staplers would be satisfactory in increasing safety of all road users and reduce traffic throughout busy periods.

We are also in agreement that some double yellow lines at the start of Fairmount drive (adjoining staplers) would help ease entry and exit throughout these roads. We appreciate the dangers that cars parked so close to the junction can cause and therefore suggest that double yellow lines are implemented to 4 car lengths on the junction. This would provide sufficient room to allow traffic to safely enter and exit Fairmount drive, whilst also taking into consideration the residents.

To clarify, our proposal is as stands

- Staplers road to be double yellow lines as per current proposal.
- Fairmount road (adjoining staplers) to be double yellow lined to 4 cars length on both sides
- Other than the above proposals all other roads to be unmarked to allow for parking of residents and community workers

Finally, we would also ask you to please consider the implications this could have between neighbours, which as it stands is a very harmonious neighbourhood and we would not want this to be disrupted by parking issues."

Since the letter I dropped into you on Mon. 13TH. (See att. copy) I have had discussions with our local Councillor Matthew Poole and others around here and as we all say, the problems we have sometimes had for a while are simply caused by several workmen & tradesmen's large vans, sometimes TWO parked (OFTEN ALL DAY) close to the Staplers junction, to carry out a lot of house refurbishment work at NO 65 ON THE CORNER NEXT TO US.

Sometimes these vans PARK BOTH SIDES OF THE ROAD which we all have to contend with, but, thankfully all this is finished now (and the house is up for sale, so we are glad to say that everything is back to normal.

Everybody has told me (including [REDACTED]) that they see no sensible reason for DOUBLE YELLOW LINES OF OUR SECTION OF OUR ROAD OR EVEN ROUND INTO BELLECROFT DRIVE - WHAT IS THE POINT

I hope this explains the reason for the recent annoyances that have now gone.

We are all amazed at your new 'ideas' on the DOUBLE YELLOWS in FAIRMOUNT DRIVE and jolly realize that what has caused this situation are comments from modern day motorists who think it is O.K. to rear through a narrow road estate at 40 mph (!) without having to stop for anything - we know because we have seen them on a regular basis.

This matter MUST be dealt with proper consideration to us as long standing lease owners here and you MUST understand that for years cars have parked OPPOSITE OUR HOUSE with NO PROBLEMS, but nobody here wants them to be suddenly close to our windows especially as they can OFTEN BE BIG VANS - NOT ACCEPTABLE

What is right about your proposal is that the DOUBLE YELLOWS close to Staplons is required & should be 4 CARS LONG MAX BOTH sides of Fairmount Drive as cars that stupidly park there now cause near accidents for anybody going in & out, BUT whatever you do DONT PREVENT PARKING ALONG ROAD OPPOSITE OUR HOUSES as this will cause us all a lot of problems.

I have discussed this with lease owners nearby who all think the same.

6. Newport to Cowes Cycle Track, Newport

I support the proposal and its rationale.

Support this proposal. Often walk this way and cars park right across the path. It's dangerous and damages the green areas.

I support this change, I am a regular cycle track user and there are always vehicles parked there.

Support

I support this proposal as the parking around the entrance to the cycle path has become ridiculous. The sooner it's sorted out the better.

Strongly support this. Parked and manoeuvring vehicles provide a safety hazard for cycle track users.

support

I support the proposal, I cycle to work along this route every day and there are often cars parked restricting space, and I've seen one near miss from a driver pulling away and nearly side swiping a man cycling with his children

I object

I support this. I use a mobility scooter to get from the cycle track up to the hospital and often find the lowered kerb access to the pavement up to the 'vets' is blocked by a parked car. This means I must drive out onto the road on a corner with restricted visibility.

Support. There is a need for people to access and leave the cycle path as easy and safely as possible.

Support. For many years cars have been driving along this route to park on the cycle track. This is an obvious danger to life of the pedestrians and cyclists of the cycle track.

I support this proposal. I was almost run over by a car reversing down this cyclepath.

Support - it'll be safer

7. Laburnam Close, Newport

I fully support this proposal

I object to this proposal i lives in XXXXXXXX XXXXXXXX needs to take out and the car could be parked any as you are putting parking restrictions everywhere around Newport that mean we are penalised for not having off road parking and think it's completely wrong and can park in any other street as we're you putting yellow line everyone will trying to park in the same streets like people coming into Newport who are not residence and we can not park further down the laburnum Close as it for emergency services apparently

I Object to this proposal, we live in the area and have no on site parking. I work for XXXXX and need a car to get to my patients. This proposal will make it very difficult for us to park anywhere near our home. This affects a few of our neighbours who do not have access to parking at our homes.

We as a family strongly object to the proposal of double yellow lines as we are residents of XXXXX , don't have a drive or anywhere to park on XXXXX so myself , son and my 2 next door neighbours currently park in XXXXXXXX , really not happy , where else are we meant to park ?

Object. As a resident of XXXXXXXX, this is the safest area to park in. People have been parking in this road since it was built and since 1960 our grandparents whom lived in XXXXXXXX used to park here and had never heard of any previous crashes, incidents, emergency vehicles impeded or any restriction in visibility from vehicles parking in the close. Since 2016, XXXXXXXX and in fact would make more sense to make Shide Road a one way street like Whitepit lane to improve the flow of vehicles in an easterly direction and allowing safer usage of Shide Road for parking where a high number of residents are elderly and have no pavement and have to step onto what has become a deathtrap to cross on foot. Yellow lines in this close will increase cars on Shide Road. I ask where are local residents/ elderly residents who drive are to park with all proposed yellow lines in the area?

I wish to object to the plan to put yellow lines in Laburnam Close, Newport.

Shide Road is very, very busy these days and if cars start parking on the road it will become even more dangerous to both traffic and pedestrians.

I [REDACTED] Close and I have not been aware of large vehicles having problems. The dustbin lorries and fire engines use it with no trouble.

If you put yellow lines in Laburnam Close it will not leave any reasonable parking places for deliveries or tradesman.

I urge you to reconsider this matter

8. Hinton Road, Newport

Object, parking in Carisbrooke is very limited and there is little to No on street parking without restrictions. Not only for parents on the school run, but for residents living in Carisbrooke that access Hinton road for parking.

I completely object to this proposal, this area/road is such a valuable parking resource to local residents and for many parents that collect their children from the 3 schools locally and the many children who access the local park. Without this road being available you will have a number of children walking longer distances to reach parents who might be waiting some way away. This proposal will create more challenges directly on Wellington road during School hours (this road is already very dangerous due to people parking when they shouldn't be). Based on the proposal I would hope that there is evidence to back up the suggestion that the current parking available creates difficulties for buses....on this basis I would expect the proposal to go much further and restrict the full estate that the bus travels along (I live on the estate and know that most of the estate is similar in road size) I am shocked that this proposal has even been out forward, it will no doubt create far more challenges and many more dangerous situations for school children based on the likely impact to other roads.

No, I object. With a primary and secondary school on Wellington road parking around the area is needed. It's bad enough with people parking outside the schools, if the only other parking in the area is taken away the traffic and illegal parking outside the school will become worse and even more unsafe for children.

Object

I object to this proposal, where do the council propose that parents taking their children to school park! The school has stated that we park over Victoria recreation ground and walk over the field but that is getting ridiculously busy now too! This will only cause more people to pull up outside of the schools with all the blue badge drivers and increase the risk of accidents there! Isn't it about time a car park was made in the bottom half of the field opposite the schools?

Reject. There is no parking for parents anywhere near the primary school, children's centre or nursery. Parents who have to get to work rely on the parking to be able to get to their jobs.

Object. The bus is always a double Decker and this is not necessary for the amount of passengers on the bus. A single decker or minibus would have more room. Also yellow lines on one side of the road to ensure more space would be sufficient. The proposals would stop people from visiting residents and also people accessing the park for recreation.

Object

We support the proposal, it's been a long time coming!

Object. I have a large family who visit often. Where will they park? From what I've seen the buses are far too large for the amount of people on the bus. The bus company should use smaller buses. If there is a problem on our road there must also be a problem on Westmill Road. How does this resolve the problem the bus is experiencing? Better have a smaller bus and parking only on one side of these roads. As well as my family visiting there are many people who park in the road to use the recreation ground. Why not resolve the bus size instead of restricting parking for visitors?

Object, if Hinton road is closed to parking on the school runs, this would build up traffic and cars parking on the other roads on the estate such as Purdy road and Nightingale road, making it even more dangerous for children than it already is with the high volume of traffic around these times

Support. It would ensure safer and easier passage.

Fully support. . Currently the parking makes it impossible to travel along the road safely

Partially support, during school drop off and collection times cars are parked haphazardly with sometimes double parking which makes it difficult for buses. I think having no parking between the hours of 07:30-09:15 and 14:30-16:00 along the main stretch of Hinton Road (the junctions of Marlborough and Westmill Road need the no parking all the time due to safety). My reasoning for having restricted rather than full no parking between those hours is that when some of the residents for which some are elderly their families will have nowhere to park. I am assuming

the restrictions proposed will be seven days a week; weekends are not much of a problem since there is no school traffic so it would be better for just Monday to Friday. To summarise I agree with the no parking at the aforementioned junctions but would considering the other Hinton Road no parking restricted to the times I have suggested as those are the prime problem times as the rest of the day there are usually not many vehicles parked on the road. A full ban on parking 07:00-18:30 would cause problems for workmen parking down the road also.

Personally I don't think this will resolve the problem. I live on XXXXXX and believe more needs to be done during school pick up and drop off times - most days I struggle to get my car through let alone a bus. The road is not wide enough with cars all parked down one side. On another note - is a double decker bus really necessary to serve an estate of elderly generation?

Support

Yes it is very difficult to get a bus down this road particularly at peak times

Support

I support this proposal

I support this. As a Hinton Rd resident it is often difficult to drive up the road due to non resident parking

Who will enforce this? At the moment majority of parking is on the left hand side so makes no difference putting lines on the right . No parking any when should be extended.

Support

This will make progress along the road easier for the bus and safer for people on cycles and walking. A restriction on the other side of the road (North) would help things even more

Yes - it is very difficult to safely get buses through this bit of road, especially when combined with the high number of school children

9. Bishops Way, Newport

I fully support this proposal

10. Linden Road, Newport

Yes totally agree

Support this proposal due to the fact that cars are now parked along the entire length of Linden Road making it almost impossible to pas another vehicle coming in the opposite direction - particularly the western section.

Object as there are to many houses and not enough parking spaces as it is. Where do you propose that we park as we are already in a built up area with no parking spaces available anywhere else. In the 22 yrs of living here I have never known of any problems before now.

Been parking my car outside my house over XXXXX. The pavement at my address XXXXXX had never been a problem for anyone

Object, because I have lived at XXXXX for the last XXXXX with no incidents regarding traffic and pedestrians. There is only limited parking with many of us having to park on the Wide pavement that still allows enough access for pedestrians and buggies. Most of the houses are housing association dwellings and are without driveways. Where else will we be able to park ? As regards access for emergency services the bin lorry being the largest vehicle manages to access our road ok every Friday. This is going to create numerous parking issues around are community. Would be better to use your resources on sorting potholes at top of Nelson Road which has been ongoing problem for years.

Object as the resident of XXXXXXX. We have a drive way and historically everyone has always parked this side of the road. There is no reason for this and actually reduces the amount of available parking due to the amount of

driveways on this side of the road. If you were to place double yellows on this side of the road you would actually increase the parking considerably. Please review it

I support the proposal, as this will stop parking on the verges and it would be a good idea to make resident parking only

Support this proposal which will allow pedestrians to access the footpath safely free from obstructions

Object

I do not object to this idea but I believe the double yellow lines would be better on the opposite side of the road, as putting them XXXXXXXXXX will limit the parking considerably for those of us that does not have a drive way and can not afford to have one put in. We have put in a request for double yellows several time through island roads to the council. I think maybe the council should talk to the residents and listen to there veiws as we have been suggesting this for several years now

Object

I'm XXXXXX I don't agree with this proposal of double yellow lines along Linden road as I can'y walk very far without falling over.

I object to this proposal. If you double yellow down the entire length on one side of Linden Road, where are all the cars supposed to park? It's going to cause absolute carnage. We already have parking issues on this road with allotment users and people parking their work vans on Linden Road over the weekend. I genuinely don't understand where all the vehicles are going to go if one side is not an option to park on.

I object massively. I love at XXXXXX and I need to be able to park on or near the bend in the road. Frequently in summer months the whole road is clogged up by people who park up to see to their allotments. Now, I don't have a problem with this, but if you add double yellow lines onto this road I will not be able to park anywhere. I have XXXXXXX I need to get to and from XXXXXX, not to mention needing to get to work. I also XXXXXX equipment from my house to my car without having to walk half a bloody mile. There is not enough parking as it is! Why on earth would you restrict the parking further!!? It is a ridiculous idea. Not to mention your rationale is flawed. The pavement on the bend is massively wide and pedestrians can get through easily. I myself XXXXXXX along both sides of the road and the cars are not in the way at all. I cannot afford right now to put in a private drive, the last two years have been cripplingly expensive and you seem to be just making things worse. Do not put yellow lines in on Linden Road, it is hard enough to park some days as it is!!!! I will fight this proposal and gather names from every resident if needed.

Object. Too many houses with too many cars. Some houses have over 3 cars on Linden road. I know I live there. If you pay for a drop curb on my property then I will build my driveway as there is enough room.

I fully support this proposal

I really disapprove of the proposed plans as parking along linden road is already a nightmare. There isn't an issue at the moment but soon will be with these ridiculous plans

I am the owner occupier of XXXXXX and I have concerns about this proposal. Since moving here XXXXXX half of the available spaces in the short stretch between the top of Nelson Road and the junction with Winston Road have become designated disabled spaces, including one you are proposing to use. This means spaces available for other residents have reduced substantially - obviously I am ok with this as I am fortunate enough to have my health but occasionally I have to park at a distance. This proposal means it won't be possible for me to have a car anywhere near XXXXXX, especially considering the proposed restrictions elsewhere in this solely residential area. I have been thinking about moving and if this goes ahead it will without any doubt reduce the value of XXXXXX significantly and potentially make a property otherwise well suited to someone elderly or disabled potentially not viable which is a shame. The reason people park on the exceptionally wide pavement on the opposite side of the road is that there is already a shortage of spaces - it is a residential road and almost everyone has at least one car. This small section works fine with a bit of polite driving and the proposed restrictions feel like a kick in the teeth. I am unsure where

you are expecting people will go to park their cars and would be most grateful if you could please explain. Thank you.

Objection. Whilst I full appreciate that there is an issue with parking along Linden Road, this is not the solution. My family and I live XXXXXXXX and routinely have to park our only vehicle on XXXXXXXX is also at a premium. It's so much worse when football games are held on the field at Nine Acres recreation grounds, with the (pointless) gate which separates Elm Grove being blocked on both sides. There is a an issue of Southern Vectis drivers leaving their cars on Linden Road as well when residents are out which then leaves parking even more difficult. Perhaps a longer term solution would be to do away with the pavement on the North side of Linden Road and turn this space into bays. There is a perfectly safe serviceable pavement on the Southside of Linden Road. If the proposed parking restrictions are implemented the parking problems will just be moved to other streets, or be completely ignored which is the more likely of the two outcomes.

Support

Object strongly

Object

Parking is extremely short in this area, anything that reduces the parking is not something I agree with. Due to the Carisbrooke vets, Southern Vectis as well as the Premier shop and take away in Melbourne Street, residents of Castle Road find it virtually impossible to park on their road and rely on parking in the Linden and Winston road areas.

Object due to the fact I work shifts for the nhs there is no where for me to park now when I return home from a XXXXX.

I support the proposal which will enable safe navigation along Linden Road by car. It will also enable the public to use the pavement for pedestrian travel; restrictions would need to be enforced, though.

11. Halberry Lane, Newport

support

I support the proposal as I live XXXXX, and the cars parked on the road make it difficult at times to have enough room to turn on to my driveway, and I have seen buses and bin lorries having to squeeze through the space left

I fully support this proposal

Support proposal. There will not be a loss of parking spaces, as the sections of road indicated are mainly in front of driveways.

12. Mayfield Drive, Newport

I support this proposal. I would have expected the double yellow lines to be extended opposite the proposed yellow lines on the bend of Mayfield Drive as well, to ensure full visibility in both directions. I have witnessed many incidents on this stretch of highway. The most alarming one seeing a southern vectis bus having to reverse the length of Mayfield Drive , because the bus could not pass cars parked on both sides of the road. Possibly five cars were badly parked on that occasion. What would have been the outcome if an emergency vehicle had needed access.

I support this, when parking both sides it can cause a traffic jam & you have to back up to let traffic through, also visibility is bad

I object to the proposal. I am a resident in the area which will be affected and feel it will be detrimental to have double/single yellow lines painted. The parking in the area for residents is extremely limited with residents from other areas parking here too. Cars travel round the corner extremely fast when parked cars aren't there so having lines put in will make the road more dangerous for pedestrians, children walking to school and animals. We have quite a few cats around the area and so far they have all stayed safe. The cars which park along the road have never been damaged by other vehicles either. By putting in lines the parked cars are just going to be pushed further down the road causing the same problem.

I object to this proposal because parking in this area can be impossible at the moment and if lines are put in place on the left side coming down the hill then it's going to be even worse. Lines on the right hand side would fine and would help stop cars parking on the pavement blocking that side. Parked cars on the left slow traffic down on the bend as during rush hours the road is used as a rat run with cars speeding round the corner with no consideration of other road users.

I fully support this proposal

Support

"I am writing in support of the changes to Road parking to Staplers and top end of Mayfield Drive.

Many residents have worried about the safety of these two areas and have enclosed some photographic evidence.

Grid art starting 449

The top 3 photos show cars parking on the bend at the top of Mayfield Drive. In the top left photo you can see that the car at the top right side has had to move backwards from it's disabled parking space.

The bottom 3 photo are taken at the junction with Staplers Rd. The double yellow lines are so close to the end that cars and the Southern Vectis bus often gets stuck. If you drive up Staplers to turn left into Mayfield it is a totally blind corner and traffic especially busses or large vehicle are met on the wrong side of the road.

Grid Art starting 428

Two photos top right.

Taken 2nd November 2023

Top right shows a van stuck because cars parked both sides..

Mid left shows cars parked both sides, bus could not get through.

All other pictures show cars parked on bend plus some on pavement opposite. Bottom 3 right actually parked side by side.

Dates can be provided for pictures if needed.

Attachment 3 shows your proposed parking changes to waiting at any time (double yellow line). Although I agree with putting lines there. There are none right on the bend above the garage entrance drive. Next to Number 30 Mayfield Drive. If cars are allowed to park there, they will force traffic on to the wrong side of the road on a blind bend, which will defeat the whole object of putting lines on the bend for road safety. So ask if you would consider extending them those few feet for safety.

Also please consult with Southern Vectis regarding the safety of these two bends.

Mayfield Drive is often used at a cut through (rat run) especially at school drop off and pick up time. There isn't a day when we don't here the screech of breaks, confrontations and near misses. My wife and I fully support these changes and hope they will improve Road Safety as well as ensuring the continuation of the bus service that so many of the elderly residents rely on."

13. Meadow Green, Newport

I fully support this proposal

14. Garden Way, Newport

I fully support this proposal

"Regarding the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' zone on Pan Lane, we would like to log our objection to these proposals on the grounds of 1) safety and 2) parking capacity constraints/congestion for residents living on or near Pan Lane.

We own - XXXXXXXXXXXX negatively impacted by this proposal: specifically the intended inclusion of the 'No Waiting At Any Time' zone which would extend north on Pan Lane 14.5m from the junction of Garden Way, XXXXXXXX the further reduction of parking capacity in an already competitive parking environment due to the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' zone, that would extend in the other three directions from the Pan Lane / Garden Way junction.

1) Our main concern is that this proposal will have a negative impact on our family's safety, requiring us to find parking further from of our property and causing us to consistently cross an ever-increasing traffic volume through Pan Lane. We are particularly concerned that traffic will only increase in light of the proposed nearby development on Godric Road of the doctors office/retail shops/etc. due to through traffic from Godric Road along Pan Lane.

It is our belief, that we will be the primary party negatively affected by this proposal due to the position of our residence and the current limited on-street parking outside of our residence. While Island Roads have already undertaken the dropping of curbs and installation of a pedestrian tactile crossing zone on Garden Way, no such amenity has been made to cross Pan Lane. Even in the light of such amenity being implemented, the tendency for through traffic to drive quickly up the hill on Pan Lane would continue to represent a heightened risk to our family's safety when crossing. Should we have to park further away, this would become a daily danger to us, which is particularly concerning as XXXXXXXX. The proposal states that the planned works are for "avoiding danger to persons or other traffic using the road or any other road or for preventing the likelihood of any such danger arising", however we believe this will come at the immediate cost of the safety of our family, as set out above.

2) Parking in the area is already challenging, and specifically parking in front of our home. Residents of Pan Lane, Garden Way, Home Meade and even Downs View Road often park in the proposed 'No Waiting At Any Time' zone. At any given time, the parking on Pan Lane, Garden Way, and Home Meade is at or near capacity. There is no permit parking or any other way to guarantee nearby parking on our street. The proposed reduction of the capacity represents a further bottleneck for ourselves and nearby residents. Already, we see that residents will park directly on the grass in front of their homes due to no parking being available on the street. This proposal to reduce parking capacity that is already at saturation is untenable.

Should our objection be deemed as immaterial, and planning move forward, we would like to A) receive approval for a dropped curb to be installed so that we may place a private driveway on our property to preserve our family's safety, and B) see the removal of the disabled bay on Pan Lane nearest to the junction of Pan Lane and Garden way, outside of 23/25 Pan Lane. The implementation of this disabled bay was, in our belief, the result of XXXXXXXX and therefore no longer require the space.

Rather than attempting to resolve the parking issues in the Pan area, which would likely assist with "avoiding danger to persons or other traffic", we are disappointed that the proposed changes have been put forward, which would cause further problems for owners/residents in relation to both safety and parking."

15. Sunningdale Road, Newport

100% support. This has become such a dangerous corner, have nearly hit cars entering and leaving this road. At school run time it is even worse with cars parked on the corner, reducing visibility and difficult to see pedestrians crossing road

Absolutely support this as at times you can't see if there is traffic either way along Wilver Road when trying to pull out!

I fully support this proposal

16. Sylvan Drive, Newport

The current set-up of the parking in this area often restricts view and is a safety risk when driving past Oakwood Court - currently parking is allowed on both sides of the road. Hopefully the increase of double-yellow-lines will help with the visibility when driving up from the direction of the traffic lights.

Support - having to drive through this area on an almost daily basis it is often the case that due to vehicles being parked on both sides close together there is not enough room to pass with ease when encountering traffic coming in the opposite direction.

Support... but the whole road needs the double yellow lines being re painted, all the way upto Mountbatten drive. Repaint the lines please.

I support the proposal, it's often difficult to see when wanting to pull in or out of our street. However, I would be concerned that people who normally park along those area may try to park in our allocated parking spaces at the ends of of our street

Support. The road is always jammed with cars and it's a hazard for children to cross the road safely to school

I fully support this proposal

Object. I live XXXXXX. Our house XXXXX. The line will be almost directly outside our house. Parking on street for residents and visitors is already a nightmare at times with workers/shoppers parking here and walking into town so more double yellow lines will make it even harder. We have school parents park outside our house for the school runs . I understand it will help visibility but it will just cause problems in other places where people are then foused to park

Section 3 needs to be located further down where there is a pinch point as people park on both sides. The area proposed isn't a problem as traffic only parks on one side.

Object, where will all those cars currently parked there park in the future? There will be even less parking for all the residences.

Object- there is not enough parking here at usual times, add in school drop offs and pick ups and all you will do is creat issues on the residential roads, which are even narrower. Am introduction of give way areas like there is towards the end of sylvan drive, would be a better idea at the areas in question. It would promote better flow, encourage better parking Inc the use of one side of the road only.

I support this decision but also as a resident of XXXXXXXXXX I feel it needs to follow up through sylvan drive into Mountbatten drive, it's a nightmare the whole way through especially during school times.

I support this proposal, but also think it should be extended to the entrance of Sylvan Drive near Sainsburys, cars are parked there day and night, there are no houses that end so there is no need for parking, it causes constant flow issues and cars are continuously turning around in sycamore gardens because of this.

17. Terrace Road and Bignor Place, Newport

I support this change in the hope that it will help prevent irresponsible parking.

I fully support this proposal

18. Atkinson Drive, Newport

Totally support it ,as a resident of the new estate ,it is impossible for me on a daily basis to leave or enter my home due to patents parking and access is blocked for emergency vehicles and residents .Beyond dangerous situation in this area due to school parents

Support but it will need to be enforced. I live further down XXXXX and the ignorance of some drivers around school times is frightening. Cars up on pavements and double yellows. An accident waiting to happen, so it'll need regular patrols.

Support ! Also yellow hatch lines outside the school and extend Double Lines further on the road Thank You

We XXXXXX TOTALLY support this proposal.The area outside the school is very dangerous with cars ignoring the double yellow lines.The cars park across our drives every day they also double park,it an serious accident waiting to happen.The traffic warden comes regularly but it makes No difference at they still park where ever they like.From

number One Cook Ave up Atkinson drive should be a No go area for cars so children can arrive and leave school in the most safe way.

TOTAL SUPPORT.From number one Cook ave,Up Atkinson drive would be so much better if it was a No go area for cars dropping off children at the school.The cars park on double yellow lines across all our driveways with No thought to Us as residents.(We have lived here XXXXX and the parking has got so much worse the last few years)The traffic warden visits often but it makes No difference at all.It's an accident waiting to happen.The children should be able to arrive/leave school in the most safe way,it's for this reason we support your proposal.Perhaps speed bumps could also be introduced at the bottom of Atkinson drive too.They have them in near by Pan estate.

I fully support this proposal

19. Worsley Road, Newport

I fully support this proposal

20. Collingwood Road, Newport

I fully support this proposal

Support . Very dangerous parking along the road. People blocking and obstructing drive ways.

21. Queens Road, Newport

Support, this area is often restricted by inconsiderate parking.

Objection to the yellow lines at the wide junction. The main issue with blocking the road is on this side but between 4 and 6 where cars park both sides. This has blocked fire engines and refuse waste collections

I fully support this proposal

Object, this will make parking in the area very difficult for homeowners

We support this proposal living in this road. But would like you to consider making parking only on one side further up the road as well as cars get lift all day half in the pavement so Amb/Fire/Bin lorry's have to reverse back and come round to the other end.

22. Robin Hood Street, Newport

object

Support this as its dangerous trying to exit John St

I object. As not enough parking along this road. Also your picture is over 5 years old. As the parking on the right by the robinhood pub is not available now.

Support but please do double lines on John Street Junction to stop cars parking too near the junction severely restricting vision. Infront of blue car on the photo

I fully support this proposal

"Good afternoon,

> I am writing to object to the proposed changes to remove two on street parking space on Robin Hood Street.

> I am a resident of this road, and although it has a speed limit of 20mph, very rarely does any traffic pass through the street at that speed limit. In fact I have been verbally abused by drivers who I've had to shout slow down too as they have speed along the road. The only time that drivers are forced to slow down is when they reach the pinch point at the opening to John Street. Taking away the two spaces will no doubt be detrimental to pedestrian's and road users safety, as there will be no obstacles that drivers do have to slow down for. I would urge you to spend time along Robin Hood Street to observe and monitor the level and speed of traffic, as currently this is the only speed reducing factor on the road.

> My vehicle was XXXXXXXX by a speeding driver who must of been doing double, if not triple the 20mph speed limit. I've added pictures XXXXXX so you can see the impact of speed on Robin Hood Street.

> If you are serious about protecting the safety of pedestrians and road users, then maybe you should consider adding speed bumps to the entire street as there is on Furlongs. These definitely work, whereas your proposal gives a free run of the street.

23. Trevor Road, Newport

I fully support this proposal

In theory, the proposal for these new double yellow lines is a good idea. For the safety of pedestrians, and drivers alike. The majority of residents in Trevor Road have lived here for many years, XXXXXXXX So long as the photograph above is a true representation of the council's plans, then, yes, I agree.

24. Hunnyhill, Newport

Support. Anything to improve the flow and safety of this road would be welcomed.

Object, I live opposite Hooke's Way . How am I supposed to unload shopping etc if I can't stop at any time to do so

Fully support. As a local resident, I use Hunnyhill regularly and removing the cars that have now started parking here will make it so much safer. It is so dangerous pulling out of these junctions, this will make it much safer

Definitely as it's very difficult especially going up with folk parking on the left.

I support this application as it will make this a safer area with the reduced parking

Why aren't you adding double yellow lines down the entire length of hunnyhill from the first junction of hooked way on the northern end? Placing double yellows in only the proposed areas will just cause the cars parked their currently to move up/down the road to the single yellows, cars parking at any part of this length of road is dangerous as it is almost impossible to see cars coming over the brow of the hill in the opposite direction when trying to go round these cars

Support

Object! It's bad enough trying to find somewhere to park when you live on hunni hill but after 6pm we know that at least we have a chance of being able to park until 8am, and parking opposite hookes way also helps to slow traffic down as more often than not HunnyHill is used as a rat run and car's speed dangerously up it

I fully support this proposal

Yes I support this & would also suggest the lines are along the whole of Worsley rd as well.

Support, will improve safety in those areas.

"I emailing regarding the proposing of double lines on Hunnyhill-bottom of Worsley Road.

I would like to oppose against this for the following reasons.

I have been living on XXXXXXXX for a number of years and have had no issue with this very small length of yellow line. In fact it is quite the opposite it has been helpful for many people.

There is very little parking and having this helps residents.

It does not affect traffic as parking is after peak times.

Having the whole road turned into double yellows will have a very negative affect on many of us.

1. For personal parking- after peak times where there is barely any traffic.

2. House prices will go down because parking is becoming so limited.

The line does not obstruct any traffic. If this was to be taken away then I would hope there for be a plan for residents? Such as resident parking permits? Parking on other streets is becoming hard also due to the amount of disabled bays. It is becoming very stressful and having this taken away from us would make it worse.

Please take this into account before any action is taken.

Could I also ask that more notice is given to all residents on the street not just a poster put up especially as there are plenty of people with sight issues who knew nothing about this."

25. Albert Street, Newport

I fully support this proposal

26. Snowberry Road, Newport

Support. It would also act as a refuge when two vehicles meet on the bend, (as happens often), negating the need for one to reverse.

I support this change. Usually, traffic parks across the dropped kerb, preventing cycle access to the highway at the end of the cycle route and vice versa when wishing to join the cycle route. Having a passing place at that point would also be sensible for road users.

I fully support proposal

Object-theres already enough parking restrictions on this road. The shared access path is not deterred by anyone parking roadside to it, plus there is not a crossing point here anyway. Its actually pretty dangerous to encourage road crossing here as you do not have full sight of on coming traffic, please do not encourage already distracted teenagers wing to school to cross here.

27. East View and South View, Newport

This is not going to stop them, it is already double yellow lines on the right hand side of East View and it is blocked with cars from 2pm till 3.15pm I live in XXXXX and can't park anywhere.

I fully support this proposal

28. Whitepit Lane, Newport

Support. Buses need to be able to negotiate this stretch without hindrance.

I support allowing the free flow of traffic and pedestrian access across the proposed area, which is hindered at present when cars park one behind the other on the raised area. However, when one car is on the raised area it does not block any access and so rather than making it no waiting at any time, I propose the raised area is removed and an additional parking space is created. This raised area was added years ago to facilitate traffic lights which have long been gone and restoring it to a parking space would greatly help the residents of this stretch of road.

Agree in part - there should be no parking / no waiting on the pavement or the narrow section of road at the pinch point. However, the no waiting restriction should NOT extend up the hill into the parking layby as indicated on the map. Instead, a loading bay opposite St Nicholas Close would be useful as a designated area for deliveries.

I support this. I'd personally like to see this extended westwards as there is regularly a van parked here that makes it very tight to get a bus through

I fully support this proposal

Object. There is not enough space for people to park there. This isn't a good solution to that. The traffic calming should be redesigned to enable more spaces along this wide and underutilised stretch of road. The street furniture is the issue here.

29. Westminster Lane and Charnwood Close, Newport

I fully support this proposal

30. Grange Drive and Vicarage Walk, Newport

I fully support this proposal

31. High Street 1, Newport

I fully support this proposal

32. Fairlee Road, Newport

I fully support this proposal

33. Rowan Gardens and Sycamore Gardens, Newport

I support this proposal 100%. I live XXXXXX, this is an area of all older residents, Many with walking problems. As there are no pavements we have to walk in the road Because of inconsiderate non-resident cars parking daily. Makes it a problem for delivery vehicles and emergency vehicles.

I fully support this proposal

Yes

Object - not enough parking for residents (most people who park in these areas are residents and not 'inconsiderate commuters')

Object. This will put a strain on the already limited parking opportunities for residents who are the main users of the parking in Rowan Gardens/Sycamore Gardens and not "inconsiderate commuters". I believe the majority of properties located in Rowan Gardens/Sycamore Gardens have the luxury of driveways and therefore do not require the spaces that are often taken up by residents of Sylvan Drive. Access is usually unaffected by vehicles parking.

Object

"May I suggest that parking restrictions in Sycamore Gardens include both sides of road instead of one. Otherwise all it will do is transfer parking from one side to the other, still giving difficult access for delivery, waste and emergency vehicles.

Will still be a problem for us older pedestrians.

34. Wellington Road 1, Newport(7-4-3)

Support - Having XXXXXX we witness each morning and afternoon the chaos that is caused by the amount of vehicles parked in this area. It is only a matter of time before a child is injured or worse and anything that might improve the situation can only be a good thing.

When making the changes, please could you change the parking arrangements at the bottom of Wellington Road, so that current times parking restrictions are term time only. This was always the case in the past and was changed about 10 years ago, without any consultation. This is a needless restriction when schools are closed and causes no significant traffic issues.

XXXXXX and currently uses the double yellow to pick up and drop of our child at primary school. It is never policed and usually has 10 cars there with no badge. With these changes coming in how is she meant to pick up and drop off? The loading area will be far too small looking at proposals

Support. I drive through here in the morning and it's an accident waiting to happen. Unfortunately parents ignore the current parking restrictions and carry on parking there anyway causing massive problems so double yellows would be best on the park side of the road.

I fully support is proposal

Don't approve , this has only made worse since warden was telling people they had 5 minutesXXXXXX and a lot of disabled where would we park ? . Also this is abused by people who don't have blue badges . Double yellows would work better and then gives wardens instant fines

Yes, it would help traffic proceed safely through the area

35. Wellington Road 2, Newport

I fully support this proposal

36. St James Street, Newport

Support - safer junction

I object to this proposal. This is a change that is not needed as large vehicles only struggle to get through when people park illegally on the double yellow lines the other side. This will affect over 30 people's lively hoods who often use that parking area to make collection of deliveries for McDonald's. If this change is brought about then a food courier zone needs to be considered.

No, it's not supported. There should be no waiting on the left & the right side should be as it is now.

No. Bus drivers think they're so entitled. This shouldn't be approved

I object to this change. Large vehicles can still get round with cars in the right hand side but it's when a car is on the left hand side / the inside next to Next... that a large vehicle can't get round the corner there is very limited parking and this single line is a huge help to a lot of people and it is only after 6pm that cars can park on that single line please keep it as a time restricted single line

I fully support this proposal

37. High Street 2, Newport

I fully support this proposal

40. Daish Way, Newport

I fully support this proposal

41. Furrilongs, Newport

I fully support this proposal

42. Hogan Road, Newport

I fully support this proposal

43. Royal Exchange, Newport

I fully support this proposal

44. Whitcombe Road, Newport

I fully support this proposal

45. Barton Road, Newport

Support this as it adds more parking for residents.

I fully support this proposal

46. Field Place, Newport

Support

I fully support this proposal

47. Orchard Street, Newport

Support - removing this bay only will improve safety from those that use the crossing. I think they should remove the disabled bays too as these allow longer parking than other vehicles using the pay and display and this reduces

turnover and ability to access local businesses. Please do however remove the bay proposed as this is a danger to pedestrians using the zebra crossing. There is no benefit to maintaining this specific bay.

I fully support this proposal

48. Highfield Road, Newport

I fully support this proposal

49. Recreation Ground Road, Newport

Object. Not clear why it is needed.

Object. As a resident it is very difficult to find parking spaces in the area. I feel the extra parking space this provides is needed as does not interfere with any traffic or cause any traffic concerns

I object to this proposal, there is room to park one car on the road either side of the park gates. Why make this impossible, what do you gain? Parking spaces are at a premium, why make the situation worse?

Object. I don't see why it is a problem car parking in these spaces

Object for following reasons: There are limited parking places as is for residents of Carisbrooke Road as well as visitors to Recreation Ground Road, Wilver Road etc; there are no public car parks nearby to provide alternative parking. This area provides two parking places for parents to park and then walk their children to Carisbrooke CE Primary, outside of which there have been parking issues. I have never seen anyone parking in such a way that blocks the park gates or blocks the cycle path.

50. St John's Place, Newport

I object to this proposal

51. Beadmans Grove, Newport

"Re Beadmans Grove proposal

Can I ask that this proposed TRO is removed. There was a request by the then resident of XXXXXX for these double yellow lines as XXXXXXXXXXXX. XXXXXX. My support at the time is copied below.

XXXXXXXXX.

Therefore, I would not want to lose 2 valuable parking spaces on this ridiculously designed estate if there is no longer any pressure to do so."

Carisbrooke

1. Alvington Manor View, Carisbrooke

Object. It's bad enough parking up here after XXXXX coming home in the evening. Maybe marked bays instead. Most don't work on this road but for us that do I shouldn't have to worry after a long day driving if I'm going to get parked near my house

I am in full support of this proposal. Not only is it the amount of vehicles, but the position they are parked makes it dangerous for road users and pedestrians. Meeting vehicles half way down the road and having to reverse around corners is just not acceptable or safe. One of the new houses at the end of the road caught fire and the fire engine had to have 4 vehicles moved just to get through, this could have cost lives! Just last week my car XXXXXXXXXXX, the truck had to mount the pavement twice due to parked vehicles parking too close together on opposite sides of the road. There is residential parking behind the houses, which is not used as they contain flytipped items. If these areas were tidied up and residents forced to use them, this would also make the road much safer to use.

Support

Support

2. School Lane, Carisbrooke

I object to this proposal, parking on this street is a nightmare as it is. You're effectively getting rid of two valid spaces by making this change on the premise that it is dangerous and restrictive. The actual problem lies in the fact that the other end of the lane is the narrowest part and people continue to drive up and down this road whether their vehicles fit or not. If you made this a no-through road it would solve all the problems.

I support this proposal. But I also would support the embankment being cut back in to more of a mall, to allow for a wider road.

Support.

Support. To aid emergency services to be able to attend the properties on this road. The road can be like an obstacle course sometimes.

Object this due to the lack of parking on this road and surrounding areas.

I fully support this proposal.

Support

Object. The problem is the other end of the road, visibility isn't the issue. The bottom part of the road is incredibly narrow and sat navs take people down the road and they get stuck at the other end and then have to reverse all the way back up. Reducing parking will only negatively affect the residents, it won't stop idiots with Luton vans and enormous mobile homes trying to get down the road. If you want to make a positive change make it a no entry road and cut it off at the top where you're proposing the changes.

School Lane is heavily used as a short cut by people heading towards Gunville. The current parking situation at the top of the lane does create a traffic calming feature that slows traffic down and it would be a retrograde step if this benefit were lost. The proposal would create a fast route down the lane. The application only talks in very general terms about the motivation behind the proposal. If a genuine issue exists could it not be resolved by limiting parking on the west side of the lane to one space only? This would still encourage through traffic to slow down. I request that this approach is thoroughly reviewed before any decision is made. In the absence of an answer to this question I object to the proposal in its current form. There is a high demand for on street parking. Finally, I think the location of the Council notice is very poor. It should have been displayed on a wooden post driven into the verge directly adjacent the section of the road concerned and not posted well down the lane on the first available piece of street furniture. This must have influenced the level of responses you have received.

3. High Street, Carisbrooke

I support this

It should make it safer. It's not uncommon to see cars parked on the zig zag of the pedestrian crossing.

Yes but it also needs to be reduced to 20mph. Various adults have been hit by passing vans because the pavement is so narrow and cars go too fast in this narrow piece of road. Please reduce the speed akin to that of Brading for the safety of the children and adults using the school.

Yes, cars parking on this road caused major tailbacks and delays, the biggest issue is the delivery drivers for the takeaway parking outside all evening

Red line, total parking ban

Support

I support this application as parking in Carisbrooke in this area is dangerous and holds up the buses. As long as these proposals are policed once in place.

I fully support this proposal, many vehicles daily pull up and park on the wide pavement just before the car park entrance, and cause a hazard to cars turning in and to pedestrians while drivers access the shop

I fully support this proposal.

OBJECT, Why would you want to speed the traffic up by a school & peoples front doors, The police never had a problem with XXXXXXX there when it was a shop they they were taught how to drive properly then in an emergency & it slowed the traffic down.

4. Clatterford Road, Carisbrooke

I would support this proposal providing that at the junction with Nodgham Lane, the double lines are extended along Clatterford Road. Cars that can no longer park on the areas proposed as no waiting will look to park further along on the opposite side and I have mentioned before that it is dangerous turning right and left out of Nodgham Lane when cars are parked in this location as you cannot see traffic coming towards Bowcombe.

I support this proposal, it's long overdue, having known areas of a suitable size to allow safe passage will aid regular users of this road.

Support. Should be even more and something going by the post box on the other side at the end. Cars parked there cause dangerous situations with cars coming from a blind brow and simply pulling out

Support. However, I XXXXXX and did e-mail asking about doing something in front of our house. My drive is XXXXX and getting out is very dangerous and I can not see any traffic coming from the lefthand side due to cars but mainly vans parking right up to the drive. I have not heard anything and quite annoyed that our house seems to have been ignored.

Object

Support. Passing places will be useful as constricted road creates delays. Residents will only lose a few spaces to park.

"I object to the proposals for the following reasons • The proposal will lead to a major lack of parking spaces for residents without drives. Inconsiderate towards these residents. I feel resident parking permits should be issued as on Ceasars Road. • I have never waited more than 40 seconds for traffic travelling from Nodgham Lane towards the Waverley. The problem is impatient drivers not being prepared to wait during morning and evening 'Rush Hour' for this miniscule delay. A speed restriction of 20 MPH would help. • The safe access for the elderly, disabled and children is really compromised on the south east side of Clatterford Road as there is virtually no pavement for entering and leaving cars until you get to the Old Schoolhouse. The proposal makes that even more difficult for passengers to enter and exit vehicles safely and comfortably. • The photographs published on the website do not show the same information as the plan does alongside."

Traffic Regulation Clatterford Road Consultation. The quality of the map information where double yellow lines are proposed are not clear, unable to distinguish house numbers and the photographs do not appear to clearly coincide / align with the info on the map. Parking on Clatterford Road is limited on the left side of the road (travelling from the Waverley Pub towards Bowcombe), while no waiting at any time double yellow lines may increase the flow of traffic, it does nothing to secure the safety of pedestrians. The issue is with traffic speed, going above the 30 mph limit. There is only one area of road on that side of the road from number 43 towards the Waverley Pub, where pedestrians can be dropped off safely on to a pavement, essential for visitors and residents with a disability, families with children. Can that area be made a limited time waiting area for loading off-loading? Can residents be consulted in a face to face meeting about flow of traffic, safety of the pavement areas, quality of the road and pavement areas, passing points which are identified by street signage as to where they are.

Support

We live at XXXXXXX and in theory we agree to the proposed double yellow lines. The only thing is the map doesn't correspond to the photos. It would be better to put the house numbers that are affected.

Yes, it can take forever to get along this road with oncoming traffic

Support, very narrow road and a lot of cars, it's hard to get through with a large vehicle

Support this, very difficult driving along here in a large vehicle with no where to pull in because of parked cars

I support this, as it is becoming increasingly difficult to pass oncoming vehicles because of parking along the length of Clatterford Road and into Bowcombe Road

I support this application as I regularly drive the bus along here and it's a nightmare trying to find a bus size space to pull into to let oncoming traffic pass.

I fully support this proposal.

Support

I object very strongly to these proposals, for many reasons. 1. Clatterford Road is already hazardous to pedestrians for the following reasons: no safe footway; no footway to the SE side for half the length; no safe crossing places; vehicles persistently speeding and no attempt at enforcement; parking is on the side away from the footway forcing people pointlessly forbidden from having driveways to cross the road to access their cars. 2. The proposal will not make Clatterford Road one iota safer for pedestrians, indeed by creating enforced passing spaces, cars will be encouraged to hammer through even faster to get from one to the next. 3. What Clatterford Road needs is: 3A: A safe footway. One of our neighbours fell in the last week due to the uneven pavement and XXXXXX; it can only be a matter of time before someone incurs a serious injury due to a fall, or falling in front of a car due to the inadequate width, or gets hit by a speeding vehicle walking in the road to let other pavement users past. 3B: Traffic calming, ideally by the introduction of a chicane, or moving the parking from the SE side to the NW side where the footway is narrowest (with a hoofing great bollard at each end). 3C: EITHER a safe crossing at both ends giving pedestrians priority to cross the road; OR a footway along the whole of the SE side. The argument that it would be too expensive simply doesn't hold water, when a LOT of money must have been spent to take a footway through to the half a dozen or so houses outside Gunville at the Forest Road lights. 3D: At the very least, enforcement of the 30mph speed limit in both directions, ideally by means of speed cameras. 4. The rationale for the proposal given on the signage along the road is different from that in this electronic consultation. Surely this makes the consultation itself invalid? "The avoidance of danger to persons or other traffic using the road... preventing the likelihood of any such danger arising... Facilitating the passage on the road of any class of vehicle (including pedestrians)... Following proposals for the development of an adjoining property."

100% support the plan.

Support

Object. This does nothing to address the speed issues we have along the road.

I strongly object as this will reduce parking on the road. I have been told by planning I will not get permission for a driveway even though the houses either side has driveways. The issues in this road is the speed card travel along it and with clearer road this will just increase this issues. All vehicles can safety pull in and as never been an issue in the XXXXXX. Therefore please do not proceed with this proposal.

Support, as it would make the entrance to the Recreation Ground safer for unaccompanied children. Would suggest that it would also be beneficial to put yellow lines on the opposite side of the road to prevent parking there instead.

Object. I can't see how this will benefit the ongoing issue of speeding. There is not an issue with passing points on the road. In fact the road is visible from one end to the other and cars/lorries etc can already see if safe to continue along the road.

Object

Object , because MANY Houses along this road have on drive & at least 10 property 's are up off the road with only a path to them .

I object to the proposal. I have lived here for XXXXXX there has never been a problem with people pulling in and letting others pass. Putting these restrictions in will make it difficult for elderly relatives to visit as there will be

limited spaces. Also, as we are not allowed to have a driveway we have no choice but to park on the road, limiting the parking spaces available will make it very difficult for day to day life eg. Doing big shop ect

The following comments are in objection to the above proposals for yellow lines in Clatterford Road. Issues relating to concerns from residents are as follows: On road parking for residents. There are 17 properties on Clatterford Road who do not have off road parking (nos. 11,13,15,28,29,30,32,34,36,40,42,46,48,50,52,71,73) In addition to this residents from the surrounding area regularly park in Clatterford Road taking spaces from those who live in Clatterford Road. To serve the parking needs better and prevent people parking thoughtlessly, line mark the parking spaces available. Having looked at illustrations provided it would appear that 3-4 parking spaces would be lost by the addition of yellow lines. There are already 10 double/treble existing drives on the south-east side of Clatterford Road to facilitate the flow of traffic. It is unnecessary to lose valuable and essential parking spaces for the reasons previously mentioned. More of an issue is the speed at which vehicles travel on this residential road which will only be exacerbated by providing a clearer thoroughfare. Instead of considering yellow lines it would make more sense to install speed cameras.

Object, this will not help the problem...the issue is the bottle neck as leaving carisbrook town

We oppose the proposed TRO for yellow lines outside XXXXX. XXXXXXXXXXX. This disproportionately affects us. We do not park vehicles on the road but when we have deliveries or visitors (often with mobility problems) they need to park nearby. The narrowest pinch point on Clatterford Road and the one which causes most accidents and dispute between drivers, (to the point of physical aggression which we have witnessed) is actually outside the former Archaeology Centre, number 59, where the road is narrower. As there is no sufficient pavement on our side of the road as you go toward the village, and the pavement only starts outside the former Archaeology Centre, people (including those on mobility scooters, with prams and pushchairs) using the recreation ground, cross the road there to access the Recreation Ground. Therefore, would it not be more sensible to push back the yellow lines to commence outside the former Archaeology Centre, number 59, and not have them XXXXXXXXXXX. We realise that this sounds like nimbyism on our part but we have lived here for XXXXXXX and we know that the problem on Clatterford Road is the size and speed of the vehicles using it and the total lack of a safe pedestrian pavement to access the village of Carisbrooke ..

OBJECT, There is already at least 20 passing spaces along the road of varying distances in front of driveways with & without solid white lines, extending spaces & removing any spaces would only add to the poor amount of on street parking in carisbrooke ,which has arisen from poor desisions being made by planning & the council not listning to the residents of carisbrooke going back many years. At the moment it acts as very good traffic calming ,if you extend spaces you will only speed up the traffic racing to a space & cause more danger to those exiting there driveway.

I object because traffic will quickly come into the gap outside XXXXXXXXXXX) and with no visibility to the right, it will make it even more dangerous to get off my drive than it already is. This is not an issue for the other two proposals along this road. In addition, the blue line on the map does not correspond with the calculations or the photo of the yellow lines.

Object

"I am delighted to see this proposal moving forward, but believe it will do very little to help in its current format.

I am the XXXXXXXXXXX road, we are currently XXXXXXXXXXX.

Having worked & Watched the traffic movement for the last XXXXXXX, the issue is from the round-a- bout up to around us (no 37) We have witnessed 4 accidents in the last 6 weeks, all small but all caused damage to vehicles...one of ours included.

I am interested as to how the current locations were calculated & feel there could be criticism as to the lines past no. XXXXX ...as it belongs to XXXXXXX. There are also drop kerbs the full width already & therefore the yellow line would no create any extra passing space.

Having witnessed speeds of I would suggest 60mph as people try to 'jump' to the next gap, I believe the 281.5-313 lines would be much better suited across our development Around 229-262m.

We have created 10 parking spaces to the rear for the 4 houses & demonstrated clear sight lines. These homes will be occupied as of 2024. The bungalows opposite have their own park spaces so there would no loss to immediate homes.

I do believe the line nearest the round a bout are just not long enough at 16m ...the time you pull in & out ...it's space for 2 cars at best ...no good for a tractor or truck still.

I do appreciate a lot of the cottages do not have any parking, but I have also watched as people park in the road then walk back to the high street.

Really appreciate the opportunity to show the issues on site, if you coming back out."

5. Purdy Road, Carisbrooke

Yes this junction gets very tight with lots of parked cars

Support

I fully support this proposal.

6. Gunville Road 1, Carisbrooke

I support this

Support. It is difficult to see cars coming up the hill if you are committed behind a van and they don't slow down.

Support. All the residents have ample parking on driveways, visibility down the hill is extremely poor.

Not required outside of the buildings, it's a straight road with good visibility.

support

100% support. This causes so much traffic congestion everyday, which ca sometimes back up to the traffic lights and junction, which then becomes dangerous. This will be so much safer and keep the traffic flowing

Object

Object 1 the parked cars slows the cars down as the speed limit is always ignored 2. The only cars accidents that have happened there are drink drivers 3. Visitors park their visiting Family 3 the cars speed so fast up the rd pets have been run over. The only risk is speeding and people hate having to slow down for parked cars .

I support this proposal. As a Gunville resident I feel this stretch of road is very dangerous. Cars pull out around the parked cars into oncoming traffic. It is an accident waiting to happen. This is a very sensible proposal.

I support this proposal as the number of vehicles parked along this stretch of road has significantly increased, reducing visibility for traffic travelling towards Gunville

I fully support this proposal.

I agree with proposal, the restricted visibility has been a problem for a long time, however I feel that leaving parking spaces for the residents will mean the fundamental problem will remain.

I support the proposal, because vehicles parked on street overnight without lights, which is, I believe, illegal, form a significant hazard to other road users.

I support it

Yes I agree, as long as the spaces left are not outside the property of the road where the problem is! Might be a good idea to have the spaces outside of chant security for customers of this business.

Traffic backing up here is a problem. Taking away all the parking may result in the loss of the traffic calming effect that cars at present provide.

Object. Vehicles parking on the road actually force the traffic to slow down. When no cars are parked at this location the passing vehicles speed. The only accidents that have happened at this location is due to drunk drivers which have crashed into the parked cars. There will be no parking for visitors to any of the properties and this will prove especially difficult with young children/ babies and trapesing them up the road will not be an easy task. There will be no parking for customers wanting to utilise chant lock business as they do not have on site parking for customers. It would be better to introduce a lower speed limit at this location.

I object to this proposal because there are XXX adults living in my property for which there is not enough off road parking also anyone visiting us would have to park down further in gunville which is all ready over full with cars also i have lived here XXXXXXX and there has been no major crashes other than drink drivers who have hit parked vehicles

“Last letter saved and confidential due to data protection”

7. Gunville Road 2, Carisbrooke

Support. People regularly park in this area severely limiting visibility

support

I support this proposal to improve visibility, especially with the increased traffic that will inevitably follow building a large number of new homes being built in the area.

Support

Support this application as it will also help keep the pedestrian crossing clear.

I fully support this proposal.

I support the proposal, however I honestly don't think I've ever, in 20 years, seen anyone daft enough to park in this location!